APPROXIMATION OF INTEGRATION MAPS OF VECTOR MEASURES AND LIMIT REPRESENTATIONS OF BANACH FUNCTION SPACES

EDUARDO JIMÉNEZ FERNÁNDEZ, ENRIQUE A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ, AND DIRK WERNER

ABSTRACT. We study whether the integration maps of vector measures can be computed as pointwise limits of their finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivatives or not. The positive cases are obtained using the circle of ideas of the approximation property for Banach spaces. The negative ones are given by means of an appropriate use of the Daugavet property. As an application, we analyse when the norm in a space of integrable functions $L^1(m)$ can be computed as a limit of the norms of the spaces of integrable functions with respect to the Radon-Nikodým derivatives of m.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and m be an X-valued countably additive vector measure. Consider the space $L^1(m)$ of (scalar) integrable functions with respect to m. In this paper we are interested in the analysis of the (pointwise) approximation by means of finite rank operators of the associated integration map $I_m: L^1(m) \to X$. Actually, we will choose nets of finite rank operators of a special class, which are the finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivatives of m.

We will show that this can be done in the case of weakly compact operators having values in a space with the approximation property. This allows us to prove a positive result for a big class of examples, as for instance when X is a reflexive space. However, there are cases in which these results cannot be applied; indeed, some of them can even be considered as canonical. Martingale type procedures also allow us to find approximations of integration maps by finite rank operators for some of these situations, for example the identity map (considered as an integration operator) when m is a (scalar) probability measure without atoms, and so the space $L^1(m)$ is a classical Lebesgue L^1 -space. However, we also show that the unconditional pointwise expansion in a series of finite rank operators has some constraints, and cannot be expected in general. To do this, we will use the circle of ideas of the Daugavet property. A Banach space X is said to have the Daugavet property if every operator $T: X \to X$ of rank 1 satisfies the Daugavet equation, that is $\|\operatorname{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$. In recent years, great efforts have been made for studying the Daugavet property for Banach spaces and Banach lattices, and its natural extension to other operators different from the identity map, which are the so-called Daugavet

 $Date \colon \text{September 6, 2017}.$

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary 46G10, 28B05; Secondary 46E30, 47B07, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Vector measures, integration map, Daugavet property.

centers. The reader can find information about the Daugavet equation for general maps in [5] and the references therein.

The reason why this property is relevant for us is that the Daugavet equation as an estimate of the norm of the sum of a pair of operators may be used for establishing clear criteria of when a particular map cannot be represented by a pointwise unconditionally convergent series of finite rank operators (see for example Theorem 2.9 in [4]). Therefore, for establishing some limits to the approximation by finite rank maps, we are interested in analysing when the integration operator $I_m: L^1(m) \to X$ satisfies the Daugavet equation.

2. Preliminaries

The notation that we use is standard. If X is a Banach space, we write B_X for its closed unit ball, and X^* for its dual space. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a positive finite measure space, and write $L^0(\mu)$ for the space of all measurable real functions on Ω (functions which are equal μ -a.e. are identified). We say that a Banach space $X(\mu)$ of functions in $L^0(\mu)$ is a Banach function space with respect to μ if $\chi_\Omega \in X(\mu)$, and for each pair of measurable functions f, g, if $|f| \leq |g|$ with $g \in X(\mu)$, then $f \in X(\mu)$ and $||f|| \leq ||g||$. We will write X instead of $X(\mu)$ if the measure μ is fixed in the context. We say that $X(\mu)$ is σ -order continuous if for every sequence of functions $f_n \in X(\mu)$ with $f_n \downarrow 0$ it follows that $||f_n||_{X(\mu)} \to 0$. We will also use the following (non-standard) notation. If μ and ν are measures on the same measurable space and ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , we will write $X(\mu) \subseteq Y(\nu)$ if the operator that maps the class of μ -a.e. equal functions of f to the class of ν -a.e. equal functions of the same f is continuous.

Throughout the paper $m:\Sigma\to X$ will be a countably additive vector measure, where X is a Banach space; the reader can find all the information that is needed – and briefly explained below – on vector measures and integration in [6, 14]. For each element $x^*\in X^*$ the formula $\langle m,x^*\rangle(A):=\langle m(A),x^*\rangle,\,A\in\Sigma,$ defines a (countably additive) scalar measure. We write $|\langle m,x^*\rangle|$ for its variation. The function $\|m\|$ given on a set $A\in\Sigma$ by

$$||m||(A) = \sup\{|\langle m, x^* \rangle|(A) : x^* \in X^*, ||x^*|| \le 1\}$$

is called the semivariation of m. It is equivalent to the variation if m is a scalar measure. A (vector or scalar valued) measure m is absolutely continuous with respect to μ if $\mu(A)=0$ implies $\|m\|(A)=0$; in this case we write $m\ll\mu$. It is well known that there is always a (non-unique) measure of the form $|\langle m,x^*\rangle|$ with respect to which m is absolutely continuous; we will call such a measure a Rybakov measure for m. Let μ be a finite measure; we say that a vector measure $m:\Sigma\to X$ is equivalent to μ if for all $A\in\Sigma$, $\mu(A)=0$ if and only if $\|m\|(A)=0$.

The space $L^1(m)$ of integrable functions with respect to m is a Banach function space over any Rybakov measure; throughout the paper, we will fix one of them, which will usually be denoted by μ . The elements of $L^1(m)$ are (classes of μ -a.e. measurable) functions f that are integrable with respect to each scalar measure $\langle m, x^* \rangle$, and for every $A \in \Sigma$ there is an element $\int_A f \, dm \in X$ such that $\langle \int_A f \, dm, x^* \rangle = \int_A f \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle$ for every $x^* \in X^*$; the space $L^1(m)$ of m-a.e. equal m-integrable functions is an order continuous Banach lattice endowed with the

norm

(2.1)
$$||f||_{L^1(m)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int |f| \, d|\langle m, x^* \rangle|, \quad f \in L^1(m),$$

and the m-a.e. order. The associated integration operator $I_m: L^1(m) \to X$ is defined by $I_m(f) := \int_{\Omega} f \, dm$, where $f \in L^1(m)$. It is linear and $||I_m|| = 1$.

