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There is a gap in the proof of Lemma 3.10(b). This lemma reads as follows; we denote by $B(X)$ the unit ball of a Banach space $X$ and by $S(X)$ its unit sphere.

Lemma 3.10 Let $T$ be a narrow operator on $X$.
(a) Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ be a finite collection of slices and $U \subset B(X)$ be a convex combination of these slices, i.e., there are $\lambda_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \ldots, n$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}=1$, such that $\lambda_{1} S_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} S_{n}=U$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$, every $x_{1} \in S(X)$ and every $w \in U$ there exists an element $u \in U$ such that $\left\|u+x_{1}\right\|>2-\varepsilon$ and $\|T(w-u)\|<\varepsilon$.
(b) The same conclusion is true if $U$ is a relatively weakly open set.

The proof of part (b) in the paper simply says, "This follows from (a) since given $w \in U$ there is a convex combination $V$ of slices such that $w \in V \subset U . "$ (We have taken the liberty to correct a typo in the quote, and of course $U$ is tacitly assumed to be nonempty.) It is true - see the references $[8$, Lemma II.1] or [21] cited in the paper - that there is such a $V$ inside $U$; it is not clear, however, that $V$ can be chosen to contain $w$.

We now wish to give a complete proof of (b). Let $\mathscr{W}$ be the family of all those convex combinations $V$ of slices of $B(X)$ such that $V \subset U$ and let $W$ be its union, i.e., $W=\bigcup \mathscr{W}$. We note that $\mathscr{W} \neq \emptyset$ by the references above and that $W \subset U$. Further, $W$ is convex [if $0<\lambda<1$ and if $x$ (resp. $y$ ) belongs to the convex combination of slices $V_{x}$ (resp. $V_{y}$ ), then $\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y \in \lambda V_{x}+(1-\lambda) V_{y}$, which is a convex combination of slices], and it is weakly dense in $U$ [as every nonvoid relatively weakly open subset of $U$ encompasses a member of $\mathscr{W}]$. Since the norm closure $\bar{W}$ and the weak closure of the convex set $W$ coincide and thus $U \subset \bar{W}$, there is an element $w^{\prime} \in W$ such that $\left\|w-w^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon^{\prime}:=\varepsilon /(1+\|T\|)$. This $w^{\prime}$ belongs to some convex combination $V_{w^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{W}$ of slices. Now apply part (a) to $V_{w^{\prime}}, w^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ to obtain some $u \in V_{w^{\prime}} \subset U$; this $u$ will work.

