No-dimensional Tverberg Theorems and Algorithms*

Aruni Choudhary† Wolfgang Mulzer†

July 10, 2019

Abstract

Tverberg's theorem is a classic result in discrete geometry. It states that for any integer $k \geq 2$ and any finite d-dimensional point set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of at least $(d+1)(k-1)+1$ points, we can partition P into k subsets whose convex hulls have a non-empty intersection. The computational problem of finding such a partition lies in the complexity class $\mathrm{PPAD} \cap \mathrm{PLS}$, but no hardness results are known. Tverberg's theorem also has a colorful variant: the points in P have colors, and under certain conditions, P can be partitioned into *colorful* sets, i.e., sets in which each color appears exactly once, such that the convex hulls of the sets intersect. To date, the complexity of the corresponding computational problem has not been resolved.

Recently, Adiprasito, Bárány, and Mustafa [SODA 2019] proved a *no-dimensional* version of Tverberg's theorem, in which the convex hulls of the sets in the partition may intersect in an *approximate* fashion. This allows it to relax the requirement on the cardinality of P. In fact, they prove a slightly stronger result that is based on the colorful Tverberg theorem. The argument is constructive, but it does not result in a polynomial-time algorithm.

Here, we present an alternative proof for a no-dimensional Tverberg theorem that leads to an efficient algorithm to find the partition. More specifically, we show that there is a deterministic algorithm that finds for any set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of n points and any $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ in $O(nd\lceil \log k \rceil)$ time a partition of P into k subsets such that there is a ball of radius $O\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\mathrm{diam}(P)\right)$ that intersects the convex hull of each subset. A similar result holds also for the colorful Tverberg theorem. Given that for both problems, it is not known whether they can be solved exactly in polynomial time, and given that there are no approximation algorithms that are truly polynomial in any dimension, our result provides a remarkably efficient and simple new notion of approximation.

To obtain our result, we generalize Sarkaria's tensor product construction [Israel Journal Math., 1992] that reduces the Tverberg problem to the Colorful Carathéodory problem. By carefully choosing the vectors used in the tensor products, we are able to implement the reduction in an efficient manner.

*Supported in part by ERC StG 757609.

†Institut für Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin. `[arunich, mulzer]@inf.fu-berlin.de`.

1 Introduction

In 1921, Radon [13] proved a highly influential theorem in convex geometry: given a set P of at least $d+2$ points in $\mathbb{R}^d$, it is always possible to split P into two non-empty sets whose convex hulls intersect. In 1966, Helge Tverberg [17] generalized Radon's theorem to allow for more sets in the partition. Specifically, he showed that any finite point set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of cardinality at least $(d+1)(k-1)+1$ can be split into k sets $T_1, \dots, T_k \subset P$ whose convex hulls have a non-empty intersection, i.e., $\text{conv}(T_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{conv}(T_k) \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{conv}(\cdot)$ denotes the convex hull.

By now, several alternative proofs of Tverberg's original result are known, e.g., [3,6,10,14,15,18,19]. Perhaps the most elegant proof is due to Sarkaria [15], with later simplifications by Bárány and Onn [6] and by Aroch et al. [3]. This proof proceeds by a reduction to the Colorful Carathéodory Theorem, another celebrated result in discrete geometry. The theorem states that given $r \geq d+1$ finite d-dimensional point sets $P_1, \dots, P_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that have a common point y in their convex hulls $\text{conv}(P_1), \dots, \text{conv}(P_r)$, there is a *traversal* $x_1 \in P_1, \dots, x_r \in P_r$, such that $\text{conv}(\{x_1, \dots, x_r\})$ contains y. Sarkaria's proof [15] proceeds by lifting the original points of the Tverberg instance into higher dimensions using a tensor product, and then uses the existence of the colorful Carathéodory traversal to obtain a Tverberg partition for the original point set.

On the computational side of things, a Radon partition is easy to compute by solving $d+1$ linear equations. On the other hand, finding Tverberg partitions is not straightforward. Since a Tverberg partition is guaranteed to exist if the cardinality of P is large enough, finding such a partition is a total search problem. In fact, the problem of computing a colorful Carathéodory traversal lies in the complexity class PPAD $\cap$ PLS [9, 11], but no better upper bound on the difficulty of the problem is known. Since Sarkaria's proof can be interpreted as a polynomial-time reduction from the problem of finding a Tverberg partition to the problem of finding a colorful traversal, the same upper bound applies to finding Tverberg partitions. Again, as of now we do not know better upper bounds for the general problem. Miller and Sheehy [10] and Mulzer and Werner [12] provided algorithms for finding *approximate* Tverberg partitions, computing a partition into fewer sets than is guaranteed by Tverberg's theorem in time that is linear in n, but quasi-polynomial in the dimension.

Tverberg's theorem also admits a colorful variant that was first conjectured by Bárány and Larman [5]. The conjecture states that given $d+1$ point sets $P_1, \dots, P_{d+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, each interpreted as a different color, and each set having size at most $t = k$, there exist k pairwise-disjoint *colorful* sets (i.e., each set contains at most one point from each P_i) $A_1, \dots, A_k$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^k \text{conv}(A_i) \neq \emptyset$. Bárány and Larman [5] proved the conjecture for $d = 2$ and arbitrary k, and for $k = 2$ and arbitrary d. The first proof for the general case was given by Živaljević and Vrećica [20] through topological arguments. Using another topological argument, Blagojevič, Matschke, and Ziegler [7] showed that (i) if $k+1$ is prime, then $t = k$; and (ii) if $k+1$ is not prime, then $k \leq t \leq 2k-2$. These are the best known bounds for arbitrary k. Later Matoušek, Tancer, and Wagner [8] gave a constructive geometric proof that is inspired by the proof of Blagojevič, Matschke, and Ziegler [7].

More recently, Soberón [16] showed that if more color classes are available, then the conjecture holds for any k. More precisely, for $P_1, \dots, P_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $n = (k-1)d+1$, each of size k, there exist k colorful sets whose convex hulls intersect. Moreover, there is at least one point in the common intersection such that the coefficients of its convex combination are the same for each colorful set in the partition. The proof makes use of Sarkaria's tensor product construction.

Recent developments. Recently Adiprasito, Bárány, and Mustafa [1] established a relaxed version of the Colorful Carathéodory Theorem [4]. This version allows for (relaxed) traversals of arbitrary size $r \geq 1$, with a guarantee that the traversal is close to the common point y. Adiprasito, Bárány, and Mustafa [1] also proved a relaxed variant of Colorful Tverberg theorem [5]. This also gives a relaxation for Tverberg's theorem [17] that allows arbitrary-sized partitions. The authors refer to these results as *no-dimensional* versions of the respective classic theorems, since the dependence on the ambient dimension is relaxed. Both results were proven using averaging arguments. The argument for the no-dimensional Colorful Carathéodory also gives an efficient algorithm to find a traversal that is close to y. However, the arguments for the no-dimensional Tverberg results do not give a polynomial-time algorithm for finding the Tverberg partitions.

Contributions. We prove no-dimensional variants of the Tverberg theorem and its colorful counterpart that allow efficient algorithms to find the partition. Our proofs are inspired by Sarkaria's proof [15] and the averaging technique by Adiprasito, Bárány, and Mustafa [1]. For the colorful version, we additionally make use of ideas from Soberón's proof [16].

More precisely, our results are as follows:

- Sarkaria's method uses k vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ to lift the points in the Tverberg instance to a colorful Carathéodory instance. We refine this method to vectors that are defined with the help of a given graph. The choice of this graph is important in proving good bounds for our results and in the algorithm. We believe that this generalization is of an independent interest and may prove useful in other scenarios that make use of the tensor product construction.

