Computing free energy differences using conditioned diffusions

Carsten Hartmann and Juan Latorre

Institut für Mathematik, Freie Universität Berlin, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Abstract.

We derive a Crooks-Jarzynski-type identity for computing free energy differences between metastable states that is based on nonequilibrium diffusion processes. Furthermore we outline a brief derivation of an infinite-dimensional stochastic partial differential equation that can be used to efficiently generate the ensemble of trajectories connecting the metastable states.

Keywords: Conditional free energy, fluctuation theorem, rare events, diffusion bridge **PACS:** 02.50.Ga, 05.10.Gg, 05.70.Ln, 65.40.gh

INTRODUCTION

Given a system assuming states $x \in \mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with the energy V(x), the free energy at temperature $\varepsilon > 0$ as a function of a scalar reaction coordinate $\Phi(x)$ is defined as

$$F(\xi) = -\varepsilon \ln \int_{\mathscr{X}} \exp(-\varepsilon^{-1}V(x))\delta(\Phi(x) - \xi)dx.$$
(1)

Given that $x \in \mathscr{X}$ follows the Boltzmann distribution $\rho \propto \exp(-\varepsilon^{-1}V)$, the free energy is just the marginal distribution in $\Phi(x)$. However estimating the marginal numerically from samples of ρ may be prohibitively expensive, e.g., when *V* has large barriers in the direction of Φ . Therefore we dismiss this option and propose a different scheme that employs realizations of the overdamped Langevin equation

$$dX_{\tau} = f(X_{\tau}, \tau)d\tau + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}dW_{\tau}, \quad \tau \in [0, T]$$
⁽²⁾

subject to the boundary conditions (see Fig. 1)

$$\Phi(X_0) = \xi_A \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(X_T) = \xi_B.$$
(3)

The vector field $f(x,\tau) = -\nabla V(x) + g(x,\tau)$ is assumed to be smooth with the timedependent part g being such that the process hits the level set $\{\Phi(x) = \xi_B\}$ at time T; without loss of generality we set T = 1.

As we will demostrate below, the free energy difference $\Delta F = F(\xi_B) - F(\xi_A)$ can be computed as the weighted average (cf. [1, 2, 3])

$$\Delta F = -\varepsilon \ln \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(-\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^1 g(X_\tau, \tau) \circ dX_\tau \right) \right]$$
(4)

where " \circ " means integration in the sense of Stratonovich and $\mathbf{E}[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation over all (bridge) paths that solve the conditioned Langevin equation (2)–(3).



FIGURE 1. Boundaries of metastable states A and B as level sets of the reaction coordinate Φ .

DERIVATION: EULER'S METHOD

Our derivation of (4) is based on the discrete Euler-Maruyama approximation of (2),

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + \Delta \tau f(X_k, \tau_k) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon \Delta \tau} \eta_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$
(5)

Here $\Delta \tau = 1/n$ and $\eta_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ are i.i.d. distributed Gaussian random variables. We call $\mathbf{P}_n(x) = \operatorname{Prob}[X_0 = x_0, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n]$ the joint distribution of the path $x = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$. Assuming that the x_0 follow the Boltzmann distribution ρ conditional on $\Phi(x_0) = \xi_A$, the distribution of the paths is readily shown to be

$$\mathbf{P}_{n}(x) \propto \boldsymbol{\rho}(x_{0}|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{A}) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta \tau}{4\varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}{\Delta \tau} - f(x_{k},\tau_{k})\right|^{2}\right) \delta(\Phi(x_{n}) - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{B}).$$

We are interested in the likelihood ratio of forward and backward paths. To this end we introduce $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_n(x) = \mathbf{P}_n(\tilde{x})$ as the distribution of the reversed paths $\tilde{x} = \{x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $x_n \sim \rho(\cdot | \xi_B)$. By the smoothness of f, the forward measure \mathbf{P}_n has a density with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_n$ that is is given in terms of their Radon-Nikodym derivative,

$$\psi_n(x) = \exp\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(\Delta V + W_n(x))\right)\exp\left(-\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta F\right).$$
(6)

Here $\Delta V = V(x_n) - V(x_0)$ and

$$W_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (x_{k+1} - x_k) \cdot (f(x_k, \tau_k) + f(x_{k+1}, \tau_{k+1})) + \mathcal{O}(|\Delta \tau|)$$

is the Stratonovich approximation of the stochastic work integral, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} W_n(x) = -\Delta V + \int_0^1 g(X_\tau, \tau) \circ dX_\tau \quad (\Delta \tau \to 0, n\Delta \tau = 1).$$

The free energy difference in (6) pops up as a boundary term, $\exp(-\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta F) = Z_B/Z_A$, with Z_A and Z_B normalizing the conditional distributions for forward and backward paths. Upon noting that both \mathbf{P}_n and $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_n$ are probability measures, (6) entails (4) as $n \to \infty$.

AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL LANGEVIN SAMPLER

Now comes our main result: To evaluate the expectation in (4) we have to generate the ensemble of bridge paths. For this purpose we introduce the auxiliary potential

$$\varphi = \Delta \tau^{-1} V(x_0) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{\Delta \tau} + f(x_k, \tau_k) \right|^2 + \Delta \tau^{-1} \varepsilon \left(\ln |\nabla \Phi(x_0)| + \ln |\nabla \Phi(x_n)| \right),$$

so that $\exp(-\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta\tau\varphi)$ is the density of \mathbf{P}_n with respect to the surface element on the image space $\Sigma = \{x \in \mathscr{X}^{n+1} : \Phi(x_0) = \xi_A, \Phi(x_n) = \xi_B\} \subset \mathscr{X}^{n+1}$ of admissible paths. Conversely, $\exp(-\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta\tau\varphi)$ is the stationary distribution of the Langevin equation [4]

$$dQ_s = -\left(\nabla\varphi(Q_s) + \nabla\sigma(Q_s)\lambda^T\right)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon\Delta\tau^{-1}}dW_s, \quad \sigma(Q_s) = 0$$
(7)

where $Q_s = (q_0(s), \ldots, q_n(s))$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ labels the Lagrange multipliers determined by the constraint $\sigma = 0$, the latter being shorthand for $\Phi(q_0) = \xi_A$ and $\Phi(q_n) = \xi_B$.

Using formal arguments (that can be made rigorous using Girsanov's theorem), we can take the limit $n \to \infty$ which turns the Langevin sampler (7) into a stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) for bridge paths [5]. If we denote the continuous path by $\gamma = \gamma(\tau, s)$ with $\tau \in [0, 1]$ now being the "spatial" variable, our SPDE reads

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla f f + \varepsilon \nabla (\nabla \cdot f)) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \frac{\partial W}{\partial s} \quad \forall (\tau, s) \in [0, 1] \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \xi_A, \quad \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s}\right)^{\parallel} = (2\varepsilon Sn - f)^{\parallel} \quad \forall (\tau, t) \in \{0\} \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \xi_B, \quad \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s}\right)^{\parallel} = (f - 2\varepsilon Sn)^{\parallel} \quad \forall (\tau, t) \in \{1\} \times (0, \infty)$$

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \quad \forall (\tau, s) \in [0, 1] \times \{0\}$$
(8)

where $\partial W/\partial s$ is space-time white noise and we have introduced the various shorthands: $n = \nabla \Phi/|\nabla \Phi|$ for the unit normal to the level sets $\{\Phi(x) = \xi\}$, $f^{\parallel} = (I - n \otimes n)f$ for the vector field *f* tangent to the level sets, and $S = \nabla^2 \Phi/|\nabla \Phi|$ for the shape operator (second fundamental form) of $\{\Phi(x) = \xi\}$ understood as a submanifold of \mathscr{X} . Note that although γ lives in $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, which may be high-dimensional, its two argu-

Note that although γ lives in $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, which may be high-dimensional, its two arguments are scalar variables (namely, arc length τ and time *s*). Methods for numerically solving SPDEs such as (8) are discussed in, e.g., [6].

REFERENCES

- 1. C. Jarzynski. Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, pp. 2690–2693, 1996.
- 2. G. Crooks, J. Stat. Phys. 90, pp. 1481–1487, 1998.
- 3. J.C. Latorre, C. Hartmann, and Ch. Schütte. Procedia Computer Science 1, pp. 1591–1600, 2010.
- 4. G. Ciccotti, T. Lelièvre, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 61, pp. 371-408, 2008.
- 5. A.M. Stuart, J. Voss, and P. Wiberg. Commun. Math. Sci. 2, pp. 685–697, 2004.
- 6. A. Beskos, G.O. Roberts, A.M. Stuart, and J. Voss. Stochastics and Dynamics 8, pp. 319–350, 2008.