A continuous operator $T: X \to X$ on a Banach space is said to satisfy the Daugavet equation if the following formula holds,

$$\| \operatorname{Id} + T \| = 1 + \| T \|.$$

A Banach space X is said to have the Daugavet property if $\|\operatorname{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$ is satisfied for every rank one operator. It is well known that, if this happens, then the same equation holds for each weakly compact or merely Radon-Nikodým operator [9]. Recall that a subset A of a Banach space is said to have the Radon-Nikodým property if every closed convex subset $B \subseteq A$ is the closed convex hull of its denting points; an operator T is said to be a Radon-Nikodým operator if the closure of $T(B_X)$ has the Radon-Nikodým property. Every weakly compact operator belongs to this class.

The reader can find a review on the classical results on the Daugavet property in [16]. For the case of Banach lattices of functions, which is particularly important for this paper, see [1, 2] and the references therein. The following generalization of the notion of Daugavet property will be used. Following [4, Definition 1.2], we say that a continuous linear operator $G: X \to Y$ between Banach spaces is a Daugavet center if $\|G+T\| = \|G\| + \|T\|$ is fulfilled for every operator $T: X \to Y$ of rank 1. For this notion and the main properties which are necessary for this paper, see also [3, 4].

3. Approximation of weakly compact integration operators of a vector measure by its finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivatives

The approximation of weakly compact operators by means of finite rank operators is closely related to the approximation property of the Banach space X where the operators are defined, or to this property for its dual space X^* . A series of papers (e.g., [12]) recently published have shown that X^* has the approximation property if and only if for every Banach space Z and every weakly compact operator $T:Z\to X$ there is a net of finite rank operators $T_\alpha:Z\to X$ of norm $\|T_\alpha\|\leq \|T\|$ converging to T pointwise. The problem goes back to the memoir of Grothendieck [7, p. 184], and these developments have provided some useful tools and solutions to some long-standing questions that will provide the key for our arguments. The question is: When and how can an integration map of a vector measure be approximated by nets of finite rank operators? As the reader will see, the result will be used to study when, given a vector measure m, the norm of each element in the corresponding space of integrable functions can be approximated as a limit of a net of the natural finite components of I_m , which we call finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operators of m.

3.1. Integration operators and Radon-Nikodým derivatives of vector measures. Let us start our analysis by establishing several results regarding the set of Radon-Nikodým derivatives of the scalarizations of a given vector measure. Let $m: \Sigma \to E$ be a (countably additive) vector measure.

Lemma 3.1. Consider a vector measure $m: \Sigma \to X$ and a fixed finite measure μ equivalent to m representing the duality (that is, for $f \in L^1(m)$ and $g \in (L^1(m))'$, the Köthe dual, $\langle f, g \rangle := \int fg \, d\mu$; μ may be a Rybakov measure). Then for every $x^* \in X^*$,

$$I_m^*(x^*) = \varphi_{x^*}^m := \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu} \in (L^1(m))',$$

where $\frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu}$ represents the Radon-Nikodým derivative of the measure $A \mapsto \langle m(A), x^* \rangle$ with respect to μ . Moreover, $\|\varphi_{x^*}^m\|_{(L^1(m))'} \leq \|x^*\|_{X^*}$ for all $x^* \in X^*$.

Proof. Take a function $f \in L^1(m)$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Then, since f is m-integrable and $\langle m, x^* \rangle \ll \mu$, we have

$$\langle I_m(f), x^* \rangle = \int f \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle = \int f \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu} d\mu = \int f \varphi_{x^*}^m d\mu.$$

Since this is well-defined for each $f \in L^1(m)$, we have that $\varphi_{x^*}^m \in (L^1(m))'$; more specifically,

$$\left| \int f \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu} d\mu \right| \le \|f\|_{L^1(m)} \cdot \left\| \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu} \right\|_{(L^1(m))'}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{split} \|\varphi_{x^*}^m\|_{(L^1(m))'} &= \sup_{f \in B_{L^1(m)}} \left| \int f \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu} d\mu \right| = \sup_{f \in B_{L^1(m)}} \left| \left\langle \int f \, dm, x^* \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in B_{L^1(m)}} \left\| \int f \, dm \right\| \cdot \|x^*\|_{X^*} \leq \|x^*\|_{X^*}. \end{split}$$

Note, however, that the map $x^* \mapsto \varphi_{x^*}^m$ need not be injective in general.

3.2. Pointwise convergence of nets of norms to the norm in $L^1(m)$. In this section we prove the main general result concerning convergence of nets of norms of spaces $L^1(m_\eta)$ to $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(m)}$.

Fix a finite measure μ . Let $\{X(\mu_{\tau}): \tau \in \Lambda\}$ be a net of Banach function spaces, where all the measures μ_{τ} are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . We will study when the norm in a Banach function space $X(\mu)$ such that $X(\mu) \subseteq \bigcap_{\tau \in \Lambda} X(\mu_{\tau})$ can be computed as a pointwise limit of the norms as $\lim_{\tau} \|f\|_{X(\mu_{\tau})} = \|f\|_{X(\mu)}$ for all $f \in X(\mu)$.

In what follows we are interested in analysing the properties under which, for a net of vector measures $\{m_{\tau}\}$, the norm in $L^{1}(m)$ is the pointwise limit of the norms in $\{L^{1}(m_{\tau})\}$. We need to introduce some new elements.

Let $A \in \Sigma$. We define a function h_A in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ by $h_A = \chi_A - \chi_{A^c}$; this definition makes sense μ -a.e. We will denote by \mathcal{H} all the (classes of) functions defined in this way.