- We prove an efficient no-dimensional Tverberg result:

  Theorem 1.1 (efficient no-dimensional Tverberg theorem). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set of n points, and let $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ be an integer.*

  - *For any choice of positive integers $r_1, \dots, r_k$ that satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = n$, there is a partition $T_1, \dots, T_k$ of P with $|T_1| = r_1, |T_2| = r_2, \dots, |T_k| = r_k$, and a d-dimensional ball B of radius*
  $$\frac{n \operatorname{diam}(P)}{\min_i r_i} \sqrt{\frac{10\lceil \log_4 k \rceil}{n-1}} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n \log k}}{\min_i r_i} \operatorname{diam}(P)\right),$$
  such that B intersects the convex hull of each T_i.
  - *The bound is better for the case $n = rk$ and $r_1 = \dots = r_k = r$. There exists a partition $T_1, \dots, T_k$ of P with $|T_1| = |T_2| = \dots = |T_k| = r$ and a d-dimensional ball of radius*
  $$\sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)}{n-1}} \operatorname{diam}(P) = O\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{n}} \operatorname{diam}(P)\right)$$
  that intersects the convex hull of each T_i.

  In either case, we can compute the partition in deterministic time
  $$O(nd\lceil \log k \rceil).$$

- and a colorful counterpart (for a simple example, see Figure 1):

  Theorem 1.2 (efficient no-dimensional Colorful Tverberg). *Let $P_1, \dots, P_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be n point sets, each of size k, with k being a positive integer, so that the total number of points is $N = nk$. Then, there are k pairwise-disjoint colorful sets $A_1, \dots, A_k$ and a d-dimensional ball of radius*
  $$\sqrt{\frac{2k(k-1)}{N}} \max_i \operatorname{diam}(P_i) = O\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{N}} \max_i \operatorname{diam}(P_i)\right)$$



Figure 1: Left: a point set on three colors and four points of each color. Right: a colorful partition with a ball containing the centroids (squares) of the sets of the partition.

that intersects the convex hull of each A_i. We can find the A_i's in deterministic time

$$O(Ndk).$$

The colorful result is similar in spirit to the regular Tverberg result from Section 2, but for computational considerations, it currently does not make sense to use the colorful version to solve the regular Tverberg problem.

Compared to the results of Adiprasito et al. [1], our radius bounds are slightly worse. More precisely, they show that both in the colorful and the non-colorful case, there is a ball of radius $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\text{diam}(P)\right)$ that intersects the convex hulls of the sets of the partition. They also show this bound is close to optimal. In contrast, our result is off by a factor of $O(\sqrt{k})$, but the proof technique of Adiprasito et al. [1] gives only a brute-force $2^{O(n)}$ algorithm, which is not efficient. Our approach, however, gives almost linear time algorithms for both cases, with a linear dependence on the dimension.

Adiprasito et al. first prove the colorful no-dimensional Tverberg theorem using an averaging argument over an exponential number of possible partitions. Then, they specialize their result for the regular case, obtaining a bound that is asymptotically optimal. Unfortunately, it is not clear how to derandomize the averaging argument efficiently. To get around this, we follow an alternative approach towards both versions of the Tverberg theorem. Instead of a direct averaging argument, we use a reduction to the Colorful Carathéodory theorem that is inspired by Sarkaria's proof, with some additional twists. We will see that this reduction also works in the no-dimensional setting, i.e., by a reduction to the no-dimensional Colorful Carathéodory theorem of Adiprasito et al., we obtain a no-dimensional Tverberg theorem, with slightly weaker radius bounds, as stated above. This approach has the advantage that their Colorful Carathéodory theorem is based on an averaging argument that permits an efficient derandomization using the method of conditional expectations [2]. In fact, we will see that the special structure of our Colorful Carathéodory instance allows for a very fast evaluation of the conditional expectations, as we fix the next part of the solution. This results in an algorithm whose running time is $O(nd\lceil\log k\rceil)$ instead of $O(ndk)$, as given by a naive application of the method. With a few interesting modifications, this idea also works in the colorful setting.

Outline of the paper. We begin by describing our extension of Sarkaria's technique in Section 2 and then use it in combination with a result from Section 3 to prove the no-dimensional Tverberg result. In Section 3, we expand upon the details of an averaging argument that is useful for the

Tverberg result. Section 4 is devoted to describing an algorithm to compute the Tverberg partition. In Section 5 we give a corresponding result for the colorful Tverberg setting and describe an algorithm to compute the required partition. We conclude in Section 6 with some observations and open questions.

2 Tensor product and no-dimensional Tverberg theorem

In this section, we prove a no-dimensional Tverberg result. Let $\mathrm{diam}(\cdot)$ denote the diameter of any point set in d dimensions. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be our given set of n points in d dimensions. We assume for simplicity that the centroid of P, that we denote by $c(P)$, coincides with the origin $\mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\sum_{x \in P} x = \mathbf{0}$. For ease of presentation, we denote the origin by $\mathbf{0}$ in all dimensions, as long as there is no danger of confusion. Also, we use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the usual scalar product between two vectors in the appropriate dimension.

Tensor product. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be any two vectors in d and m dimensions, respectively. The *tensor product* $\otimes$ is the operation that takes x and y to the dm-dimensional vector

$$x \otimes y = (xy_1, \ldots, xy_m) = (x_1y_1, \ldots, x_dy_1, x_1y_2, \ldots, x_dy_{m-1}, x_1y_m, \ldots, x_dy_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{dm}.$$

Straightforward calculations show that for any vectors $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d, y, y' \in R^m$, the operator $\otimes$ satisfies:

(i) $x \otimes y + x' \otimes y = (x + x') \otimes y$,

(ii) $x \otimes y + x \otimes y' = x \otimes (y + y')$, and

(iii) $\langle x \otimes y, x' \otimes y' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle \langle y, y' \rangle$.

By (iii), the L_2-norm $\|x \otimes y\|$ of the tensor product $x \otimes y$ is exactly $\|x\|\|y\|$. For any set of vectors $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and any m-dimensional vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we denote by $X \otimes q$ the set of tensor products $\{x_1 \otimes q, x_2 \otimes q, \ldots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{dm}$. Throughout this paper, all distances will be in the L_2-norm.

A set of lifting vectors. We generalize the tensor construction that was used by Sarkaria to prove the Tverberg theorem [15]. For this, we provide a way to construct a set of k vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ that we use to create tensor products. The motivation behind the precise choice of these vectors will be explained a little later in this section. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an (undirected) simple, connected graph of k nodes and let

- $\|\mathcal{G}\|$ denote the number of edges in $\mathcal{G}$,
- $\Delta(\mathcal{G})$ denote the maximum degree of any node in $\mathcal{G}$, and
- $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})$ denote the diameter of $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., the maximum length of a shortest path between a pair of vertices in $\mathcal{G}$.

We orient the edges of $\mathcal{G}$ in an arbitrary manner to obtain a directed graph. We use this directed version of $\mathcal{G}$ to define a set of k vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ in $\|\mathcal{G}\|$ dimensions. This is done as follows: each vector q_i corresponds to a unique node v_i of $\mathcal{G}$. Each coordinate position of the vectors corresponds to a unique edge of $\mathcal{G}$. If v_iv_j is a directed edge of $\mathcal{G}$, then q_i contains a 1 and q_j contains a -1 in the corresponding coordinate position. That means, the vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ are in $\mathbb{R}^{\|\mathcal{G}\|}$. Also,

$\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i = \mathbf{0}$. It can be verified that this is the unique linear dependence (up to scaling) between the vectors for any choice of edge orientations. This means that the rank of the matrix with the q_is as the rows is $k-1$. The squared norm $\|q_i\|^2$ is the degree of v_i, for each vertex v_i. For $i \neq j$, the dot product $\langle q_i, q_j \rangle$ is -1 if $v_i v_j$ is an edge in $\mathcal{G}$, and 0 otherwise.

