

# The Gauss-Manin Connection and Tannaka Duality

Hélène Esnault and Phùng Hồ Hai

## 1 Introduction

If  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$  is a smooth, geometrically connected variety defined over a field of characteristic 0,  $K \supset k$  is a field extension, and  $x \in X(K)$  is a rational point, one considers three Tannaka categories  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(K/k)$  of flat connections with compatible fiber functors. The objects of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  are bundles (i.e., locally free coherent modules of finite type) with relative flat connections  $((\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{/K}), \nabla_{/K} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})$ , the ones of  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  are bundles with flat absolute connections  $((\mathcal{V}, \nabla), \nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})$ , and the ones of  $\mathcal{C}(K/k)$  are  $K$ -vector spaces with flat connections  $((V, \nabla), \nabla : V \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k}^1 \otimes_K V)$ . The morphisms are the flat morphisms and the fiber functor has values in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces  $\text{Vec}_K$  over  $K$ , defined by the restriction of  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $x$  for  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  and by  $V$  for  $\mathcal{C}(K/k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  is a neutral Tannaka category, and Tannaka duality [2, Theorem 2.11] yields the existence of a proalgebraic group scheme  $G(X/K)$  over  $K$ , so that  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  becomes equivalent to the representation category  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  on finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces. The two other Tannaka categories  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(K/k)$  are not necessarily neutral. We assume that they are defined over  $k$ , which is to say that  $k$  is the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(K/k)}((K, d_{K/k}))$  of the unit object, which in this case is the same as the subfield of  $K$  of flat sections. Equivalently, this is saying that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K$ . Then Tannaka duality [3, théorème 1.12] yields the existence of groupoid schemes  $G(X/k)$ ,  $G(K/k)$  over  $k$  acting on  $\text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$ , so that, in the groupoid sense,  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{C}(K/k)$ ) becomes equivalent to the representation category  $\text{Rep}_f(K :$

$G(X/k)$  (resp.,  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(K/k))$ ) on finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces. (We refer to the appendix for the brief review of Deligne's theory of groupoid schemes.) Since the fiber functors are compatible, one has natural transformations  $\mathcal{C}(X/k) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} \mathcal{C}(X/K)$  mapping an absolute connection  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  to the induced relative one  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(K/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{C}(X/k)$  mapping  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  to  $f^*(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ . This yields the homomorphisms

$$G(X/K) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} G(X/k)^\Delta, \quad G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Delta$  defines the induced group scheme over  $K$  which is the restriction of  $G(X/k)$  to the diagonal  $\Delta = \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$ , viewed as a group scheme over  $K$ .

On the other hand, if  $V$  is an object in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , its cohomology group  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$  is well defined [5, Section 1.4], and is represented by an  $i$ -extension. Via the Tannaka formalism, this  $i$ -extension yields an  $i$ -extension of connections in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of the trivial connection  $(\mathcal{O}_X, d_{/K})$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{/K})$  corresponding to  $V$ . Via the connecting homomorphism  $\delta : H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{O}_X, d_{/K})) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{/K}))$ , where  $\text{DR}$  means de Rham cohomology relative to  $K$ , one defines a homomorphism

$$H^i(G(X/K), V) \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{/K})), \quad i\text{-extension} \longmapsto \delta(1). \quad (1.2)$$

We show the following (see Proposition 2.2).

**Proposition 1.1.** The homomorphism (1.2) is an isomorphism for  $i = 0, 1$  and injective for  $i = 2$ , thus, in particular, is an isomorphism when  $X$  is an affine curve, and also is an isomorphism if  $X$  is a projective curve of genus  $g \geq 1$ .  $\square$

If  $V$  is an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$ , corresponding to the connection  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  and its restriction  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)$ , then one has the Gauss-Manin connection defined on the finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$ . Via (1.2), it corresponds to a groupoid action of  $G(K/k)$  on  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . We investigate the question of whether one can interpret the Gauss-Manin connection as one does in topology. For the category of local systems on complex manifolds,  $G(X/K)$  corresponds to  $\pi_1(f^{-1}f(x), x)$ ,  $G(X/k)$  to  $\pi_1(X, x)$ , while  $G(K/k)$  corresponds to  $\pi_1(S, f(x))$ . So via the standard exact sequence expressing the absolute fundamental group as an extension of the one on the base with the relative one, one defines an action of  $\pi_1(S, f(x))$  on  $H^i(\pi_1(f^{-1}f(x), x), \rho)$  for a representation  $\rho$  of  $\pi_1(X, x)$ .

