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[ G. Barequet, G. Rote, Mira Shalah 2019 ]: Improved bounds on the number of polyiamonds
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THEOREM. Two polyominoes of size $n$ can have as many as

$$
\frac{n^{2}}{2^{8 \cdot \sqrt{\log _{2} n}}}
$$

compositions.
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\begin{aligned}
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$P_{1}$ and $P_{2}+t$ valid $\Longleftrightarrow t \notin M$ and $t$ is adjacent to $M$
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$\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$

## Motion Planning

## Many Compositions



## Many Compositions



## Even more compositions



## Even more compositions



## Even more compositions



## Numerical experiments
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In dimensions: $O\left(n^{2} d\right)$ space and $O\left(n^{2} d^{2}\right)$ time. (Radix sort)