For any set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ of k vectors, each of the same dimension, we note that property (iii) of the tensor product leads to the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i \otimes q_i \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle u_i \otimes q_i, u_j \otimes q_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \langle q_i, q_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \langle q_i, q_i \rangle + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \langle q_i, q_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|u_i\|^2 \|q_i\|^2 - 2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|u_i - u_j\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $E[\mathcal{G}]$ is the set of edges of $\mathcal{G}$.

As an example, such a set of vectors can be formed by taking $\mathcal{G}$ as a balanced binary tree with k nodes, and orienting the edges away from the root. Let q_1 correspond to the root. A simple instance of the vectors is shown below:



The vectors in the figure above can be represented as the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ q_4 \\ q_5 \\ \ldots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ & & & \ldots & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where the i-th row of the matrix corresponds to vector q_i. As $\|\mathcal{G}\| = k-1$, each vector is in $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$. The norm $\|q_i\|$ is one of $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{3}$, or 1, depending on whether v_i is the root, an internal node with two children, or a leaf, respectively. The height of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\lceil \log k \rceil$ and the maximum degree is $\Delta(\mathcal{G}) = 3$.

Lifting the point set. Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Our goal is to find a (relaxed) Tverberg partition of P into k sets. For this, we first pick a graph $\mathcal{G}$ with k vertices, as in the previous paragraph, and we derive a set of k lifting vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ from $\mathcal{G}$. Then, we lift each point of P to a set of vectors in $d\|\mathcal{G}\|$ dimensions, by taking tensor products with the vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$. More precisely, for $a = 1, \ldots, n$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k$, let

$$p_{a,j} = p_a \otimes q_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d\|\mathcal{G}\|}.$$

For $a = 1, \ldots, n$, we let $P_a = \{p_{a,1}, \ldots, p_{a,k}\}$ be the lifted points obtained from p_a. We have,

$$\|p_{a,j}\| = \|q_j\|\|p_a\| \leq \sqrt{\Delta(\mathcal{G})}\|p_a\|.$$

By the bi-linear properties of the tensor product, we have

$$c(P_a) = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(p_a \otimes q_j) = \frac{1}{k}\left(p_a \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} q_j\right)\right) = \frac{1}{k}(p_a \otimes \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0},$$

so the centroid $c(P_a)$ coincides with the origin, for $a = 1, \ldots, n$.

The next lemma contains the technical core of our argument. It shows how to use the lifted point sets to derive a useful partition of P into k subsets of prescribed sizes. We defer its proof to Section 3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ denote the point sets obtained by lifting each $p \in P$ using the vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$.*

For any choice of positive integers $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ that satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n$, there is a partition $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ of P with $|T_1| = r_1, |T_2| = r_2, \ldots, |T_k| = r_k$ such that the centroid of the set of lifted points $T := \{T_1 \otimes q_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k \otimes q_k\}$ (which is a traversal of $P_1, \ldots, P_n$) has distance less than

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{2(n-1)}}\mathrm{diam}(P)$$

from the origin $\mathbf{0}$.

The bound is better for the case $n = rk$ and $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = \frac{n}{k}$. There exists a partition $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ of P with $|T_1| = |T_2| = \cdots = |T_k| = r$ such that the centroid of $T := \{T_1 \otimes q_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k \otimes q_k\}$ has distance less than

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\|\mathcal{G}\|}{k(n-1)}}\mathrm{diam}(P)$$

from the origin $\mathbf{0}$.

Using Lemma 2.1, we show that there is a ball of bounded radius that intersects the convex hull of each T_i. Let $\alpha_1 = \frac{r_1}{n}, \ldots, \alpha_k = \frac{r_k}{n}$ be positive real numbers. The centroid of T can be written as

$$c(T) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{x \in T_i} x \otimes q_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{x \in T_i} x\right) \otimes q_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{r_i}{n}\left(\frac{1}{r_i}\sum_{x \in T_i} x\right) \otimes q_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i c_i \otimes q_i,$$

where $c_i = c(P_i)$ denotes the centroid of T_i, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Using Equation (1),

$$\|c(T)\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i c_i \otimes q_i\right\|^2 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]}\|\alpha_i c_i - \alpha_j c_j\|^2. \tag{2}$$

Let $x_1 = \alpha_1 c_1, x_2 = \alpha_2 c_2, \ldots, x_k = \alpha_k c_k$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\alpha_i c_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{r_i}{n}\left(\frac{1}{r_i}\sum_{p \in T_i} p\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \mathbf{0},$$

so the centroid of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ coincides with the origin. Using $\|c(T)\| < \delta$ and Equation (2),

$$\sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|x_i - x_j\|^2 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|\alpha_i c_i - \alpha_j c_j\|^2 < \delta^2.$$

We bound the distance from x_1 to every other x_j. For each i, we associate to x_i the node v_i in $\mathcal{G}$. Then the shortest path from v_1 to v_j in $\mathcal{G}$ has length at most $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})$. Let that path be denoted by $(v_1, v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_z}, v_j)$. Using triangle inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_1 - x_j\| &\leq \|x_1 - x_{i_1}\| + \|x_{i_1} - x_{i_2}\| + \cdots + \|x_{i_z} - x_j\| \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\sqrt{\|x_1 - x_{i_1}\|^2 + \|x_{i_1} - x_{i_2}\|^2 + \cdots + \|x_{i_z} - x_j\|^2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\sqrt{\sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|x_i - x_j\|^2} < \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\delta. \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the ball of radius $\beta := \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\delta$ centered at x_1 covers the set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. That means, the ball covers the convex hull of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and in particular contains the origin. Using triangle inequality, the ball of radius 2β centered at the origin contains $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. Then the norm of each x_i is at most 2β which implies that the norm of each c_i is at most $2\beta/\alpha_i$. Therefore, the ball of radius

$$\frac{2\beta}{\min_i \alpha_i} = \frac{2n\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\delta}{\min_i r_i}$$

centered at $\mathbf{0}$ contains the set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$. Substituting the value of δ from Lemma 2.1, the ball of radius

$$\frac{2n\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}}{\min_i r_i}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{2(n-1)}}\mathrm{diam}(P) = \frac{n\mathrm{diam}(P)}{\min_i r_i}\sqrt{\frac{2\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{n-1}}$$

centered at $\mathbf{0}$ covers the set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$.

Optimizing the choice of $\mathcal{G}$. The radius of the ball has a term $\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\Delta(\mathcal{G})}$ that depends on the choice of $\mathcal{G}$. For a path graph this term has value $\sqrt{(k-1)2}$ and for a star graph this is $\sqrt{k-1}$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a balanced s-ary tree, then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in Equation (3) can be modified to replace $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})$ by the height of the tree. Then the term is $\sqrt{\lceil \log_s k \rceil (s+1)}$ which is minimized for $s = 4$. The radius bound for this choice of $\mathcal{G}$ is

$$\frac{n\mathrm{diam}(P)}{\min_i r_i}\sqrt{\frac{10\lceil \log_4 k \rceil}{n-1}}$$

as claimed in Theorem 1.1.

