

# Counting triangulations and pseudo-triangulations of wheels

Dana Randall  
Georgia Tech

Günter Rote  
FU Berlin

Francisco Santos  
U Cantabria

Jack Snoeyink  
UNC Chapel Hill

## Abstract

Motivated by several open questions on triangulations and pseudotriangulations, we give closed form expressions for the number of triangulations and the number of minimum pseudo-triangulations of  $n$  points in wheel configurations, that is, with  $n - 1$  in convex position. Although the numbers of triangulations and pseudotriangulations vary depending on the placement of the interior point, their difference is always the  $(n - 2)$ nd Catalan number.

We also prove an inequality  $\#PT \leq 3^i \#T$  for the numbers of minimum pseudo-triangulations and triangulations of any point configuration with  $i$  interior points.

## 1 Introduction

A *triangulation* of a set  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane is a partition of the convex hull of  $P$  into triangles using as corners all the points of  $P$  and no other point. Equivalently, a triangulation is a straight-line embedding of a planar graph whose vertex set is  $P$ , and whose faces are triangles, except for the outer face which is the exterior of the convex hull of the points. (We assume that all point sets in this paper are in general position: that no three points lie on a common line.)

Although there have been many interesting results on counting and enumerating triangulations in the plane, there remain elementary open questions, such as what point sets have the most and the fewest triangulations. A series of upper bounds are known on the number of triangulations of a given point set, with the current best being  $2^{8.12n+O(\log n)}$  by Denny and Sohler. Bespamyatnikh [3] has the fastest enumeration algorithm for triangulations, and an algorithm of

Pocchiola can be generalized to enumerate pseudotriangulations [4]. Aichholzer [2] maintains a list of the leading examples that are known for up to 20 points.

A *pseudo-triangle* is a planar polygon that has exactly three convex vertices, called *corners*, with internal angles less than  $\pi$ . A *pseudo-triangulation* for a set  $P$




Figure 1:  
Pseudo-triangles

of  $n$  points in the plane is a partition of the convex hull of  $P$  into pseudo-triangles whose vertex set is exactly  $P$ . Pseudo-triangulations, which have also been called geodesic triangulations, have been studied because of their applications to visibility [10, 11], ray shooting [5, 6], kinetic collision detection [1, 7, 8], and rigidity [13] in the plane.

A pseudo-triangulation is *minimum* if it uses  $n - 2$  pseudo-triangles [13]. In a minimum pseudo-triangulation, every vertex is *pointed*—all of the edges emanating from it lie in a cone of angle less than  $\pi$ . In a triangulation, only the vertices of the convex hull are pointed. Minimum pseudo-triangulations have nice properties: Every edge except the convex hull edges can be flipped, and the flip incidence graph is connected, regular and polytopal [12].

For  $n$  points in convex position, the only pseudo-triangulations are the triangulations. Their number is well-known to be the  $(n - 2)$ nd Catalan number,  $\frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2(n-2)}{n-2}$ . For convenience, we denote this number  $C_n$ , instead of the standard notation  $C_{n-2}$ .




Figure 2: Pseudotriangulations of wheels

We are interested in counting the number of triangulations,  $\#T$ , and minimum pseudotriangulations,  $\#PT$ , for given point configurations. We would like to know the relationships between these numbers, and the  $n$ -point sets that give rise to their minimum and maximum values. For example, from the data in [2] it seems plausible that a double circle has the smallest number of triangulations among all planar configurations with  $n$  points. (A double circle consists of  $n/2$  vertices of a convex polygon and an additional interior point very close to each edge of the polygon.) We conjecture that  $\#T \leq \#PT$ , with equality only for points in convex position. In this note we merely scratch the surface of these questions, and show that for *wheel configurations*, with  $n - 1$  points in convex position and one somewhere inside the convex hull, the difference  $\#PT - \#T$  equals the  $(n - 2)$ nd Catalan number, although the numbers  $\#T$  and  $\#PT$  can vary (Lemmas 1 to 4 and Corollary 6). We also prove that  $\#PT \leq 3^i \#T$  for any configuration (Theorem 7), where  $i$  is the number of interior points (those which are not vertices of the convex hull).

Note that we consider triangulations and pseudotriangulations of a fixed point set. Topological triangulations, which fix the topology of the embedding but not the geometry, are different. In 1962, Tutte [14] gave an elegant expression for the number of rooted topological triangulations on  $n$  vertices and an asymptotic count of about  $2^{cn+o(n)}$  for  $3.245 < c < 3.246$ . In the upcoming ICALP, Li and Nakano [9] give a nice enumeration algorithm.

## 2 Triangulations of the wheel

We will consider point configurations that we call *wheels*:  $n$  points with  $n - 1$  in convex position and one point inside. Two examples are given in Figure 2a and b. We determine  $\#T$  and  $\#PT$  for wheels by counting in a special case in which the inner point is close to one side of the convex hull, as in Figure 2b.

