

Exercise 1 Sorted matrices

3 + 3 + 4 Points

Consider an $m \times n$ matrix M with distinct integer elements with the m rows in increasing order left-to-right and the n columns in increasing order top-to-bottom.

- (a) Given a value K , describe an efficient algorithm that finds out whether K appears in M . Ideally, the running time should be $O(m + n)$.
- (b) Given a value K , describe an efficient algorithm to find $\text{rank}(K)$, i.e. the number of entries $M_{i,j}$ of the matrix M such that $M_{i,j} \leq K$. Ideally, the running time should be $O(m + n)$.
- (c) Suppose now that the rows of M are sorted, but its columns are not. Show that in $O(m + k)$ time we can select the k -th smallest element in m .

Hint: This is almost the same as exercise 3 in the previous exercise sheet, but now we have *selection from heaps* as a tool.

Bonus question: +5p: In question (c) if k is much larger than m , then $O(m+k)$ may be too wasteful. Try to find an alternative method that achieves a better running time in this case, e.g. $O(m \log k)$ or even $O(m \log \frac{k}{m})$. You can use any of the existing methods as subroutines.

Hint: Can you identify at least a constant fraction of the top- k elements? How would that help?

Exercise 2 Selection from $X + Y$

4 Points

Given two (unsorted) sets $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, we want to find the k -th smallest of all possible pairwise sums $x_i + y_j$. In the lecture we argued that if we sort X and Y , we can reduce the problem to *selection from sorted matrices*, and the overall running time is $O(n \log n + k)$.

We would like to improve the running time to $O(n+k)$, so we must avoid the sorting step. Find a way to reduce the problem directly to *selection from heaps* that yields the given bound.

Hint: recall that building a binary heap from a list takes only linear time!

Another hint: it may be easier to build a heap of constant degree greater than 2.

Exercise 3 Subarray-selection2 + 4 *Points*

We are given an array with nonnegative entries $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. For two arbitrary indices $1 \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$, the *subarray-sum* between ℓ and j is defined as $\sum_{i=\ell}^j a_i$.

- (a) Describe an $O(n)$ -time preprocessing step, after which $a_{\ell,j}$ can be computed in constant time for arbitrary ℓ, j .
- (b) Assuming that $a_{\ell,j}$ is available in constant time, give an efficient method to compute the k -th smallest subarray-sum. What is the running time in terms of n and k ? Can you find the k -th *largest* subarray-sum more efficiently? You can use any of the existing methods as subroutines.

Total: 20 points. Have fun with the solutions!