Balanced partition. For the case $n = rk$ and $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = r$, we give a better bound for the radius of the ball containing the centroids $c_1, \ldots, c_k$. In this case we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_k = \frac{r}{n} = \frac{1}{k}$. Then Equation (2) is

$$\|c(T)\|^2 = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|\alpha_i c_i - \alpha_j c_j\|^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|c_i - c_j\|^2.$$

Since $\|c(T)\| < \gamma$, we get

$$\sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|c_i - c_j\|^2 < k^2\gamma^2. \qquad (4)$$

Similar to the general case, we bound the distance from c_1 to any other centroid c_j. For each i, we associate to c_i the node v_i in $\mathcal{G}$. There is a path of length at most $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})$ from v_1 to any other node. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and substituting the value of γ, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|c_1 - c_j\| &\leq \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\sqrt{\sum_{v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]}\|c_i - c_j\|^2} < \sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}k\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\|\mathcal{G}\|}{k(n-1)}}k\mathrm{diam}(P)\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{k}{n-1}}\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\|\mathcal{G}\|}\mathrm{diam}(P). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Therefore, a ball of radius $\sqrt{\frac{k}{n-1}}\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\|\mathcal{G}\|}\mathrm{diam}(P)$ centered at c_1 contains the set $c_1, \ldots, c_k$. The factor $\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\|\mathcal{G}\|}$ is minimized when $\mathcal{G}$ is a star graph, that is, a tree with one root and $k-1$ children. Then the ball containing $c_1, \ldots, c_k$ has radius

$$\sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)}{n-1}}\mathrm{diam}(P),$$

as claimed in Theorem 1.1.

As balanced as possible. When k does not divide n, but we still want a balanced partition, we take any subset of $n_0 = k\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ points of P and get a balanced Tverberg partition on the subset. Then we add the removed points one by one to the sets of the partition, adding at most one point to each set.

As shown above, there is a ball of radius less than $\sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)}{n_0-1}}\mathrm{diam}(P)$ that intersects the convex hull of each set in the partition. Noting that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0-1}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{k+2}{k}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}},$$

a ball of radius less than $\sqrt{\frac{(k+2)(k-1)}{(n-1)}}\mathrm{diam}(P)$ intersects the convex hull of each set of the partition.

3 Existence of a desired partition

This section is dedicated to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Like Adiprasito et al. [1], we use an averaging argument to obtain the result. More precisely, we bound the average norm δ of the centroid of the lifted points $T_1 \otimes q_1 \cup T_2 \otimes q_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_k \otimes q_k$ over all partitions of P of the form $T_1, \ldots, T_k$, for which the sets in the partition have sizes $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ respectively, with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n$.

Each such partition can be considered as a traversal of the lifted point sets $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. Thus, consider any traversal $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of $P_1, \ldots, P_n$, where $x_a \in P_a$, for $a = 1, \ldots n$. The centroid of X is $c(X) = \frac{\sum_{a=1}^{n} x_a}{n}$. We bound the expectation $n^2\mathbb{E}\left(\|c(X)\|^2\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\|\sum_{a=1}^{n} x_a\|^2\right)$, over all possible traversals X. The expectation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{a=1}^{n} x_a\right\|^2\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|x_a\|^2 + \sum_{1\leq a<b\leq n} 2\langle x_a, x_b\rangle\right)\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|x_a\|^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{1\leq a<b\leq n}\langle x_a, x_b\rangle\right).\end{aligned}$$

We next find the coefficient of each term of the form $\|x_a\|^2$ and $\langle x_a, x_b\rangle$ in the expectation. Using the multinomial coefficient, the total number of traversals X is

$$\binom{n}{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k} = \frac{n!}{r_1! r_2! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k!}.$$

Furthermore, for any lifted point $x_a = p_{a,j}$, the number of traversals X with $p_{a,j} \in X$ is

$$\binom{n-1}{r_1, \ldots, r_j - 1, \ldots, r_k} = \frac{(n-1)!}{r_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot (r_j-1)! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k!}.$$

So the coefficient of $\|x_a\|^2 = \|p_{a,j}\|^2$ is $\frac{\frac{(n-1)!}{r_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot (r_j-1)! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k}}{\frac{n!}{r_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k!}} = \frac{r_j}{n}$. Similarly, for any pair of points $(x_a, x_b) = (p_{a,i}, p_{b,j})$, there are two cases in which they appear in the same traversal:

- $i = j$: the number of traversals is $\frac{(n-2)!}{r_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot (r_i-2)! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k!}$. The coefficient of $\langle x_a, x_b\rangle = \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle$ in the expectation is hence $\frac{r_i(r_i-1)}{n(n-1)}$.
- $i \neq j$: the number of traversals is calculated to be $\frac{(n-2)!}{r_1! \cdot \cdots \cdot (r_i-1)! \cdot \cdots \cdot (r_j-1)! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_k!}$. The coefficient of $\langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle$ in the expectation is $\frac{r_i r_j}{n(n-1)}$.

Substituting the coefficients, we bound the expectation as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|x_a\|^2\right) + 2\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle x_a, x_b\rangle\right) \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \|p_{a,j}\|^2 \frac{r_j}{n} + 2\sum_{1\le a<b\le n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\langle p_{a,j}, p_{b,j}\rangle \frac{r_j(r_j-1)}{n(n-1)} + \sum_{1\le i\neq j\le k} \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle \frac{r_i r_j}{n(n-1)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{r_j}{n}\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_{a,j}\|^2 + \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\sum_{1\le a<b\le n}\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le k} \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_i r_j - \sum_{j=1}^{k}\langle p_{a,j}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_j\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_{a,j}\|^2\right) + \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\sum_{1\le a<b\le n}\sum_{1\le i,j\le k} \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_i r_j \\
&- \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\sum_{1\le a<b\le n}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\langle p_{a,j}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_j.
\end{aligned}$$

We bound the value of each of the three terms individually to get an upper bound on the value of the expression. The first term can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_{a,j}\|^2\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_a\|^2\|q_j\|^2\right) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\|q_j\|^2\right)\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2 \\
&\le \frac{1}{n}\left(\Delta(\mathcal{G})\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\right)\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2 = \frac{1}{n}\left(\Delta(\mathcal{G})n\right)\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2 < \Delta(\mathcal{G})\left(\frac{n\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the fact that $\sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_a\|^2 < \frac{n\text{diam}(P)^2}{2}$ (see [1, Lemma 7.1]). The second term can be re-written as

$$\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \sum_{1\le i,j\le k} \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_i r_j = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le k} r_i r_j \left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_{a,i}, p_{b,j}\rangle \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le k} r_i r_j \left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_a \otimes q_i, p_b \otimes q_j\rangle \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le k} r_i r_j \left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_a, p_b\rangle \langle q_i, q_j\rangle \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le k} r_i r_j \langle q_i, q_j\rangle \right) \left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_a, p_b\rangle \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \left(\sum_{(v_i,v_j)\in E[\mathcal{G}]} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_a, p_b\rangle \right) \le 0,$$

where we have again made use of [1, Lemma 7.1] to bound the term

$$\sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \langle p_a, p_b\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \|p_a\|^2 < 0.$$

The second term is non-positive and therefore can be removed since the total expectation is always non-negative. The third term is

$$-\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle p_{a,j}, p_{b,j}\rangle r_j = -\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle p_a \otimes q_j, p_b \otimes q_j\rangle r_j$$

$$= -\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le a<b\le n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle p_a, p_b\rangle \|q_j\|^2 r_j$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \|q_j\|^2 r_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1\le a<b\le n} -2\langle p_a, p_b\rangle \right) < \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \|q_j\|^2 r_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{n\text{diam}(P)^2}{2} \right)$$

$$\le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \|q_j\|^2 r_j \right) \frac{\text{diam}(P)^2}{2(n-1)} < \frac{n\Delta(\mathcal{G})\text{diam}(P)^2}{2(n-1)}.$$

Collecting the three terms, the expression is upper bounded by

$$\frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})n}{2} + \frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})n}{2(n-1)} = \frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})n}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) = \frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})n^2}{2(n-1)},$$

which bounds the expectation by:

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})n^2}{2(n-1)} \right) = \frac{\text{diam}(P)^2\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{2(n-1)}.$$

This shows that there is at least one traversal such that its centroid has norm less than

$$\text{diam}(P)\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(\mathcal{G})}{2(n-1)}},$$

as claimed in Lemma 2.1.