Lemma 3.2. Let $m: \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure. For all functions $f \in L^1(m)$, we have

$$||f||_{L^1(m)} = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_X.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of a direct calculation. We write $A(x^*)$ for the measurable set, depending on x^* , where the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\langle m, x^* \rangle$ with respect to $|\langle m, x^* \rangle|$ equals 1. Then

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{L^{1}(m)} &= \sup_{x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}} \int |f| \, d|\langle m, x^{*} \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}} \int f(\chi_{\{\operatorname{sign}(f) > 0\}} - \chi_{\{\operatorname{sign}(f) \leq 0\}}) (\chi_{A(x^{*})} - \chi_{A(x^{*})^{c}}) \, d\langle m, x^{*} \rangle \\ &= \sup_{x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}} \left[\int f\left(\chi_{\{\operatorname{sign}(f) > 0\} \cap A(x^{*})\} \cup \{\operatorname{sign}(f) \leq 0\} \cap A(x^{*})^{c}\}\right) \, d\langle m, x^{*} \rangle \right. \\ &\left. - \int f\left(\chi_{\{\operatorname{sign}(f) > 0\} \cap A(x^{*})^{c}\} \cup \{\operatorname{sign}(f) \leq 0\} \cap A(x^{*})\}\right) \, d\langle m, x^{*} \rangle \right]. \end{split}$$

Since the sets appearing in the characteristic functions in the expression above are complementary and using the fact that the function is integrable with respect to m, we have

$$||f||_{L^1(m)} \le \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}, A \in \Sigma} \left\langle \int f h_A dm, x^* \right\rangle = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A dm \right\|_X.$$

The converse inequality is an immediate consequence of the fact that $|f| = |f| h_A|$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(m)}$ is a lattice norm, and the straightforward inequality

$$\left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_X \le \| f h_A \|_{L^1(m)} = \| f \|_{L^1(m)}.$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $m, m_1 : \Sigma \to X$ be a couple of vector measures and consider a function $f \in L^1(m) \cap L^1(m_1)$. Then

$$\left| \|f\|_{L^{1}(m)} - \|f\|_{L^{1}(m_{1})} \right| \leq \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_{A} \, dm - \int f h_{A} \, dm_{1} \right\|_{X}.$$

Proof. Consider the linear space VM of all countably additive vector measures from Σ to X. First fix a simple function f. The function $\phi_f : \mathcal{H} \times VM \to X$ given by the formula

$$\phi_f(h_A, m) := \int f \, h_A \, dm \in X$$

is well-defined. Note that

$$m \mapsto \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A dm \right\|_X$$

is a seminorm on VM. Consequently, if $m, m_1 \in VM$ we have

$$\left|\sup_{A\in\Sigma}\left\|\int f\,h_A\,dm\right\|_X-\sup_{A\in\Sigma}\left\|\int f\,h_A\,dm_1\right\|_X\right|\leq \sup_{A\in\Sigma}\left\|\int f\,h_A\,d\left(m-m_1\right)\right\|_X$$

for all simple functions. Thus, as a consequence of Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\left| \left\| f \right\|_{L^1(m)} - \| f \|_{L^1(m_1)} \right| \leq \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm - \int f h_A \, dm_1 \right\|_X$$

for all simple functions. Since simple functions are dense in both spaces for the norm, we get the result for all the functions in $L^1(m) \cap L^1(m_1)$.

The next result provides our first general approximation theorem, which can be applied to any net of vector measures. Notice that no requirements on X are imposed. Later on in this section (Theorem 3.7) we will show that there always exists a net as below for every vector measure whenever X has the approximation

property and the integration map is weakly compact. Recall from Lemma 3.1 the definition of the Radon-Nikodým derivatives $\varphi_{x^*}^m$ of the measure m.

Theorem 3.4. Let Λ be a directed set. Let $m, m_{\eta} : \Sigma \to X$, $\eta \in \Lambda$, be countably additive vector measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the scalar measure μ . Assume that $L^1(m) \subseteq \bigcap_{\eta \in \Lambda} L^1(m_{\eta})$ and that for every $\eta \in \Lambda$ the inclusion of $L^1(m)$ in each space $L^1(m_{\eta})$ has norm one.

Suppose that we have one of the following requirements for the net $\{m_{\eta} : \eta \in \Lambda\}$:

(i) For each $f \in L^1(m)$,

$$\lim_{\eta} \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm - \int f h_A \, dm_{\eta} \right\| = 0.$$

(ii) For each $x^* \in X^*$,

$$\lim_{\eta} \varphi_{x^*}^{m_{\eta}} = \varphi_{x^*}^m$$

in the weak* topology.

Then for each $f \in L^1(m)$,

$$\lim_{\eta \in \Lambda} ||f||_{L^1(m_\eta)} = ||f||_{L^1(m)}.$$

Proof. Assume first that (i) holds. Then the result is a consequence of Lemma 3.3. Using the inequality given there and taking into account that each m-integrable function is m_{η} -integrable, we get that for a fixed f in $L^{1}(m)$,

$$\left| \|f\|_{L^1(m)} - \|f\|_{L^1(m_\eta)} \right| \le \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm - \int f h_A \, dm_\eta \right\|_X \to_\eta 0.$$

Suppose now that (ii) holds. Fix $f \in L^1(m)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking into account Lemma 3.2 we know that there are $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ and $A \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\int f h_A d\langle m, x^* \rangle \ge ||f||_{L^1(m)} - \varepsilon.$$

Then, using the pointwise limit condition, we find

$$\lim_{\eta} \varphi_{x^*}^{m_{\eta}}(fh_A) = \varphi_{x^*}^m(fh_A) = \int fh_A \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle \ge ||f||_{L^1(m)} - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\int f h_A d\langle m_{\eta}, x^* \rangle \leq ||f||_{L^1(m_{\eta})}$ for all η , we obtain that there is $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$ such that for all $\eta \geq \eta_0$,

$$||f||_{L^1(m_\eta)} + \varepsilon \ge ||f||_{L^1(m)} - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, $||f||_{L^1(m)} \ge ||f||_{L^1(m_\eta)}$, and since this happens for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$||f||_{L^1(m)} = \lim_{\eta} ||f||_{L^1(m_{\eta})}.$$

3.3. Approximation of weakly compact integration maps. The arguments in the previous sections lead to the main result of this section. We want to know if it is possible to approximate the integration map by means of finite rank operators. In fact, we want to know how well an integration map $I_m:L^1(m)\to X$ can be approximated using the naturally associated finite rank operators, which are the finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivatives of m to be defined later on. Let us start with an easy case – vector measures with values in a Banach space with a Schauder basis – in order to explain the arguments that we use.

Example 3.5. Let us consider now the approximation of integration operators of vector measures with values in a Banach space with a Schauder basis. Let us show that in this case nothing is required for obtaining a pointwise approximation of the norm of the space $L^1(m)$. This case allows a specific treatment using some ad hoc constructed tools.