In our setting, the map  $f^*$  of groupoids in (1.1) is surjective, and one defines its kernel,

$$0 \longrightarrow L^\delta \longrightarrow G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.3)$$

as a discrete  $k$ -groupoid scheme, that is, the  $k$ -morphism  $L^\delta \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K) \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(K)$  factors through the diagonal  $\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K) \times_k \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ . Its representation category is equivalent to the representation category of the underlying group scheme  $L \subset G(X/k)^\Delta$  over  $K$ . We show the following (see Theorem 5.8).

**Theorem 1.2.** The representation category of  $L$  is equivalent to the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the objects of which are both subobjects and quotients of objects in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ .  $\square$

On the other hand, the homomorphism  $\mathrm{rest}$  in (1.1) factors naturally through  $L$ . One defines the subgroup scheme

$$H := \mathrm{rest}(G(X/K)) \subset L, \quad (1.4)$$

over  $K$  of  $L$  and shows (Proposition 3.1) that its representation category is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the objects of which are subquotients of objects in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ . This description allows us to define an obstruction, local at  $\infty$  of  $X$ , for an object of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  to lie in  $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ . We show that this obstruction does not necessarily vanish, thus, we have the following (see Proposition 3.2).

**Proposition 1.3.** The homomorphism  $G(X/K) \rightarrow G(X/k)^\Delta$  of  $K$ -group schemes is not necessarily injective.  $\square$

More precisely we show the following (see Theorem 4.7).

**Theorem 1.4.** The kernel of  $G(X/K) \rightarrow G(X/k)^\Delta$  has a nontrivial subgroup scheme which is defined in categorical terms, and which has the property that it has no nontrivial homomorphism into the additive group  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .  $\square$

On the other hand, Deligne shows that any relative subconnection of an absolute connection is also the quotient that acts as a relative connection of an absolute connection (Theorem 5.10). Thus the description of  $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$  and  $\mathrm{Rep}(L)$  as full subcategories of  $\mathrm{Rep}(G(X/K))$  allows to conclude that  $H = L$ . The following is the main result of this article (see Theorem 5.11).

**Theorem 1.5.** The sequence

$$G(X/K) \longrightarrow G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1.5)$$

is exact, where exactness means that one sees the  $k$ -discrete groupoid scheme  $L^\delta$  as a  $K$ -group scheme  $L$ , and then it is the image of  $G(X/K)$ .  $\square$

Thus there are at least two reasons why one cannot directly apply the standard argument describing a canonical action of  $G(K/k)$  on  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$ , where  $V$  is a finite representation of  $G(X/k)$ . Firstly, (1.1) is a sequence of groupoid schemes rather than group schemes. This means that one has to redo for groupoids the classical theory available for groups. Secondly,  $G(X/K) \rightarrow L$  is not injective, which is really a new phenomenon. The reason why, nevertheless, one has this  $G(K/k)$ -groupoid action on  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$  comes from the following (see Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 5.12).

**Corollary 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.** The natural homomorphisms  $H^i(L, V) \rightarrow H^i(H, V) \rightarrow H^i(G(X/K), V)$  defined by functoriality are all isomorphisms for  $i = 0, 1$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, one obtains a Tannaka theoretic formulation of the Gauss-Manin connection on  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$  (Section 6).

Finally, one can develop the same theory using connections relative to a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $D$  of  $T_{K/k}$ . More precisely, let us remark that a connection is the same as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent module endowed with an action of the sheaf of differential operators. Let  $D \subset T_{K/k}$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -linear subspace of the tangent vectors of  $K/k$ , which is closed under brackets, such that  $\text{End}_D(K) = k$ ; and let  $T_{X/k, D}$  be the inverse image of  $f^*D$  in  $T_{X/k}$ , the tangent sheaf of  $K/k$ , under the map  $T_{X/k} \rightarrow f^*T_{K/k}$ . Then  $T_{X/k, D}$  is an extension of  $f^*D$  by  $T_{X/K}$ , which generates a subalgebra  $\mathcal{D}_{X/k, D} \subset \mathcal{D}_{X/k}$ . The methods developed in this paper could be used to treat the relations  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X/k, D)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(K/k, D)$  as well, where  $\mathcal{C}(X/k, D)$  is the category of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent modules with an action of  $\mathcal{D}_{X/k, D}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(K/k, D)$  is the category of finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces with a  $\mathcal{D}(K/k, D)$ , the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  spanned by  $D$ . We do not write the details.