Balanced case. For the case that n is a multiple of k, and $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = \frac{n}{k} = r$, the upper bound can be improved:

- the first term in the expectation is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_{a,j}\|^2\right) &= \frac{r}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_{a,j}\|^2 = \frac{r}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2\|q_j\|^2 \\ &= \frac{r}{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\|q_j\|^2\right)\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2 = \frac{r}{n}2\|\mathcal{G}\|\sum_{a=1}^{n}\|p_a\|^2 < \frac{r}{n}2\|\mathcal{G}\|\left(\frac{n\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{2}\right) \leq r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2,\end{aligned}$$

- the second term is zero, and
- the third term is less than

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\|q_j\|^2 r_j\right)\frac{\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{2(n-1)} = r\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\|q_j\|^2\right)\frac{\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{2(n-1)} = 2r\|\mathcal{G}\|\frac{\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{2(n-1)} = \frac{r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{(n-1)}.$$

The expectation is upper bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}& n^2\mathbb{E}\left(\|c(X)\|^2\right) < r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2 + \frac{r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{(n-1)} \\ \implies\ & \mathbb{E}\left(\|c(X)\|^2\right) < \frac{r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{n^2}\left(1+\frac{1}{n-1}\right) = \frac{r\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{n(n-1)} = \frac{\|\mathcal{G}\|\mathrm{diam}(P)^2}{k(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

which shows that there is at least one balanced traversal X whose centroid has norm less than

$$\sqrt{\frac{\|\mathcal{G}\|}{k(n-1)}}\mathrm{diam}(P),$$

as claimed in Lemma 2.1.

4 Computing the Tverberg partition

We now give a deterministic algorithm to compute no-dimensional Tverberg partitions. The algorithm is based on the method of conditional expectations. First, in Section 4.1 we give an algorithm for the general case when the sets in the partitions are constrained to have given sizes $r_1, \ldots, r_k$. The choice of $\mathcal{G}$ is crucial for the algorithm.

The balanced case of $r_1 = \cdots = r_k$ has a better radius bound and uses a different graph $\mathcal{G}$. The algorithm for the general case also extends to the balanced case with a small modification, that we discuss in Section 4.2. We get the same runtime in either case:

Theorem 4.1. *Given a set of n points $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and any choice of k positive integers $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ that satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n$, a no-dimensional Tverberg k-partition of P with the sets of the partition having sizes $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ can be computed in time $O(nd\lceil\log k\rceil)$.*

4.1 Algorithm for the general case

The input is a set of n points $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and k positive integers $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i = n$. We use the tensor product construction from Section 2 that are derived from a graph $\mathcal{G}$. Each point of P is lifted implicitly using the vectors $\{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ to get the set $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$. We then compute a traversal of $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ using the method of conditional expectations [2], the details of which can be found below. Grouping the points of the traversal according to the lifting vectors used gives us the required partition. We remark that in our algorithm we do not explicitly lift any vector using the tensor product, thereby avoiding costs associated with working on vectors in $d\|\mathcal{G}\|$ dimensions.

We now describe a procedure to find a traversal that corresponds to a desired partition of P. We go over the points in $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ iteratively in reverse order and find the traversal $Y = (y_1 \in P_1, \ldots, y_n \in P_n)$ point by point. More precisely, we determine y_n in the first step, then y_{n-1} in the second step, and so on. In the first step, we go over all points of P_n and select any point $y_n \in P_n$ that satisfies $\mathbb{E}\left(c\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_n)\|^2\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(c\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n)\|^2\right)$. For the general step, suppose we have already selected the points $\{y_{s+1}, y_{s+2}, \ldots, y_n\}$. To determine y_s, we choose any point from P_s that achieves

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}, y_s, y_{s+1}, \ldots, y_n)\|^2\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s, y_{s+1}, \ldots, y_n)\|^2\right). \tag{6}$$

After the last step, we get the required traversal. The expectation $\mathbb{E}(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}, y_s, \ldots, y_n)\|^2)$ can be expanded to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s}^{n} y_i\right)\right\|^2\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2}\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right) + y_s\right\|^2\right) \\
=&\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\|^2\right) + \|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
=&\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\|^2\right) + \|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right) + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We pick a y_s for which $\mathbb{E}(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}, y_s, \ldots, y_n)\|^2)$ is at most the average over all choices of $y_s \in P_s$. As the term $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\|^2\right)$ is constant over all choices of y_s, and the factor $\frac{1}{n^2}$ is constant, we can remove them from consideration. We are left with

$$\|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right) + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\rangle = \|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right)\right\rangle + 2\langle y_s, \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Let $y_s = p_s \otimes q_i$. The first term is

$$\|y_s\|^2 = \|p_s \otimes q_i\|^2 = \|p_s\|^2\|q_i\|^2.$$

Let $r'_1, \ldots, r'_k$ be the number of elements of $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ that are yet to be determined. In the beginning, $r'_i = r_i$ for each i. Using the coefficients from Section 3, $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{i,j}\frac{r'_j}{s-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{r'_j}{s-1}\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{r'_j}{s-1}\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i \otimes q_j \\ &= \frac{1}{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} r'_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i\right)\otimes q_j = \left(\frac{1}{s-1}\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i\right)\otimes\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r'_j q_j\right) = c_{s-1}\otimes\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r'_j q_j\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{s-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} p_i}{s-1}$ is the centroid of the first $(s-1)$ points. Using this, the second term can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right)\right\rangle &= 2\left\langle p_s \otimes q_i, c_{s-1}\otimes\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r'_j q_j\right)\right\rangle = 2\left\langle p_s, c_{s-1}\right\rangle\left\langle q_i, \sum_{j=1}^{k} r'_j q_j\right\rangle \\ &= 2\langle p_s, c_{s-1}\rangle\left(r'_i\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} r'_j\right) = \langle p_s, c_{s-1}\rangle R_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $R_i = 2\left(r'_i\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} r'_j\right)$. The third term is

$$\begin{aligned}2\left\langle y_s, \sum_{j=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\rangle &= 2\sum_{i=s+1}^{n}\langle y_s, y_i\rangle = 2\sum_{j=s+1}^{n}\left\langle p_s\otimes q_i, p_j\otimes q_{i_j}\right\rangle = 2\sum_{j=s+1}^{n}\langle p_s, p_j\rangle\langle q_i, q_{i_j}\rangle \\ &= 2\left\langle p_s, \sum_{p\in T_i} p\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{p\in T_j\wedge v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right\rangle = \left\langle p_s, 2\left(\|q_i\|^2\sum_{p\in T_i} p - \sum_{p\in T_j\wedge v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right)\right\rangle = \langle p_s, U_i\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $U_i = 2\left(\|q_i\|^2\sum_{p\in T_i} p - \sum_{p\in T_j\wedge v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right)$ and T_j is the set of points in $p_{s+1},\ldots,p_n$ that was lifted using q_i in the traversal. Collecting the three terms, we get the expression

$$\begin{aligned}&\|p_s\|^2\|q_i\|^2 + \langle p_s, c_{s-1}\rangle R_i + \langle p_s, U_i\rangle \\ &= \alpha_s N_i + \beta_s R_i + \langle p_s, U_i\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where $N_i = \|q_i\|^2$, $\alpha_s := \|p_s\|^2$ and $\beta_s := \langle p_s, c_s\rangle$. The terms α_s, β_s, p_s are fixed for the iteration s.