Let $X=\ell$ be a Banach space with a normalized monotone Schauder basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$; hence we assume that the basis constant is 1. We define the sequence of biorthogonal functionals $\{e_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in ℓ^* as usual: for each $i,j\in\mathbb{N},\ e_i^*(e_j)=\delta_{i,j}$, Kronecker's delta of i and j. For a fixed natural number n, write P_n for the basis projection on the n-dimensional space generated by the first n vectors, that is

$$P_n(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i^* \rangle e_i \in \ell, \quad x \in \ell;$$

we have $||P_n|| \le 1$ by assumption. Consider a countably additive vector measure $m: \Sigma \to \ell$ and construct the finite dimensional components m_n of the measure by

$$m_n(A) := (P_n \circ m)(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle m(A), e_i^* \rangle e_i \in \ell, \quad A \in \Sigma, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This clearly provides a sequence of countably additive vector measures. Take a function $f \in L^1(m)$. Then we have for each fixed $A \in \Sigma$

$$\left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_{\ell} = \lim_n \left\| P_n \left(\int f h_A \, dm \right) \right\|_{\ell} = \lim_n \left\| \int f h_A \, dm_n \right\|_{\ell}.$$

Thus,

$$||f||_{L^1(m)} = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_{\ell} = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left(\lim_n \left\| \int f h_A \, dm_n \right\|_{\ell} \right).$$

On the other hand, using that $||P_n|| \le 1$, we obtain

$$\sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_{\ell} \ge \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| P_n \left(\int f h_A \, dm \right) \right\|_{\ell} = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm_n \right\|_{\ell}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so

$$||f||_{L^{1}(m)} = \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left(\lim_{n} \left\| \int f h_{A} dm_{n} \right\|_{\ell} \right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n} \left(\sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_{A} dm_{n} \right\|_{\ell} \right) \leq ||f||_{L^{1}(m)}.$$

This proves the result.

The same arguments prove the following general result.

Proposition 3.6. Let m be a vector measure. Consider a net (P_{η}) of operators $P_{\eta}: X \to X$ that converges pointwise to the identity map in X, and such that for all η , $||P_{\eta}|| \le 1$. Consider the vector measures $m_{\eta} := P_{\eta} \circ m$. Then $L^{1}(m) \in L^{1}(m_{\eta})$ for all η and for all $f \in L^{1}(m)$,

$$||f||_{L^1(m)} = \lim_{\eta} ||f||_{L^1(m_{\eta})}.$$

The natural finite rank maps in the setting of vector measures are the ones that can be written as finite sums of products of vectors on X by Radon-Nikodým derivatives of the scalarizations of the vector measure, that is, finite rank operators like

$$R_m(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{x_i^*}^m(\cdot) \, x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\langle m, x_i^* \rangle}{d\mu}(\cdot) \, x_i.$$

We will call this kind of operator a finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operator of m.

Theorem 3.7. Let X be a Banach space with the approximation property, and let $m: \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure such that I_m is weakly compact. Then there is a net of finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operators $(R_m^{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ of $norm \leq 1$ that converges to I_m in the strong operator topology. That is, for every $f \in L^1(m)$

$$\lim_{\alpha} R_m^{\alpha}(f) = \int f \, dm = I_m(f).$$

Proof. We use Theorem 1.2 in [11], which extends the famous Davis-Figiel-Johnson-Pełczyński factorization technique for weakly compact operators. Assume that $\|I_m\|=1$. Since X has the approximation property, Lemma 1.1 in [11] gives that there is a reflexive Banach space X_K that is embedded in X with inclusion map $J_K: X_K \to X$ in such a way that $I_m(B_{L^1(m)}) \subseteq B_{X_K}$. That is, there is a factorization of T as $J_K \circ I_m^0$ through X_K , where $I_m^0: L^1(m) \to X_K$ is the integration map when considered as an operator into X_K , and $\|J_K\| \le 1$. In the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [11] it can be seen that there exists a net (A_α) of finite rank operators from X_K to X such that $\sup_\alpha \|A_\alpha\| \le 1$, with $A_\alpha(I_m^0(f)) \to J_K(I_m^0(f))$ for all $f \in L^1(m)$. Define $R_m^\alpha(f) := A_\alpha \circ I_m^0(f)$. Each A_α has the form $A_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* \otimes x_i$. Since X_K is reflexive and J_K is injective (cf. Lemma 1.1 in [11]), J_K^* has dense range. Hence we may assume that $z_i^* = J_K^* x_i^*$, and we still have $\sup_\alpha \|A_\alpha\| \le 1$ and $A_\alpha(I_m^0(f)) \to J_K(I_m^0(f))$ for all $f \in L^1(m)$. Now we see that

$$A_{\alpha}(I_{m}^{0}(f)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \langle z_{i}^{*}, \int f \, dm \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \langle x_{i}^{*}, \int f \, dm \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle f, \frac{d \langle m, x_{i}^{*} \rangle}{d\mu} \rangle x_{i};$$

in the first instance, $\int f dm$ is taken in X_K and in the second in X. This gives the result.

The following is the main positive result regarding approximation of the norm of a space $L^1(m)$ by means of the finite dimensional components of the integration map I_m . Recall that, for an operator $T: L^1(m) \to X$, the associated vector measure is given by $m_T(A) = T(\chi_A), A \in \Sigma$.

Corollary 3.8. Let X be a Banach space with the approximation property, and let $m: \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure such that I_m is weakly compact.

Then there is a net of finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operators $(R_m^{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha} ||f||_{L^{1}(m_{\alpha})} = ||f||_{L^{1}(m)}, \quad f \in L^{1}(m),$$

where m_{α} is the vector measure associated to R_{m}^{α} .

Proof. Consider the net of finite rank operators $(R_m^{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ of norm ≤ 1 that Theorem 3.7 provides. Note that all the measures m_{α} are countably additive, since R_m^{α} are finite rank operators and $L^1(m)$ is order continuous. Take a function $f \in L^1(m)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $A \in \Sigma$ such that

$$||f||_{L^{1}(m)} - \varepsilon < \left\| \int f h_{A} dm \right\|_{X}$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha} \left\| \int f h_{A} dm_{\alpha} \right\|_{X}$$

$$\leq \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left(\lim_{\alpha} \left\| \int f h_{A} dm_{\alpha} \right\|_{X} \right).$$

Now, since $||R_{\alpha}^m|| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm \right\|_X \ge \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \| R_{\alpha}^m(f h_A) \|_X$$
$$= \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm_{\alpha} \right\|_X = \| f \|_{L^1(m_{\alpha})}$$

for every α , which gives

$$||f||_{L^{1}(m)} - \varepsilon < \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left(\lim_{\alpha} \left\| \int f h_{A} \, dm_{\alpha} \right\|_{X} \right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\alpha} \left(\sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_{A} \, dm_{\alpha} \right\|_{X} \right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\alpha} ||f||_{L^{1}(m_{\alpha})} \leq ||f||_{L^{1}(m)}.$$

This completes the proof.