## 2 The neutral Tannaka category of flat connections

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$  be a smooth geometrically connected variety defined over a field of characteristic 0.

**Definition 2.1.** The category  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of flat connections relative to  $K$  (or simply of flat connections/ $K$ ) has for objects, the flat connections  $((\mathcal{V}, \nabla), \nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a locally free coherent module of finite type, and for morphisms, the flat morphisms.

It is a rigid abelian tensor category over  $K$ , and if we fix a  $K$ -rational point  $x \in X(K)$ , we can endow  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  with the fiber functor,

$$\mathcal{C}(X/K) \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{Vec}_K, \quad (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \mapsto \omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) = \mathcal{V}|_x =: V, \quad (2.1)$$

with values in the category of finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces. Thus  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  becomes a neutral Tannaka category, and by the fundamental Tannaka duality [2, Theorem 2.11],  $\omega$  defines an equivalence of tensor categories,

$$\mathcal{C}(X/K) \xrightarrow{\omega \cong} \text{Rep}_f(G(X/K)), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $G(X/K)$  is the Tannaka group scheme over  $K$  and  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  is the category of its finite dimensional representations.  $G(X/K)$  is a progroup scheme over  $K$  which fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} G(X/K) &= \varprojlim_V G(V), \\ G(V) &= \text{Im}(G(X/K)) \quad \text{in } \text{GL}(\omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla))). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $V$  be an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ . One defines its cohomology  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$ . Recall from [5, Section 1.4] that if  $G$  is a group scheme, its cohomology is defined as the right derived functor of the functor  $V \mapsto V^G$  of invariants, and is computed explicitly by cochains. Here  $G(X/K)$  is proalgebraic, acts on  $V$  via its quotient  $G(V)$  and the functor  $V \mapsto V^{G(X/K)}$  of invariants factors through  $V \mapsto V^{G(V)} = V^{G(X/K)}$ . Setting  $\mathcal{O}[G(X/K)] := \varinjlim_V \mathcal{O}[G(V)]$  for the  $K$ -algebra of functions, with its canonical  $G(X/K)$ -action, the  $G(X/K)$ -injective modules are still direct summands of  $(\text{trivial}) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}[G(X/K)]$ , as in [5, Subsection 1.3.10]. In the Ind-category of representations of the proalgebraic group  $G(X/K)$ , there are enough injective modules, and one defines  $H^i(G(X/K), V)$  as the right derived functor to the functor  $V \mapsto V^{G(X/K)}$  of invariants. As  $V^{G(X/K)} = \text{Hom}_{G(X/K)}(K, V)$ , one has, as in [5, equation 1.4.2(1)], that cohomology is also the derived functor  $\text{Ext}_{G(X/K)}^i(K, V)$  to  $V \mapsto \text{Hom}_{G(X/K)}(K, V)$ :

$$H^i(G(X/K), V) = \text{Ext}_{\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))}^i(K, V). \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, if  $e$  is an  $i$ -extension of  $K$  by  $V$  in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , via Tannaka duality (2.2), one has an  $i$ -extension  $\epsilon$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_X, d)$  by  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  with

$$\omega(\epsilon) = e, \quad (2.5)$$

yielding a connecting homomorphism,

$$\delta_\epsilon : H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{O}_X, d)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) := \mathbb{H}^i(X, \Omega_{X/K}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})$  is the de Rham cohomology of the connection  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ . This defines a homomorphism of  $K$ -vector spaces,

$$\delta^i(X/K) : H^i(G(X/K), V) \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)), \quad e \longmapsto \delta_\epsilon(1). \quad (2.7)$$