Algorithm. For each $s \in [1, n]$, we pre-compute the following:

- prefix sums $\sum_{a=1}^{s} p_a$, and
- α_s and β_s.

With this information, it is straightforward to compute a traversal in $O(ndk)$ time by evaluating the expression for each choice of p_s. We describe a more careful method that reduces this time to $O(nd\lceil\log k\rceil)$.

We assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is a balanced μ-ary tree. Recall that each node v_i of $\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to a vector q_i. We store $\mathcal{G}$ augmented with the following additional information for each node v_i:

- $N_i = \|q_i\|^2$: recall that this is the degree of v_i.
- N_i^{st}: this is the average of the N_j over all elements v_j in the subtree rooted at v_i.

- r'_i: as before, this is the number of elements of the set T_i of the partition that are yet to be determined. We initialize each $r'_i := r_i$.

- $R_i = 2\left(r'_i N_i - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E} r'_j\right)$, that is, $r'_i N_i$ minus the r'_j for each node v_j that is a neighbor of v_i in $\mathcal{G}$, times two. We initialize $R_i := 0$.

- R_i^{st}: this is the average of the R_j values over all nodes v_j in the subtree rooted at v_i. We initialize this to 0.

- T_i, u_i: as before, T_i is the set of vectors of the traversal that was lifted using q_i. u_i is the sum of the vectors of T_i. We initialize $T_i = \varnothing$ and $u_i = \mathbf{0}$.

- $U_i = 2\left(\|q_i\|^2 \sum_{p \in T_i} p - \sum_{p \in T_j \wedge v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right) = 2\left(u_i N_i - \sum_{v_i v_j \in E[\mathcal{G}]} u_j\right)$. This is a weighted difference in the vector sums that correspond to v_j and its neighbors in $\mathcal{G}$. This is initialized as $\mathbf{0}$.

- U_i^{st}: this is the average of the vectors U_j for all nodes v_j in the subtree of v_i. U^{st} is initialized as $\mathbf{0}$ for each node.

Additionally, each node contains pointers to its children and parents. N^{st}, R^{st} are initialized in one pass over $\mathcal{G}$.

In step s, we find a $i \in 1 \ldots k$ for which Equation (8) has a value at most the average

$$\begin{aligned} A_s =& \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_s N_i + \beta_s R_i + \langle p_s, U_i \rangle\right) = \alpha_s \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i\right) + \beta_s \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_i\right) + \left\langle p_s, \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} U_i\right)\right\rangle \\ =& \alpha_s N_1^{st} + \beta_s R_1^{st} + \langle p_s, U_1^{st} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where v_1 is the root of $\mathcal{G}$. Then y_s satisfies Equation (6).

To find such a node v_i, we start at the root $v_1 \in \mathcal{G}$. We compute the average A_s and evaluate Equation (8) at v_1. If the value is at most A_s, we report success, setting $i = 1$. If not, then for at least one child v_m of v_1, the average for the subtree is less than A_s, that is,

$$\alpha_s N_m^{st} + \beta_s R_m^{st} + \langle p_s, U_m^{st} \rangle < A_s.$$

We scan the children of v_1 and compute the expression to find such a node v_m. Then we recursively repeat the procedure on the subtree rooted at v_m, and so on until we find a suitable node. There is at least one node v in the subtree at v_m for which Equation (8) evaluates to less than A_s, so the procedure is guaranteed to find such a node.

Let v_i be the chosen node. We update the information stored in the nodes of the tree for the next iteration. We set

- $r'_i := r'_i - 1$ and $R_i := R_i - 2N_i$. Similarly we update the R_i values for the neighbors of v_i.

- We set $T_i := T_i \cup \{p_s\}$, $u_i := u_i + p_s$ and $U_i := U_i + 2N_i p_s$. Similarly we update the U_i values for the neighbors.

- For each child of v_i and for each ancestor of v_i on the path to the root, we update R^{st} and U^{st}.

After the last step of the algorithm, the sets $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ are the required partition of P. This completes the description of the algorithm.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 for the general case Computing the prefix sums and α_s, β_s takes $O(nd)$ time in total. Creating and initializing the tree takes $O(k)$ time. In step s, computing the average A_s and evaluating Equation 8 takes $O(d)$ time per node. Therefore, computing Equation 8 for the children of a node takes $O(d\mu)$ time, as $\mathcal{G}$ is a μ-ary tree. In the worst case, the search for v_i starts at the root and goes to a leaf, exploring $O(\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ nodes in the process and hence takes $O(d\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ time. For updating the tree, the information local to v_i and its neighbors can be updated in $O(d\mu)$ time. To update R^{st} and U^{st} we travel on the path to the root, which can be of length $O(\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ in the worst case, and hence takes $O(d\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ time. There are n steps in the algorithm, each taking $O(d\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ time. Overall, the running time is $O(nd\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil)$ which is minimized for a 3-ary tree. □

4.2 Algorithm for the balanced case

In the case of balanced traversals, $\mathcal{G}$ is chosen to be a star graph as was done in Section 2. Let q_1 correspond to the root of the graph and $q_2, \ldots, q_k$ correspond to the leaves. In this case the objective function $\alpha_s N_i + \beta_s R_i + \langle p_s, U_i\rangle$ from the general case can be simplified:

- for $i = 2, \ldots, k$, we have

$$R_i = 2\left(r'_i\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{v_iv_j\in E} r'_j\right) = 2\left(r'_i - r'_1\right),$$

  and

$$U_i = 2\left(\sum_{p\in T_i} p\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{p\in T_j\wedge v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right) = 2\left(\sum_{p\in T_i} p - \sum_{p\in T_1} p\right).$$

- for the root v_1,

$$R_i = 2\left(r'_i\|q_i\|^2 - \sum_{v_iv_j\in E} r'_j\right) = 2\left((k-1)r'_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{k} r'_j\right),$$

  and

$$U_i = 2\left(\|q_i\|^2\sum_{p\in T_i} p - \sum_{p\in T_j\wedge v_iv_j\in E[\mathcal{G}]} p\right) = 2\left((k-1)\sum_{p\in T_i} p - \sum_{p\in T_2\cup\cdots\cup T_k} p\right).$$

We can augment $\mathcal{G}$ with information at the nodes just as in the general case, and use the algorithm to compute the traversal. However, this would need time $O(nd\mu\lceil\log_\mu k\rceil) = O(ndk)$ since $\mu = (k-1)$ and the height of the tree is 1.

Instead, we use an auxiliary balanced ternary rooted tree $\mathcal{T}$ for the algorithm. $\mathcal{T}$ contains k nodes, each associated to one of the vectors $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ in an arbitrary fashion. We augment the tree with the same information as in the general case, but with one difference: for each node v_i, the values of R_i and U_i are updated according to the adjacency in $\mathcal{G}$ and not using the edges of $\mathcal{T}$. Then we can simply use the algorithm for the general case to get a balanced partition. The modification does not affect the complexity of the algorithm.

5 No-dimensional Colorful Tverberg

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and give an algorithm to compute a colorful partition. The general approach is similar as in the previous sections, but now the lifting and the averaging steps need to be modified.