This clarifies the situation in a large class of Banach space valued vector measures. For example, if X is reflexive we obtain the result directly: each integration map can be approximated by a net of finite rank operators that defines a net of vector measures $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$, and its norm can be computed as the limit of the norms in the associated $L^1(m_{\alpha})$ -spaces.

3.4. Approximation of the integration map by martingale type constructions. In the previous section we have shown that weakly compact integration operators in spaces with the approximation property allow approximations by finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operators. However, this result still excludes the canonical example of an integration map: the identity map in $L^1[0,1]$. The method of approximation that can be used in this case is based on martingale type constructions. Consider a vector measure $m: \Sigma \to X$ and the space of integrable functions $L^1(m)$. Let $\mathbb{P} := \{\mathcal{P}_\eta : \eta \in \Lambda\}$ be the net of (classes of μ -a.e. equal) finite measurable partitions of Ω endowed with the usual inclusion order. Consider the net of vector measures

$$m_{\eta}(A) := \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)} m(B) \in X,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\eta} \in \mathbb{P}$.

Consider the corresponding integration map $I_{m_{\eta}}: L^{1}(m_{\eta}) \to X$. It is easy to see that this is given by the formula

$$I_{m_{\eta}}(f) := \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}_{\eta}} \frac{\int_{B} f \, d\mu}{\mu(B)} m(B) \in X, \quad f \in L^{1}(m_{\eta}).$$

A direct computation also shows that $L^1(m) \in L^1(m_\eta)$, that is, I_{m_η} is well-defined for all functions in $L^1(m)$. The question then is: When can the integration map I_m be approximated pointwise by the family $\{I_{m_\eta} : \eta \in \Lambda\}$? In other words, is it true that

$$\lim_{\eta} I_{m_{\eta}}(f) = I_{m}(f)$$

for every $f \in L^1(m)$? We will show in what follows that in general the answer is negative, although it is true for $L^p[0,1]$, $p \ge 1$.

Example 3.9. Let us check again our canonical example: the identity map in $L^1[0,1]$ considered as an integration map for the vector measure $m(A):=\chi_A$, $A\in \Sigma$. Fix a function $f\in L^1(m)$. Consider the net $\mathbb P$ as above and fix $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is a simple function $f_\varepsilon=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\chi_{B_i}$ such that $\|f-f_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(m)}\leq \varepsilon$. It is assumed, by putting $\lambda_n=0$ if necessary, that $\mathcal P_{\eta_0}=\{B_1,\ldots,B_n\}$ is a partition. Note that $I_{m_\eta}(f_\varepsilon)=I_{m_{\eta_0}}(f_\varepsilon)=f_\varepsilon$ for every $\eta\geq\eta_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{m}(f) - I_{m_{\eta}}(f)\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} &\leq \|I_{m}(f) - I_{m_{\eta}}(f_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} \\ &+ \|I_{m_{\eta}}(f_{\varepsilon}) - I_{m_{\eta}}(f)\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \|f_{\varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{B_{i}} f \, d\mu}{\mu(B_{i})} \chi_{B_{i}}\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} \\ &= \varepsilon + \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\lambda_{i} - \frac{\int_{B_{i}} f \, d\mu}{\mu(B_{i})}\right) \chi_{B_{i}}\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} \\ &= \varepsilon + \int \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\lambda_{i} - \frac{\int_{B_{i}} f \, d\mu}{\mu(B_{i})}\right| \chi_{B_{i}} \, d\mu \\ &= \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\lambda_{i} \mu(B_{i}) - \int_{B_{i}} f \, d\mu\right| \\ &= \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\int_{B_{i}} f_{\varepsilon} \, d\mu - \int_{B_{i}} f \, d\mu\right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{i}} |f_{\varepsilon} - f| \, d\mu \\ &= \varepsilon + \|f_{\varepsilon} - f\|_{L^{1}[0,1]} \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{\eta} I_{m_{\eta}} = I_m$ pointwise. Moreover, notice that for each $A \in \Sigma$, if we replace f and f_{ε} by fh_A and $f_{\varepsilon}h_A$ respectively in the computations above, we can also prove that for each $f \in L^1(m)$

$$\lim_{\eta} \sup_{A \in \Sigma} \left\| \int f h_A \, dm - \int f h_A \, dm_{\eta} \right\| = 0.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.4(i) we obtain $\lim_{\eta} ||f||_{L^1(m_\eta)} = ||f||_{L^1(m)}$ for each $f \in L^1(m)$.

In general, the convergence of martingales is not assured in Banach function spaces. It is well known that in the case of the spaces $L^p[0,1], 1 , this is true,$ as a consequence of Doob's martingale inequality. Actually, this fact can be extended to the case of Bochner spaces $L^p(\mu, X)$ over non-atomic probability measures μ (the reader can find a proof in Theorem 1.5 and Remark 1.7 in [15]). However, the arguments that support these results cannot be transferred to the whole class of Banach function spaces. Consider an order continuous Banach function space $X(\mu)$ over a non-atomic probability measure μ , and define the same vector measure $\Sigma \ni A \mapsto \chi_A \in X(\mu)$ that has been considered in the example above. Note that in this case $L^1(m) = X(\mu)$ isometrically and $I_m = \mathrm{Id}$, the identity map in $X(\mu)$. In [10], it is studied to what extent the convergence of martingales in Banach function spaces resembles the case of $L^p[0,1]$, and several positive results are shown for relevant spaces like $L \log L$. However, a counterexample is also given for the general fact. It must be noted that in that paper, the definition of Banach function space includes the requirement of having the Fatou property, so the class of Banach function spaces considered there is smaller than the one we are considering.