**Proposition 2.2.** The homomorphism  $\delta^i(X/K)$  is an isomorphism for  $i = 0, 1$  and is injective for  $i = 2$ . In particular, if  $X/K$  is an affine curve,  $H^2(G(X/K), V) = H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $X/K$  is a smooth projective curve of genus  $g \geq 1$ , then it is an isomorphism for  $i = 2$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* For  $i = 0$ ,  $H^0(G(X/K), V) \subset V$  is the largest trivial  $G(X/K)$ -subrepresentation. Thus by Tannaka duality (2.2), it corresponds to

$$(H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X, 1 \otimes d), \quad (2.8)$$

which is the largest trivial subconnection of  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ , where  $\omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) = V$ . For  $i = 1$ , (2.4) says that a class  $e \in H^1(G(X/K), V)$  is represented by an extension  $e : 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  and that two such extensions  $e, e'$  yield the same cohomology class if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W' & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, a class  $e \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$ , with Čech cocycle  $(u_{ij}, v_j) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes \mathcal{V})$ ,  $\delta(u) = \nabla(u) - \delta(v) = \nabla(v) = 0$ , on a Čech covering  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_i U_i$ , is represented by an extension  $e : 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \rightarrow 0$ , with  $\mathcal{W}|_{U_i} = (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{O})|_{U_i}$ ,  $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}(0 \oplus 1) = v_i$ ,  $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}}|_{\mathcal{V} \oplus 0} = \nabla$ . Two such extensions  $e, e'$  yield the same cohomology class if and only if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{W}', \nabla_{\mathcal{W}'}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

Thus Tannaka duality (2.2) yields the result for  $i = 1$ . We remark that the proof also shows the isomorphism  $H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) = H^1(G(X/K), V)$  for  $V \in \text{Rep}(G(X/K))$  and  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ , the corresponding connection with  $\mathcal{V}$  only, quasi-coherent.

We now show injectivity for  $i = 2$ . Let  $J$  be the injective envelope of  $V$  in  $\text{Rep}(G(X/K))$  and let  $(\mathcal{J}, \nabla)$  be the corresponding (not necessarily coherent) connection. As  $J$  is injective in  $\text{Rep}(G(X/K))$ , one has

$$H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{J}, \nabla)) \cong H^1(G(X/K), J) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Hence, the long exact sequences associated to the exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow J \rightarrow J/V \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{J}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{J}, \nabla) \rightarrow 0$  yield

$$H^2(G(X/K), V) \cong H^1(G(X/K), J/V) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)). \tag{2.12}$$

We now prove the last part of the proposition. Let  $X/K$  be a smooth projective curve, and  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  be a connection. Then  $H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$  is a Poincaré dual to  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)^\vee)$ , where  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)^\vee$  is the dual connection. The inclusion  $(H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)^\vee) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X, 1 \otimes d) \subset (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)^\vee$  induces an isomorphism on  $H_{\text{DR}}^0$ , thus the dual projection

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) &\twoheadrightarrow (H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X, 1 \otimes d) \cong \oplus_1^h(\mathcal{O}_X, d), \\ h = \dim_K H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

induces an isomorphism on  $H_{\text{DR}}^2$ . On the other hand, assuming now that  $g \geq 1$ , there are two classes  $\alpha, \beta \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X)$ , so that  $0 \neq \alpha \cup \beta \in H_{\text{DR}}^2(X) = K$ . Thus there is a diagram of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{O}_X, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \\ \uparrow & & & & \\ (\mathcal{F}, \nabla) & & & & \\ \uparrow & & & & \\ (\mathcal{O}_X, d) & & & & \end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , which corresponds to  $\alpha$  for the horizontal extension and  $\beta$  for the vertical one. Denoting by  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_0$  the sub of  $\oplus_1^h(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  which is the inverse image of  $(\mathcal{O}_X, d)$  embedded diagonally in  $\oplus_1^h(\mathcal{O}_X, d)$ , and setting  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)_0 = \oplus_1^h(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ , (2.14) induces a 2-extension

in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow \oplus_1^h(\mathcal{O}_X, d) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)_0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.15}$$

which has the property that the image of connecting homomorphism  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X) \rightarrow \oplus_1^h H_{\text{DR}}^2(X)$  followed by a projection  $\oplus_1^h H_{\text{DR}}^2(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^2(X)$  is the fundamental class  $\alpha \cup \beta$ . Since (2.13) induces an isomorphism on  $H_{\text{DR}}^2$ , there are connections  $(\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \nabla)$  together with a commutative diagram of 2-extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus_1^h(\mathcal{O}_X, d) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{F}, \nabla)_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X, d) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & = \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{F}_1, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X, d) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{2.16}$$

in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ . We apply Tannaka duality to the bottom 2-extension. This yields a 2-extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.17}$$

in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , which has the property that the composite map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(G(X/K), K) & \xrightarrow{\text{connecting hom.}} & H^2(G(X/K), V) \xrightarrow{\delta^2(X/K)} H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) \\ & \xrightarrow{(2.13) \text{ iso}} \oplus_1^h H_{\text{DR}}^2(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H_{\text{DR}}^2(X) \end{array} \tag{2.18}$$

is an isomorphism. This shows that  $\delta^2(X/K)$  is surjective and finishes the proof. ■