Let $q_1, \dots, q_k$ be the set of vectors derived from a graph $\mathcal{G}$ as in Section 2. Let $\pi = (1, 2, \dots, k)$ be a permutation of $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Let π_i denote the permutation obtained by cyclically shifting the elements of π to the left by $(i-1)$ positions. That means,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 &= (1, 2, \dots, k-1, k) \\
\pi_2 &= (2, 3, \dots, k, 1) \\
\pi_3 &= (3, 4, \dots, 1, 2) \\
&\dots \\
\pi_k &= (k, 1, 2, \dots, k-2, k-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $P_1, \dots, P_n$ be finite point sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$, each of cardinality k. Let $P_1 = \{p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,k}\}$ and

$$P_{1,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{1,i} \otimes q_{\pi_j(i)}$$

be the point in $\mathbb{R}^{d\|\mathcal{G}\|}$ that is formed by taking tensor products of the points of P_1 with the permutation π_j of $q_1, \dots, q_k$ and adding them up, for $j = 1 \dots k$. For instance, $P_{1,4} = p_1 \otimes q_4 + p_2 \otimes q_5 + \dots + p_k \otimes q_3$. This gives a set of k points $P'_1 = \{P_{1,1}, P_{1,2}, \dots, P_{1,k}\}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_{1,j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{1,i} \otimes q_{\pi_j(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{1,i} \otimes q_{\pi_j(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{1,i} \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{\pi_j(i)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{1,i} \otimes \left(\sum_{m=1}^{k} q_m \right) = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

so the centroid of P'_1 coincides with the origin. In a similar manner, for $P_2, \dots, P_n$, we construct the point sets $P'_2, \dots, P'_n$, respectively, each of whose centroids coincides with the origin. We now upper bound $\text{diam}(P'_1)$. For any point $P_{1,i}$, using Equation (1) we can bound the squared norm as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{1,i}\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{1,m} \otimes q_{\pi_i(m)} \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{k} p_{1,\pi_i^{-1}(l)} \otimes q_l \right\|^2 = \sum_{v_l, v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \left\| p_{1,\pi_i^{-1}(l)} - p_{1,\pi_i^{-1}(m)} \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{v_l, v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \text{diam}(P_1)^2 \leq \|\mathcal{G}\| \text{diam}(P_1)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\|P_{1,i}\| \leq \sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}\text{diam}(P)$. For any two points $P_{1,i}, P_{1,j} \in P'_1$,

$$\|P_{1,i} - P_{1,j}\| \leq \|P_{1,i}\| + \|P_{1,j}\| \leq \sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}\text{diam}(P_1) + \sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}\text{diam}(P_1) = 2\sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}\text{diam}(P_1).$$

Therefore, $\text{diam}(P'_1) \leq 2\sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}\text{diam}(P_1)$. We get a similar relation for each P'_i. Now we apply the no-dimensional Colorful Carathéodory theorem [1, Theorem 2.1] on the sets $P'_1, \dots, P'_n$: there is a traversal $X = \{x_1 \in P'_1, \dots, x_n \in P'_n\}$ such that

$$\|c(X), \mathbf{0}\| < \delta = \frac{\max_i \text{diam}(P'_i)}{\sqrt{2n}} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{\|\mathcal{G}\|}}{\sqrt{2n}} \max_i \text{diam}(P_i) = \sqrt{\frac{2k\|\mathcal{G}\|}{N}} \max_i \text{diam}(P_i).$$

Let $x_1 = P_{1,i_1}, x_2 = P_{2,i_2}, \ldots, x_n = P_{n,i_n}$ where $1 \le i_1, \ldots, i_n \le k$ are the indices of the permutations of π that were used. That means,

$$x_j = P_{j,i_j} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} p_{j,l} \otimes q_{\pi_{i_j}(l)} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{j,\pi_{i_j}^{-1}(m)} \otimes q_m.$$

Then, we define the colorful sets $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ as:

$$A_i := \left\{ p_{1,\pi_{i_1}^{-1}(i)}, p_{2,\pi_{i_2}^{-1}(i)}, \ldots p_{n,\pi_{i_n}^{-1}(i)} \right\},$$

that is, A_i consists of the points of $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ that were lifted using q_i for $i = 1 \ldots k$. By definition, each A_i contains precisely one point from each P'_j, so it is a colorful set. Let c_j denote the centroid of A_j. We expand the expression

$$\begin{aligned} c(X) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j,i_j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{k} p_{j,l} \otimes q_{\pi_{i_j}(l)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{j,\pi_{i_j}^{-1}(m)} \otimes q_m \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j,\pi_{i_j}^{-1}(m)} \otimes q_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j,\pi_{i_j}^{-1}(m)} \right) \otimes q_m = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j,\pi_{i_j}^{-1}(m)} \right) \otimes q_m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k} c_m \otimes q_m. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\|c(X)\|^2 < \delta^2$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{m=1}^{k} c_m \otimes q_m \right\|^2 = \sum_{v_l, v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \|c_l - c_m\|^2 < \delta^2,$$

where we again made use of Equation (1). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as in Section 2, the distance from c_1 to any other c_j is at most $\sqrt{\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})}\delta$. Substituting the value of δ, this is $\sqrt{\frac{2k\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})\|\mathcal{G}\|}{N}}\max_i \mathrm{diam}(P_i)$. Now we set $\mathcal{G}$ as a star graph, similar to the balanced case of Section 2 with v_1 as the root. Therefore, a ball of radius

$$\sqrt{\frac{2k(k-1)}{N}} \max_i \mathrm{diam}(P_i)$$

centered at c_1 contains the set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$, intersecting the convex hull of each A_j, as required in Theorem 1.2.

Computation. The algorithm follows a similar approach as in Section 4. The input consists of the sets of points $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. We use the permutations $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ of $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ to (implicitly) construct the point sets $P'_1, \ldots, P'_n$. Then we compute a traversal of $P'_1, \ldots, P'_n$ using the method of conditional expectations. This essentially means determining a permutation π_{i_j} for each P'_i. The permutations directly determine the colorful partition. Once again, we do not explicitly lift any vector using the tensor product, and thereby avoid the associated costs.

We iterate over the points of $\{P'_1, \ldots, P'_n\}$ in reverse order and find a suitable traversal $Y = (y_1 \in P'_1, \ldots, y_n \in P'_n)$ point by point. Suppose we have already selected the points $\{y_{s+1}, y_{s+2}, \ldots, y_n\}$. To find $y_s \in P'_s$, it suffices to choose any point that satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}, y_s, y_{s+1}, \ldots, y_n)\|^2\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s, y_{s+1}, \ldots, y_n)\|^2\right). \quad (10)$$

Specifically, we find the point y_s for which the expectation $\mathbb{E}(\|c(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}, y_s, \ldots, y_n)\|^2)$ is minimum. As in Equation 7 from Section 4, this is equivalent to determining the point that minimizes

$$\|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right) + \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i \right\rangle = \|y_s\|^2 + 2\left\langle y_s, \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right)\right\rangle + 2\langle y_s, \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Let $y_s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{s,i} \otimes q_{\pi(i)}$ for some permutation $\pi \in \{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\}$. The terms of Equation 11 can be expanded as:

- first term:

$$\|y_s\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{s,i} \otimes q_{\pi(i)}\right\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{l=1}^{k} p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)} \otimes q_l\right\|^2 = \sum_{v_l v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]} \left\|p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)} - p_{s,\pi^{-1}(m)}\right\|^2,$$

  using Equation 1.

- second term: the expectation can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_{i,j}\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_{i,j}\right) = \mathbf{0},$$

  as in Equation (9).