Under this requirement, Theorem 1 in [10] establishes that for an order continuous (and Fatou) Banach function space $X(\mu)$, a uniformly integrable martingale defined by a sequence of continuous conditional expectation operators $E_n: X(\mu) \to X(\mu)$ when applied to a function $f \in X(\mu)$ is convergent to f in the norm of $X(\mu)$ for each f if and only if the sequence $(\|E_n\|)_n$ is uniformly bounded. However, it is also proved that this requirement is not always satisfied. Thus, every sequence of conditional expectation operators is bounded in L^p , but in a general function space this need not be true. Moreover, Theorem 2 in [10] shows that for rearrangement invariant order continuous and Fatou Banach function spaces the convergence of uniformly integrable martingales is guaranteed. Again, there are spaces $L^1(m)$ that are not rearrangement invariant, so the result does not apply in our setting.

4. The Daugavet equation for integration maps

In this section we analyse the negative results regarding unconditional pointwise approximation of integration maps. We will show that, even in the simplest examples, the integration map of a vector measure cannot be represented as a pointwise unconditionally convergent series of finite rank operators, or even of weakly compact operators. The main conclusion of this section is the following. There are vector measures with associated integration maps of absolutely different nature representing the same space: they may satisfy that $||f||_{L^1(m)} = \lim_{\eta} ||f||_{L^1(m_{\eta})}$ for all $f \in L^1(m)$, but I_m cannot be represented as an unconditionally pointwise convergent series of weakly compact operators. The Daugavet property is our main source of examples and counterexamples, together with the following useful result that can be found in [4, Th. 2.9].

Theorem A. Let $G \in L(X,Y)$. Suppose that the inequality

$$||G + T|| > C + ||T||$$

with C > 0 holds for every operator T in a subspace $M \subseteq L(X,Y)$ of operators. Let $\hat{T} = \sum_{n \in \Gamma} T_n$ be represented as a (maybe uncountable) pointwise unconditionally convergent series of operators $T_n \in M$. Then $||G - \hat{T}|| \ge C$.

Recall that if a Banach space X has the Daugavet property, then also every weakly compact operator $T: X \to X$ satisfies the Daugavet equation $\|\operatorname{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|$, so the result above applies. This result can be found in [9, Lemma 2.6] for this specific case.

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and μ a positive measure without atoms. The main examples of Banach function spaces that have the Daugavet property are $L^1(\mu)$ and $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Another example is C(K) if K is a compact Hausdorff topological space without isolated points. In this section we study when the integration operator I_m satisfies the Daugavet equation for a suitable $L^1(m)$ -valued vector measure or in a more general sense, when $||I_m + I_n|| = ||I_m|| + ||I_n||$ for vector measures $m, n : \Sigma \to X$ such that $L^1(m) = L^1(n)$.

Let us start with the main negative example. Let m be a non-atomic vector measure. It is well known that I_m is compact if and only if m has finite variation and admits a Radon-Nikodým derivative, and in this case $L^1(m) = L^1(|m|)$ (see [14, Ch. 3]). For instance, if μ is a Rybakov measure for such a vector measure m satisfying $L^1(m) = L^1(\mu)$, then the integration map $I_{\mu}: L^1(\mu) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f \mapsto \int f d\mu$ produces the same space of integrable functions. We can pretend that this integration map has values in $L^1(\mu)$ by considering $f \mapsto \int f d\mu \otimes g$ for a fixed norm one function $g \in L^1(\mu)$.

However, the operator associated to the vector measure $m_0(A) := \chi_A \in L^1(\mu)$, that is the identity map $I_{m_0} = \operatorname{Id} : L^1(m_0) \to L^1(\mu)$, gives the same space of integrable functions $L^1(m_0) = L^1(m) = L^1(\mu)$. In this case, it is clear that the integration map $I_{m_0} = \operatorname{Id}$ cannot be approximated in the operator norm by a sequence of compact operators.

Theorem A above, together with the Daugavet property of $L^1[0,1]$, implies that the integration map $I_{m_0}: L^1[0,1] \to L^1[0,1]$ cannot be represented as a pointwise unconditionally convergent series of weakly compact operators. However, if $m_1(A):=\mu(A)\otimes\chi_{[0,1]}$ — which has an associated rank 1 integration map —, we have that $L^1(m_0)=L^1(m_1)=L^1[0,1]$ and for each function $f\in L^1[0,1]$, $\|f\|_{L^1(m_0)}=\|f\|_{L^1(m_1)}$. The results provided in Section 3.4 show that, although we can approximate I_{m_0} pointwise by a net of finite rank operators, the corresponding series that approximates a function cannot be unconditionally convergent in general.

Let us present another example in this direction.

Example 4.1. The previous example gives some ideas regarding spaces $L^1(m)$ of $L^1(\mu)$ -valued measures. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a non-atomic finite measure space. For a continuous linear operator $T: L^1(\mu) \to L^1(\mu)$ consider the vector measure defined as $m_T(A) := T(\chi_A)$ for each measurable set $A \in \Sigma$.

Let $T:L^1(\mu)\to L^1(\mu)$ be an isomorphism and let $R:L^1(\mu)\to L^1(\mu)$ be a Radon-Nikodým operator (for example, a weakly compact operator). Consider the vector measures m_T and $m_{R\circ T}$. Then the corresponding integration operators I_{m_T} and $I_{m_{R\circ T}}$ satisfy

$$||I_{m_T} + I_{m_{R \cap T}}|| = ||I_{m_T}|| + ||I_{m_{R \cap T}}||$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a function $f \in L^1(m_T)$ such that

$$\left\| \int f \, dm_T + \int f \, dm_{R \circ T} \right\| \ge \|I_{m_T}\| + \|I_{m_{R \circ T}}\| - \varepsilon.$$

In other words, again by Theorem A we cannot approximate pointwise unconditionally the integration map associated to T by means of integration maps associated to vector measures constructed using operators like $R \circ T$, where R is a Radon-Nikodým operator.

As in the previous section, in what follows we will describe the validity of the Daugavet equation when integration operators are involved in terms of the Radon-Nikodým derivative operators of their scalarizations. As usual, the restriction of an operator $T: E \to X$ to a subset Y of E is denoted by $T|_Y$.