**Remark 2.3.** If  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , then the classical Riemann-Hilbert correspondence establishes an equivalence of Tannaka categories,

$$\text{Rep}_f(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X/\mathbb{C}), \tag{2.19}$$

for  $X$  a complex smooth projective variety. It defines a homomorphism,

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x) \longrightarrow G(X/\mathbb{C})(\mathbb{C}), \tag{2.20}$$



with dense image. In particular, if  $X/K = \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x) = 0$ , thus

$$H^2(G(X/K), K) = 0, \quad \text{while } H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, (\mathcal{O}_X, d)) = K. \quad (2.21)$$

More generally, (2.18) gives a topological hint why the surjectivity on  $H^2$  in Proposition 2.2 is true on a curve of genus  $\geq 1$ . Indeed in this case, the universal covering of the underlying Riemann surface is contractible, thus the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence degenerates and one has  $H_{\text{DR}}^2(X/\mathbb{C}, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) \cong H^2(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X(\mathbb{C}), x), V)$ .

### 3 The not necessarily neutral Tannaka category of flat connections

Let  $g : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  be a smooth scheme with  $k$  a field of characteristic 0, where  $g$  factors through  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$  as in Section 2, thus  $X/K$  is a smooth geometrically connected variety, and  $K \supset k$  is a field extension with  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(X/k)}((K, d_{K/k})) = k$ . As already mentioned in Section 1, this is equivalent to saying that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K$ . Indeed,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(X/k)}((K, d_{K/k})) = K^\nabla$  is the ring of flat sections. We have  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  as in Definition 2.1. The objects of  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  are flat connections  $((\mathcal{V}, \nabla), \nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes \mathcal{V})$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a coherent locally free sheaf on  $X/K$ , and morphisms are flat morphisms. This is a  $k$ -linear category. As in (2.1), we fix a  $K$ -rational point  $x \in X(K)$  which defines a fiber functor

$$\mathcal{C}(X/k) \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{Vec}_K, \quad (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \mapsto \mathcal{V}|_x =: V. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  becomes a nonneutral Tannaka category when  $K \neq k$ . By the fundamental Tannaka duality [3, théorème 1.12], there is a groupoid scheme  $G(X/k)$  defined over  $k$ , acting on  $\text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$  so that  $\omega$  defines an equivalence of tensor categories

$$\mathcal{C}(X/k) \xrightarrow{\omega \cong} \text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k)), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$  denotes the category of finite dimensional  $K$ -representations of  $G(X/k)$ . See the appendix for a summary of the facts on groupoid schemes which will be used in the sequel.

We denote by  $G(X/k)^\Delta$  the restriction of  $G(X/k)$  to the diagonal. Then  $G(X/k)^\Delta$  is a discrete groupoid scheme over  $k$ . The representation category of a discrete groupoid scheme is equivalent to the representation category of the underlying group scheme over

K. The embedding of Tannaka categories  $\mathcal{C}(X/k) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} \mathcal{C}(X/K)$ ,  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \mapsto \text{rest}((\mathcal{V}, \nabla)) = (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)$  with compatible fiber functor yields a homomorphism

$$G(X/K) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} G(X/k)^\Delta \subset G(X/k). \tag{3.3}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** The representation category on finite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces of  $H := \text{rest}(G(X/K)) \subset G(X/k)^\Delta$  is equivalent to the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the objects of which are subquotients of objects  $\text{rest}((\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the objects of which are subquotients of the objects  $\text{rest}((\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$ , and by  $G(\mathcal{C})$  its Tannaka group scheme. Recall from [2, Proposition 2.21(a)] that  $G(X/K) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{C})$  is faithfully flat if and only if  $\text{rest}$  is fully faithful, which in our case is trivial, and any subobject in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of an object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object in  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is trivial as well in our case. Recall from [2, Proposition 2.21(a)] that  $G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow G(X/k)^\Delta$  is a closed immersion if and only if any object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subquotient of an object in  $\text{Rep}(G(X/k)^\Delta)$ . But by definition, objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  are subquotients of objects in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ , thus a fortiori of objects in  $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{C}(X/k)^\Delta)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.2.** The homomorphism of group schemes  $G(X/K) \rightarrow G(X/k)^\Delta$  over  $K$  is not necessarily injective.  $\square$