**Lemma 1** *An  $n$ -point wheel, with inner point near one side, has  $\#T = C_n - C_{n-1}$ .*

**Proof:** Any triangulation of a wheel with inner point  $q$  close to edge  $pr$  includes the triangle  $\triangle pqr$ . By removing edge  $pr$  and moving  $q$  into convex position we have a bijection between triangulations of this wheel and the  $C_n - C_{n-1}$  triangulations of a convex  $n$ -gon that do not use the edge  $pr$ . ■

**Lemma 2** *An  $n$ -point wheel, with inner point near one side, has  $\#PT = 2C_n - C_{n-1}$ .*

**Proof:** With only one inside point  $q$  close to edge  $pr$ , a minimum pseudo-triangulation will be a triangulation with exception of one quadrilateral that involves  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ . We can form such pseudo-triangulations in two ways: First, from any of the  $C_n - C_{n-1}$  triangulations of the wheel, we may remove either edge  $qp$  or  $qr$ , producing  $2(C_n - C_{n-1})$  distinct pseudo-triangulations. Second, we may add edges  $qp$  and  $qr$  to any of the  $C_{n-1}$  triangulations of the  $n - 1$  points in convex position. ■

We are now interested in moving the inner point and observe how  $\#T$  and  $\#PT$  change. Change occurs when three points become colinear; in the wheel, when the inner point crosses a chord of the convex  $(n - 1)$ -gon. We use the term  *$i$ -chord* to refer to a directed chord whose introduction splits the convex  $(n - 1)$ -gon into a convex  $(i + 1)$ -gon on the




Figure 3:  $i$ -chord

left and an  $(n - i)$ -gon on the right, as in Figure 3. Crossing an  $i$ -chord will always be meant from the left side.

**Lemma 3** *As the inner point in an  $n$ -point wheel crosses an  $i$ -chord,  $\#T$  increases by  $C_{i+1}C_{n-i+1} - C_{i+2}C_{n-i}$ .*

**Proof:** When  $q$  crosses  $i$ -chord  $pr$  from left to right, triangulations that do not use edge  $pq$  are unchanged. Those that change consist of a triangulation of a convex polygon and a wheel of the type counted by Lemma 1. Thus, there are  $C_{i+1}(C_{n-i+1} - C_{n-i})$  triangulations gained and  $C_{n-i}(C_{i+2} - C_{i+1})$  lost. ■

**Lemma 4** *As the inner point in an  $n$ -point wheel crosses an  $i$ -chord,  $\#PT$  increases by  $C_{i+1}C_{n-i+1} - C_{i+2}C_{n-i}$ .*

**Proof:** When  $q$  crosses  $i$ -chord  $pr$  from left to right, two types of pseudo-triangulations change. The first type are those that use edge  $pr$ : as in the proof of Lemma 3, we gain  $C_{i+1}(2C_{n-i+1} - C_{n-i})$  pseudo-triangulations and lose  $C_{n-i}(2C_{i+2} - C_{i+1})$ . The second type are those using both  $pq$  and  $pr$ . Of these we gain  $C_{n-i}C_{i+2}$  and lose  $C_{i+1}C_{n-i+1}$ . Thus, the net gain is  $C_{i+1}C_{n-i+1} - C_{i+2}C_{n-i}$ . ■

**Corollary 5** *For any wheel with  $n$  points in general position,  $\#PT = \#T + C_n$ .*

**Proof:** By Lemmas 1 and 2, when the inner point is close to a side, the difference  $\#PT - \#T = C_n$ . By Lemmas 3 and 4, this difference does not change as the inner point moves across chords. ■

Lemmas 1 to 4 also imply that the minimum of  $\#PT$  and  $\#T$  are obtained when the interior point is close to an edge and the maximum when the interior point is “at the center” (except the center is only well-defined for a regular wheel). The next result gives the value of this maximum:

**Corollary 6** *Among all odd wheels, with  $2m - 1$  points in convex position and one point inside, the maximum numbers of triangulations*

*and pseudo-triangulations occur when the vertices are evenly spaced along a circle and the interior point is in the center. The numbers are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \#T &= \frac{1}{2} (C_{2m+1} - (2m - 1)(C_{m+1})^2) \\ \#PT &= \frac{1}{2} (C_{2m+1} + 2C_{2m} - (2m - 1)(C_{m+1})^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Sketch: Starting with a point near an edge, the numbers of triangulations and pseudo-triangulations increase as we cross  $i$ -chords with  $1 < i < m$ . The maximum number of such chords which we can cross for a given  $i$  is  $(i + 1)$ , and in the regular wheel we have crossed exactly that number when the point is in the center. By Lemmas 1 and 3 the number of triangulations is

$$\begin{aligned} \#T &= C_{2m} - C_{2m-1} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < m} (i + 1)[C_{i+1}C_{2m-i+1} - C_{i+2}C_{2m-i}]. \end{aligned}$$

This can be reduced to the closed form of the corollary. ■

### 3 A general bound

Let now  $P$  be an arbitrary point configuration in general position. Let  $P_i$  be the subset of interior points of  $P$ . For any subset  $W \subseteq P_i$  let  $PT_W$  denote the set of pseudo-triangulations whose set of pointed interior vertices is  $W$ . In the notation of the previous section,  $\#PT_{P_i} = \#PT$  and  $\#PT_\emptyset = \#T$ .