Lemma 4.2. Let X, X_0, X_1 be Banach spaces and consider two vector measures $m: \Sigma \to X_0$ and $m_1: \Sigma \to X_1$ that are equivalent to a scalar measure μ . Suppose that $Z = Z(\mu)$ is a Banach function space such that $Z(\mu) \subseteq L^1(m) \cap L^1(m_1)$ and $X_0 + X_1 \subseteq X$ with continuous inclusions. Then for every scalar λ ,

$$||I_m|_Z + \lambda I_{m_1}|_Z||_{L(Z(\mu),X)} = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} ||\varphi_{x^*}^m| + \lambda \varphi_{x^*}^{m_1}||_{Z(\mu)^*}.$$

Proof. Note that by the inclusion requirement $Z(\mu) \subseteq L^1(m) \cap L^1(m_1)$, for all $f \in Z(\mu)$ the integrals $\int f dm$ and $\int f dm_1$ make sense. The following direct computation gives the result:

$$||I_m|_Z + \lambda I_{m_1}|_Z||_{L(Z,X)} = \sup_{f \in B_Z} ||I_m|_Z(f) + \lambda I_{m_1}|_Z(f)||_X$$

$$= \sup_{f \in B_Z} ||\int f \, dm + \lambda \int f \, dm_1||_X$$

$$= \sup_{f \in B_Z} \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left\{ \left\langle \int f \, dm, x^* \right\rangle + \left\langle \lambda \int f \, dm_1, x^* \right\rangle \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{f \in B_Z, x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left\{ \int f \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle + \lambda \int f \, d\langle m_1, x^* \rangle \right\}$$

Let $\varphi_{x^*}^m = \frac{d\langle m, x^* \rangle}{d\mu}$ and $\varphi_{x^*}^{m_1} = \frac{d\langle m_1, x^* \rangle}{d\mu}$ be the Radon-Nikodým derivatives with respect to the fixed measure μ ; note that they belong to Z^* . Then

$$\begin{split} \sup_{f \in B_Z, x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left\{ \int f \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle + \lambda \int f \, d\langle m, x^* \rangle \right\} \\ &= \sup_{f \in B_Z, x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left\{ \int f \varphi_{x^*}^m d\mu + \int \lambda f \varphi_{x^*}^{m_1} d\mu \right\} \\ &= \sup_{f \in B_Z, x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left\{ \int f (\varphi_{x^*}^m + \lambda \varphi_{x^*}^{m_1}) d\mu \right\} \\ &= \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \|\varphi_{x^*}^m + \lambda \varphi_{x^*}^{m_1}\|_{Z^*}. \end{split}$$

Let us give some direct applications. Let $X(\mu)$ be an order continuous Banach function space over a finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . We will say that a vector measure $m: \Sigma \to X$ represents $X(\mu)$ if $L^1(m) = X(\mu)$ isometrically and in the order. We consider first the case when a space $X(\mu)$ is represented by a vector measure m having values in the same space $X(\mu)$. Thus, let us show a particular case that will be relevant later on in the paper. Suppose that m and m_1 are vector measures having values in the order continuous Banach function space $X(\mu)$ such that $L^1(m) = L^1(m_1) = X(\mu)$ (isometrically), and in such a way that $I_{m_1} =$

Id: $X(\mu) \to X(\mu)$, the identity map; this happens if m_1 is the vector measure $\Sigma \ni A \mapsto m_1(A) := \chi_A \in X(\mu)$, and in this case $\mathrm{Id}(f) = \int f \, dm_1 = f$. Then,

$$\| \operatorname{Id} + I_m \| = \sup_{h \in B_{(X(\mu))'}} \| h + \varphi_h^m \|,$$

and consequently the following holds.

Corollary 4.3. For each vector measure $m: \Sigma \to X(\mu)$ representing $X(\mu)$, the Daugavet equation $\|\operatorname{Id} + I_m\| = 2$ holds if and only if

$$\sup_{h \in B_{(X(\mu))'}} \left\| h + \frac{d\langle m, h \rangle}{d\mu} \right\| = 2$$

holds.

Example 4.4. Consider again our canonical example. Take the $L^1[0,1]$ -valued vector measures defined as $m_1(A) := \chi_A$ and $m(A) := \mu(A) \cdot \chi_{[0,1]}$ for each Lebesgue measurable set A. Both of them give $L^1[0,1]$ as space of integrable functions isometrically; for them, we have that

$$\sup_{h\in B_{L^{\infty}[0,1]}} \biggl\| h + \frac{d\langle m,h\rangle}{d\mu} \biggr\| = 2.$$

Taking into account that the Radon-Nikodým derivative of the measure $A \mapsto \mu(A) \int \chi_{[0,1]} h \, d\mu = \langle m, h \rangle(A)$ is

$$\frac{d\langle m, h \rangle}{d\mu} = \chi_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} h \, d\mu,$$

we obtain that in this case, this is equivalent to

$$\sup_{h \in B_{L^{\infty}[0,1]}} \left\| h + \chi_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} h \, d\mu \right\| = 2;$$

in fact, the same result is true when we replace the function $\chi_{[0,1]}$ by any other norm one function in $L^1[0,1]$, since all of them define rank 1 operators.

There are other cases of Banach lattices of integrable functions that also have the Daugavet property and are not L^1 -spaces. Let us explain some examples. It is well known that, if μ is a non-atomic probability measure and E is a Banach space, the space $L^1(\mu, E)$ of Bochner integrable functions has the Daugavet property (see [9, p. 858, Example]). Thus, in the case that E is also a Banach lattice, it is known that $L^1(\mu, E)$ is a Banach lattice too that has the Daugavet property. Another interesting example of a Banach space having the Daugavet property is the Bochner space $L^{\infty}(\mu, E)$: it has the Daugavet property is E has it or μ is non-atomic (see [13, Th. 5]), and it is also a Banach lattice if E is. However, since all the spaces $L^1(m)$ for a vector measure m are order continuous Banach function spaces, the application of the previously mentioned space as example of our class is restricted to finite ℓ^{∞} -sums of Banach function spaces having the Daugavet property (this case was already considered in [17]). Anyway, c_0 -sums of Banach function spaces with the Daugavet property also have this property, as can be deduced from Proposition 2.16 in [9]: take for example a disjoint countable measurable partition $\{A_i:i\in\mathbb{N}\}$ of [0,1]; the c_0 -sum of $L^1(\mu|_{A_i})$ has the Daugavet property. This space satisfies the order continuity requirement, and so it can be represented as an $L^1(m)$ of a vector measure m.