*Proof.* We assume that  $X$  is an affine curve, and that  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $K = \overline{\mathbb{C}(s)}$ , where  $s$  is a transcendental element. We wish to show that not every connection on  $X/K$  is a subquotient of a flat connection on  $X/k$ . We consider a rank 1 connection  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  on  $X/K$ . Its formal completion at a point  $y \in \bar{X} \setminus X$ , which we assume to be  $K$ -rational with local parameter  $t$ , is of the shape

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K((t)) &= K((t)) \cdot e, \\ (\nabla \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K((t)))(e) &= \alpha(t) \frac{dt}{t} \cdot e, \quad \alpha(t) \in \frac{1}{t^n} K[[t]]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Assume  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  is a subquotient of  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  on  $X/k$ . By the Turrittin-Levelt decomposition (see, e.g., [1, Section 5.9]), one has

$$(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K((t)) = \oplus_i M_i \otimes U_i, \tag{3.5}$$

with  $U_i$  nilpotent,  $M_i$  irreducible,  $\text{Hom}(M_i, M_j) = K \cdot \delta_{ij}$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K((t))$  has to be one of the  $M_i$ , say  $M_0$ ; and it is not only a subquotient, but also a subrelative connection.

We write the matrix of the connection in a basis adapted to the decomposition (3.5):

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \frac{dt}{t} + \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} ds, \quad (3.6)$$

with coefficients of  $a, b, A, B, C, D$  in  $K((t))$ ,  $a(dt/t)$  describing the connection/ $K$  on  $M_0 \otimes U_0$ , so  $a = \alpha \otimes N_0$  with  $N_0$  a sum of nilpotent Jordan blocks, thus, in particular, with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. The integrability condition implies

$$\partial_s a - t \partial_t A = [a, A]. \quad (3.7)$$

This implies

$$\text{Tr Res}_{t=0} \partial_s(a) \frac{dt}{t} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

as  $[a, A]$  has trace zero and  $(\partial_t A)dt$  has residue zero. Let us denote by  $\alpha_0 \in K$  the constant term in the  $t$ -expansion of  $\alpha(t) \in (1/t^n)K[[t]]$ . If

$$\alpha_0 \in K \setminus \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.9)$$

the condition (3.8) is not fulfilled and  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K((t))$  is not a subconnection of a flat connection/ $\mathbb{C}$ . Now starting with  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  on  $X/K$ , we can always achieve the condition (3.9). We possibly replace  $X$  by a smaller affine  $X \setminus \Sigma$  so that  $\Gamma(\bar{X} \setminus \Sigma, \omega(y))$  contains a differential form  $\eta$  so that  $\alpha_0 + (\text{res}_y \eta) \in K \setminus \mathbb{C}$ , and then replace  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  by  $((\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus \Sigma}, d + \gamma))$  on  $X \setminus \Sigma$ . This finishes the proof. ■

**Corollary 3.3.** The kernel  $N = \text{Ker}(G(X/K) \rightarrow G(X/k)^\Delta)$  is not trivial. □

We will show in Theorem 4.7 that  $N$  has a nontrivial subgroup with no  $\mathbb{G}_a$  quotient.

#### 4 The universal de Rham extension

In this section, the general assumption is as in Section 3 with the extra assumption on the transcendence degree of  $K$  over  $k$ .

Assumptions are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & k \text{ field of characteristic } 0, \text{ algebraically closed in } K, \\
 & \text{tr deg } K/k \leq 1, \\
 & f : X \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \text{ smooth geometrically connected variety,} \\
 & g : X \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(k).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Fixing  $x \in X(K)$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  and its groupoid scheme  $G(X/k)$  as in (3.1).

Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  be a flat connection on  $X/k$ . Recall [6, Section 3], that its Gauss-Manin connection is defined as the connecting homomorphism  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \xrightarrow{\text{GM}} \Omega_K^1 \otimes_K H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$  on the relative cohomology  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) := \mathbb{H}^i(X, \Omega_{X/K}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{V})$  of the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{K/k}^1 \otimes_K (\Omega_{X/K}^{\bullet-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/K}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/K}^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.1. As mentioned in [6, (1.2)], the de Rham cohomology  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$  is also the right derived functor to the left exact global section functor

$$\text{MIC}(X/K) \longrightarrow \text{Vec}_K^{\text{qc}}, \quad (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K) \longmapsto H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\text{MIC}(X/K)$  is the category of quasi-coherent modules endowed with a flat connection on  $X/K$ , the morphisms being the flat morphisms, and  $\text{Vec}_K^{\text{qc}}$  is the category of quasi-coherent  $K$ -vector spaces (i.e., of possibly infinite dimensional  $K$ -vector spaces). This category has enough injectives. One defines  $\text{MIC}(X/k)$  as the category of quasi-coherent modules endowed with a flat connection on  $X/k$ . This category has enough injectives. Moreover, as tacitly mentioned in [6, Remark 3.1], the restriction functor  $\text{MIC}(X/k) \rightarrow \text{MIC}(X/K)$ ,  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \mapsto (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)$  sends injectives to injectives. Indeed, the sheaf of algebras of differential operators  $\text{P-D Diff}(X/k)$  (see [6, (1.2)] for the notation) is flat over its sheaf of subalgebras  $\text{P-D Diff}(X/K)$  as one can choose local coordinates in Zariski local neighborhoods. Therefore, the restriction functor has an exact left adjoint, that is, for  $M$  defined/ $k$ , with restriction to  $K$  denoted by  $M/K$ , and  $N$  defined/ $K$ , one has

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{P-D Diff}(X/k)}(\text{P-D Diff}(X/k) \otimes_{\text{P-D Diff}(X/K)} N, M) = \text{Hom}_{\text{P-D Diff}(X/K)}(N, M/K). \tag{4.4}$$

This implies that the restriction to  $K$  of injective modules/ $k$  is injective/ $K$ . (We thank N. Katz for explaining to us in more detail his remark.) Thus for  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ , an absolute connection, and  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \xrightarrow{\text{resolution}} I^\bullet$ , an injective resolution in  $\text{MIC}(X/k)$ , one has

$$H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) = H^i(H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, I^\bullet/K)). \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, the restriction of  $\nabla$  to  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, I^\bullet/K) \subset \mathcal{V}$  is the Gauss-Manin connection which we denote by  $\text{GM}$ . This induces the Gauss-Manin connection on  $H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$ , which we still denote by  $\text{GM}$ . One obtains a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{MIC}(X/k) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} & \text{MIC}(X/K) \\ \downarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(/k) & & \downarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i \\ \text{MIC}(K/k) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} & \text{Vec}_K^{\text{qc}} \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

We still denote by  $\text{GM}$  the Gauss-Manin connection on the full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}(X/k) \subset \text{MIC}(X/k)$ . Then (4.6) contains the subcommutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(X/k) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} & \mathcal{C}(X/K) \\ \downarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(/k) & & \downarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i \\ \mathcal{C}(K/k) & \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} & \text{Vec}_K \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let the assumptions be as in (4.1) and let  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ . Then there is an extension in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \nabla) \longrightarrow (H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X, \text{GM} \otimes d) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.8}$$

with the property that the connecting homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{\text{DR}}^0((H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X, (\text{GM} \otimes d)/K)) \\ &= H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \xrightarrow{\text{connecting}} H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

is the identity. □

**Proof.** The  $K$ -vector space  $\mathcal{H}om(H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)))$ , is endowed with the connection  $\mathcal{H}om(\text{GM}, \text{GM})$ . The identity

$$1 \in \text{Hom}(H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))) \quad (4.10)$$

is a flat section. We apply (4.2) to the flat connection

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{H}om(H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{V}) \quad (4.11)$$

on  $X/k$ . Thus  $1 \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, \mathcal{W}/K)$ , with  $\text{GM}(1) = 0$ . Thus  $1$  lifts to a class

$$\tilde{1} \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, \mathcal{W}) = H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{V})). \quad (4.12)$$

By the standard cocycle defined in the proof of Proposition 2.2 for a class in  $H_{\text{DR}}^1$ , the class  $\tilde{1}$  defines an extension (4.8) with (4.9) being the identity. ■