- third term: let $\pi_{j_{s+1}}, \ldots, \pi_{j_n}$ denote the permutations selected for $P'_{s+1}, \ldots, P'_n$ in the traversal, respectively. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i &= \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} P_{i,j_i} = \sum_{i=s+1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{k} p_{i,l} \otimes q_{\pi_{j_i}(l)} = \sum_{i=s+1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{i,\pi_{j_i}^{-1}(m)} \otimes q_m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=s+1}^{n} p_{i,\pi_{j_i}^{-1}(m)}\right) \otimes q_m = \sum_{m=1}^{k}\sum_{p \in A'_m} p \otimes q_m,\end{aligned}$$

  where, $A'_m \subseteq A_m$ is the colorful set whose elements from $P_{s+1}, \ldots, P_n$ have already been determined. Let $S_m = \sum_{p \in A'_m} p$ for each $m = 1 \ldots k$. Then, the third term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}2\left\langle y_s, \sum_{i=s+1}^{n} y_i\right\rangle &= 2\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{s,i} \otimes q_{\pi(i)}, \sum_{m=1}^{k} S_m \otimes q_m\right\rangle = 2\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left\langle p_{s,i} \otimes q_{\pi(i)}, S_m \otimes q_m\right\rangle \\ &= 2\sum_{l=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left\langle p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)} \otimes q_l, S_m \otimes q_m\right\rangle = 2\sum_{l=1}^{k}\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left\langle p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)}, S_m\right\rangle \langle q_l, q_m\rangle \\ &= 2\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\left\langle p_{s,\pi^{-1}(m)}, S_m\right\rangle \|q_m\|^2 - \sum_{v_l v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]}\left\langle p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)}, S_m\right\rangle\right) \\ &= 2\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left\langle\left(p_{s,\pi^{-1}(m)}\|q_m\|^2 - \sum_{v_l v_m \in E[\mathcal{G}]} p_{s,\pi^{-1}(l)}\right), S_m\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

If τ is the permutation selected in the iteration for P'_s, then we update $A'_i = A'_i \cup \{p_{s,\tau^{-1}(i)}\}$ and $S_i = S_i + p_{s,\tau^{-1}(i)}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

For each permutation π, the first and the third terms can be computed in $O(\|\mathcal{G}\|d) = O(kd)$ time. There are k permutations for each iteration, so this takes $O(k^2 d)$ time per iteration and $O(nk^2 d) = O(Ndk)$ time in total for finding the traversal.

Remark 5.1. *In principle, it is possible to reduce the problem of computing a no-dimensional Tverberg partition to the problem of computing a no-dimensional Colorful Tverberg partition. This can be done by arbitrarily coloring the point set into sets of equal size, and then using the algorithm for the colorful version. This can give a better upper bound on the radius of the intersecting ball if the diameters of the colorful sets satisfy*

$$\max_i \mathrm{diam}(P_i) < \frac{\mathrm{diam}(P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

However, the algorithm for colorful version has a worse runtime since it does not utilize the optimizations used in the regular version.

6 Conclusion and future work

We gave efficient algorithms for a no-dimensional version of Tverberg theorem and for a colorful counterpart. To achieve this end, we presented a refinement of Sarkaria's tensor product construction by defining vectors using a graph. The choice of the graph was different for the general- and the balanced-partition cases and also influenced the runtime complexity of the algorithms. It would be a worthwhile exercise to look at more applications of this refined tensor product method. Another option could be to look at non-geometric generalizations based on similar ideas.

The radius bound that we obtain for the Tverberg partition is $\sqrt{k}$ off the optimal bound in [1]. This seems to be a limitation in handling Equation (4). It is not clear if this is an artifact of using tensor product constructions. It would be interesting to explore if this factor can be brought down without compromising on the algorithmic complexity. In the general partition case, setting $r_1 = \cdots = r_k$ gives a bound that is $\sqrt{\lceil \log k \rceil}$ worse than the balanced case, so there is some scope for optimization. In the colorful case, the radius bound is again $\sqrt{k}$ off the optimal [1], but with a silver lining. The bound is proportional to $\max_i \mathrm{diam}(P_i)$ in contrast to $\mathrm{diam}(P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_n)$ in [1], which is better when the colors are well-separated.

The algorithm for colorful Tverberg has a worse runtime than the non-colorful case. The challenge in improving the runtime lies a bit with selecting an optimal graph as well as the nature of the problem itself. Each iteration in the algorithm has to look at each of the permutations $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k$ and compute the respective expectations. The two non-zero terms in the expectation are both computed using the chosen permutation. The permutation that minimizes the first term can be determined quickly if $\mathcal{G}$ is chosen as a path graph. This worsens the radius bound by $\sqrt{k-1}$, but it is still not good enough. Computing the other (third) term of the expectation still requires $O(k)$ updates per permutation and therefore $O(k^2)$ updates per iteration, thereby eliminating the utility of using an auxiliary balanced tree to determine the best permutation quickly. The optimal approach for this problem is unclear at the moment.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Frédéric Meunier for stimulating discussions about the colorful Carathéodory theorem and related problems and for hosting us during a research stay at his lab. We would also like to thank Sergey Bereg for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

References

[1] Karim Adiprasito, Imre Bárány, and Nabil Mustafa. Theorems of Carathéodory, Helly, and Tverberg without dimension. In *Proc. 30th Annu. ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 2350–2360, 2019.

[2] Noga Alon and Joel H. Spencer. *The Probalistic method.* John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

[3] Jorge L. Arocha, Imre Bárány, Javier Bracho, Ruy Fabila Monroy, and Luis Montejano. Very colorful theorems. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 42(2):42–154, 2009.

[4] Imre Bárány. A generalization of Carathéodory's theorem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 40(2-3):141–152, 1982.

[5] Imre Bárány and David G. Larman. A colored version of Tverberg's theorem. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-45(2):314–320, 1992.

[6] Imre Bárány and Shmuel Onn. Colourful linear programming and its relatives. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 22(3):550–567, 1997.

[7] Pavle Blagojević, Benjamin Matschke, and Günter Ziegler. Optimal bounds for the colored Tverberg problem. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 017(4):739–754, 2015.

[8] Jiří Matoušek, Martin Tancer, and Uli Wagner. A geometric proof of the colored Tverberg theorem. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 47(2):245–265, 2012.

[9] Frédéric Meunier, Wolfgang Mulzer, Pauline Sarrabezolles, and Yannik Stein. The rainbow at the end of the line: A PPAD formulation of the colorful Carathéodory theorem with applications. In *Proc. 28th Annu. ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1342–1351, 2017.

[10] Gary L. Miller and Donald R. Sheehy. Approximate centerpoints with proofs. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 43(8):647–654, 2010.

[11] Wolfgang Mulzer and Yannik Stein. Computational aspects of the colorful carathéodory theorem. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 60(3):720–755, 2018.

[12] Wolfgang Mulzer and Daniel Werner. Approximating Tverberg points in linear time for any fixed dimension. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 50(2):520–535, 2013.

[13] Johann Radon. Mengen konvexer Körper, die einen gemeinsamen Punkt enthalten. *Mathematische Annalen*, 83:113–115, 1921.

[14] Jean-Pierre Roudneff. Partitions of points into simplices with k-dimensional intersection. I. The conic Tverberg's theorem. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 22(5):733–743, 2001.

[15] Karanbir S. Sarkaria. Tverberg's theorem via number fields. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 79(2-3):317–320, 1992.

[16] Pablo Soberón. Equal coefficients and tolerance in coloured Tverberg partitions. *Combinatorica*, 35(2):235–252, 2015.

[17] Helge Tverberg. A generalization of Radon's theorem. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-41(1):123–128, 1966.

[18] Helge Tverberg. A generalization of Radon's theorem II. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 24(3):321–325, 1981.

[19] Helge Tverberg and Siniša Vrećica. On generalizations of Radon's theorem and the Ham-sandwich theorem. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 14(3):259–264, 1993.

[20] Rade T. Živaljević and Siniša Vrećica. The colored Tverberg's problem and complexes of injective functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A.*, 61:309–318, 1992.