However, it must be said that the class of Banach function spaces having the Daugavet property is rather small. The results in [1], [2] and [8] show this. Thus, Theorem 3.6 in [2] states that for a rearrangement invariant Banach function space $X(\mu)$ over a finite measure μ with the weak Fatou property, the Daugavet property implies that $X(\mu)$ coincides either with $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ or with $L^{1}(\mu)$ isometrically. Since all the spaces $L^{1}(m)$ of a vector measure m are order continuous, those with the properties just mentioned are restricted to being isometric to L^{1} -spaces of finite measures. In particular, for a non-atomic vector measure m, if $L^{1}(m)$ is isometric to an Orlicz space with the Luxemburg norm that has the Daugavet property, then it must be isometric to $L^{1}[0,1]$ [2, Cor. 4.3].

Therefore we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.5. Let $X(\mu)$ be an order continuous Banach function space with the Daugavet property (in particular, if $X(\mu) = L^1(\mu)$ for a non-atomic measure μ). The following assertions hold.

(1) Let m be an $X(\mu)$ -valued countably additive vector measure representing $X(\mu)$ such that I_m is a Radon-Nikodým operator. Then

$$\|\operatorname{Id} + I_m\| = \sup_{h \in B_{(X(\mu))'}} \|h + \varphi_h^m\|_{(X(\mu))'} = 2.$$

- (2) There is a vector measure m representing $X(\mu)$ such that its integration map I_m is not representable as a pointwise unconditionally convergent series (maybe uncountable) of Radon-Nikodým operators. In fact, the same result holds if $X(\mu)$ is isomorphic to a space with the Daugavet property.
- (3) Let \mathcal{M} be the normed space of all linear combinations of finite rank Radon-Nikodým derivative operators associated to vector measures representing $X(\mu)$. Then the integration map associated to the vector measure $\Sigma \ni A \mapsto \chi_A \in X(\mu)$ representing $X(\mu)$, which is the identity map, cannot be represented as a (maybe uncountable) pointwise unconditionally convergent series of operators in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of the Daugavet property of $X(\mu)$. The second one can be proved as a direct application of Theorem A in [4], taking into account that again by the Daugavet property of $X(\mu)$, Radon-Nikodým operators satisfy the Daugavet equation. The third statement is the result of considering in particular those operators that are finite sums of finite rank integration maps representing $X(\mu)$.

Remark 4.6. A similar version of the result above can be obtained if we replace the operator Id by any integration operator I_m that is a Daugavet center, without the assumption that $X(\mu)$ has the Daugavet property. The reader can find information about this notion in [3] and [4]. In fact, using Remark 2.10 in [4], more can be said. If a Banach function space $X(\mu)$ can be represented by an integration map—maybe defined in a different Banach space F—that is a Daugavet center, then $X(\mu)$ cannot have an unconditional basis (countable or uncountable) or be represented as unconditional sum of reflexive spaces. The reason is that then I_m cannot be written as a pointwise unconditionally convergent series of weakly compact operators; in particular, of course I_m cannot be a weakly compact operator.

The structure of the spaces $L^1(m)$ with I_m being a Daugavet center is, as a consequence of the previous results and comments, close to being isomorphic to

spaces L^1 of scalar measures. In fact, in [4] it is proved that every Daugavet center fixes a copy of ℓ^1 , and so $L^1(m)$ cannot be a reflexive space.

Acknowledgements. E. Jiménez Fernández was supported by Junta de Andalucía and FEDER (grant no. P09-FQM-4911 (Spain)) and by Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad (grant no. MTM2012-36740-C02-02 (Spain)). E.A. Sánchez Pérez was supported by Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad (grant no. MTM2016-77054-C2-1-P (Spain)).

References

- M. D. Acosta, A. Kamińska, and M. Mastyło, The Daugavet property and weak neighborhoods in Banach lattices, J. Convex Anal. 19(3) (2012), 875-912.
- [2] M. D. Acosta, A. Kamińska, and M. Mastyło, The Daugavet property in rearrangement invariant spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367(6) (2015), 4061–4078.
- [3] T. V. Bosenko, Daugavet centers and direct sums of Banach spaces, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8(2) (2010), 346–356.
- [4] T. V. Bosenko and V. Kadets, Daugavet centers, J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 6(1) (2010), 3–20.
- [5] S. Brach, E. A. Sánchez Pérez, and D. Werner, The Daugavet equation for bounded vector valued functions, to appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.
- [6] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., Vector Measures, Mathematical Surveys, 15, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955).
- [8] V. Kadets, M. Martín, J. Merí, and D. Werner, Lushness, numerical index 1 and the Daugavet property in rearrangement invariant spaces, Can. J. Math. 65(2) (2013), 331–348.
- [9] V. M. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin, and D. Werner, Banach spaces with the Daugavet property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352(2) (2000), 855–873.
- [10] M. Kikuchi, A note on the convergence of martingales in Banach function spaces, Anal. Math. 25 (1999), 265–276.
- [11] Å. Lima, O. Nygaard, and E. Oja, Isometric factorization of weakly compact operators and the approximation property, Israel J. Math. 119 (2000), 325–348.
- [12] Å. Lima and E. Oja, Ideals of operators, approximability in the strong operator topology, and the approximation property, Michigan Math. J. 52(2) (2004), 253–265.
- [13] M. Martín and A. R. Villena, Numerical index and the Daugavet property for $L_{\infty}(\mu, X)$, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 46(2) (2003), 415–420.
- [14] S. Okada, W. Ricker, and E. A. Sánchez Pérez, Optimal Domains and Integral Extensions of Operators Acting in Function Spaces, Operator Theory. Advances and Applications, Vol. 180, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [15] G. Pisier, Martingales in Banach Spaces, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [16] D. Werner, Recent progress on the Daugavet property, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin 46 (2001), 77–97.
- [17] P. Wojtaszczyk, Some remarks on the Daugavet equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115(4) (1992), 1047–1052.

Departamento de Economía, Universitat Jaume I, Campus del Riu Sec, s/n, 12071 Castelló de la Plana, Spain

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: jimeneze@uji.es}$

Instituto Universitatio de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain

E-mail address: easancpe@mat.upv.es

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 6, 14195 Berlin, Germany

E-mail address: werner@math.fu-berlin.de