**Theorem 7** *For every  $W \subseteq P_i$  and  $v \in W$ ,  $\#PT_W \leq 3\#PT_{W \setminus \{v\}}$ . In particular,  $\#PT \leq 3^i \#T$ , where  $i = \#P_i$ .*

Let any vertex in a pseudo-triangulation which is not pointed be called *cyclic*.

**Lemma 8** *Given any pseudo-triangulation with pointed vertices  $W$  and any interior vertex  $v \in W$ , one can add an edge to form a new pseudo-triangulation with pointed vertices  $W \setminus \{v\}$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $v$  is pointed, there is a (unique) pseudo-triangle with  $v$  in its boundary and of which  $v$  is not a corner. Let the corners of this

pseudo-triangle be  $x, y, z$  and assume  $v$  lies on the concave chain between  $x$  and  $y$ . If the edge  $vz$  lies on the interior of this pseudotriangle, then its addition makes  $v$  cyclic and  $z$  remains pointed (in case it was pointed before). If  $vz$  intersects the pseudo-triangle, then there must be a vertex  $w$  lying on the concave chain from  $x$  to  $z$ , or from  $y$  to  $z$ , such that  $vw$  is tangent to this chain. It is easy to check that the addition of  $vw$  makes  $v$  cyclic and it does not make  $w$  cyclic. ■

Given a pseudo-triangulation and a cyclic vertex  $v$ , call any edge incident to  $v$  *critical for  $v$*  if its removal makes  $v$  pointed.

**Lemma 9** *for any cyclic vertex  $v$  there are at most three critical edges.*

**Proof:** An edge  $vw$  is critical for  $v$  only if the two angles incident to  $vw$  at  $v$  together add more than  $\pi$ . Adding this for all the critical edges we are counting each angle incident to  $v$  at most twice, giving a total of at most  $4\pi$ . Hence,  $\#\text{critical edges} < 4\pi/\pi$ , as stated. ■

**Proof:** (of Theorem 7) Adding the numbers of edges which are critical for  $v$  over all the pseudo-triangulations in  $PT_{W \setminus \{v\}}$  we are counting the elements of  $PT_{W \setminus \{v\}}$  at most three times by Lemma 9 and those of  $PT_W$  at least once by Lemma 8. ■

## 4 Acknowledgments

We thank Ileana Streinu and all other participants of the Bellairs Workshop on Pseudotriangulations, Oswin Aichholzer, and Erik Demaine for enjoyable discussions.

## References

- [1] P. K. Agarwal, J. Basch, L. J. Guibas, J. Hershberger, and L. Zhang. Deformable free space tiling for kinetic collision detection. In *Proc. 4th Workshop Algorithmic Found. Robot.*, 2000. To appear.
- [2] O. Aichholzer. Triangulation olympics. <http://www.cis.tugraz.at/igi/oaich/triangulations/counting/counting.html>.
- [3] S. Bespamyatnikh. An efficient algorithm for enumeration of triangulations. 10th Annual Fall Workshop on Computational Geometry. <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~besp/cgw.ps.gz>, 2000.
- [4] H. Brönnimann, L. Kettner, M. Pocchiola, and J. Snoeyink. Counting and enumerating pseudotriangles by the greedy flip. In preparation, 2001.
- [5] B. Chazelle, H. Edelsbrunner, M. Grigni, L. J. Guibas, J. Hershberger, M. Sharir, and J. Snoeyink. Ray shooting in polygons using geodesic triangulations. *Algorithmica*, 12:54–68, 1994.
- [6] M. T. Goodrich and R. Tamassia. Dynamic ray shooting and shortest paths in planar subdivisions via balanced geodesic triangulations. *J. Algorithms*, 23:51–73, 1997.
- [7] D. Kirkpatrick, J. Snoeyink, and B. Speckmann. Kinetic collision detection for simple polygons. In *Proc. 16th ACM Symp. Comp. Geom.*, pages 322–330, 2000.
- [8] D. Kirkpatrick and B. Speckmann. Separation sensitive kinetic collision detection for simple polygons. In *Proc. Japan Conf Disc. Comp. Geom.*, LNCS. Springer Verlag, 2000. To appear.
- [9] Z. Li and S.-I. Nakano. Efficient generation of plane triangulations without repetition. In *Proc. 28th ICALP*, 2001. To appear.
- [10] M. Pocchiola and G. Vegter. Minimal tangent visibility graphs. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 6:303–314, 1996.
- [11] M. Pocchiola and G. Vegter. Topologically sweeping visibility complexes via pseudo-triangulations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 16:419–453, Dec. 1996.
- [12] G. Rote, I. Streinu, and F. Santos. The expansion cone and the polytope of minimum pseudo-triangulations. In preparation, 2001.
- [13] I. Streinu. A combinatorial approach to planar non-colliding robot arm motion planning. In *Proc. 41st FOCS*, pages 443–453, 2000.
- [14] W. T. Tutte. A census of planar triangulation. *Canad. J. Math.*, 14:21–38, 1962.