**Corollary 4.3.** Let the assumptions be as in (4.1) and let  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ . Then the restriction homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(H, \omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))) &\longrightarrow H^1(G(X/K), \omega(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \\ &= (\text{Proposition 2.2}) H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

is an isomorphism. □

**Proof.** As by Proposition 3.1,  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$  is equivalent to a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the homomorphism

$$H^1(H, \omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))) \longrightarrow H^1(G(X/K), \omega(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \quad (4.14)$$

is injective. If now  $e : 0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}', \nabla_{/K}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X, d/K) \rightarrow 0$  is an extension in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  with class  $\bar{e} \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$ , then by Theorem 4.2,  $e$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of (4.8) via  $1 \in \mathcal{O}_X \mapsto \bar{e} \in H_{\text{DR}}^1(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$ , where (4.8) is now considered as an extension of relative connections on  $X/K$ . Consequently,  $(\mathcal{V}', \nabla_{/K})$  is a subconnection of an absolute flat connection, thus  $(\mathcal{V}', \nabla_{/K})$  is an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$ . This shows surjectivity and finishes the proof. ■

**Definition 4.4.** Define  $\text{Rep}_f(H) \subset \text{Rep}_f(H)^t \subset \text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  which contains  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$  and which is thick, that is, whenever two objects are in  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t$ , so is any extension.

**Lemma 4.5.**  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t$  is defined by its objects. One successively defines  $\text{Obj}_n$  as extensions in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  of objects in  $\text{Obj}_{n-1}$ , with  $\text{Obj}_0 = \text{Obj}(\text{Rep}_f(H))$ . Then the objects of  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t$  consist of the union of the  $\text{Obj}_n$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* We just have to show that each full subcategory  $\text{Obj}_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is a Tannaka subcategory. By definition,  $\text{Obj}_n$  is obviously closed undertaking the tensor product and dual objects. Since  $\text{Obj}_n$  is full in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , to show that it is an abelian subcategory, it suffices to check that for any  $V$  in  $\text{Obj}_n$ , all its sub- and quotient objects are again in  $\text{Obj}_n$ . We show this by induction. For  $n = 0$ ,  $\text{Obj}_0 = \text{Rep}_f(H)$ , the claim follows from the fact that  $G(X/K) \rightarrow H$  is injective. In the general case, let  $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow 0$  be the extension defining  $V$ , with  $V_i \in \text{Obj}_{n-1}$ . Let  $W \subset V$ . By induction,  $V_1 \cap W \subset V_1$  and  $W/(W \cap V_1) \subset V_0$  are in  $\text{Obj}_{n-1}$ , hence by definition of  $\text{Obj}_n$ , we have  $W \in \text{Obj}_n$ . Similar discussion holds for quotients of  $V$ .

**Remark 4.6.** If  $H^t$  is the Tannaka group of  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t$ , thus  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t = \text{Rep}_f(H^t)$ , then one has

$$G(X/K) \longrightarrow H^t \longrightarrow H, \quad (4.15)$$

with  $G(X/K) \rightarrow H^t$  surjective since, as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, every subobject in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  of an object in  $\text{Rep}_f(H^t)$  is in  $\text{Rep}_f(H^t)$ .

We define the algebraic K-group

$$N^t := \text{Ker}(G(X/K) \twoheadrightarrow H^t) \subset N = \text{Ker}(G(X/K) \twoheadrightarrow H). \quad (4.16)$$

One has the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.**  $N^t$  is not always trivial, and one has  $H^1(N^t, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* The example of Proposition 3.2 has rank 1. Since  $\text{Rep}_f(H)^t$  is defined by its objects which are extensions in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  of objects in  $H$ ,  $N^t$  could only be trivial if the example was an object in  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$ , which is not. We consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow N^t \longrightarrow G(X/K) \xrightarrow{t} H^t \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.17)$$

of  $K$ -proalgebraic groups. We consider the relative connection  $(\mathcal{O}_X, d/K)$ . As it is the restriction of an absolute connection,  $\omega((\mathcal{O}_X, d))$  is certainly a representation of  $H$ , and then it is the trivial representation  $K$  both for  $H$  and for  $G(X/K)$ . Since  $\text{Rep}(H^t)$  is thick in  $\text{Rep}(G(X/K))$ ,  $\iota$  induces an isomorphism  $H^1(H^t, K) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(G(X/K), K)$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.2, this implies the injectivity  $H^2(H^t, K) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^2(G(X/K), K)$ . Looking now at the long cohomology sequence with  $K$ -coefficients associated to (4.17), we conclude that  $H^1(N^t, K) = 0$ . This finishes the proof. ■

Remark 4.8. In order to get rid of the assumption on the transcendence degree of  $K/k$  being  $\leq 1$ , one has to introduce the category of vertical connections, that is, those connections on  $X/k$ , the curvature of which lies in  $\Omega_X^2 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ . This is because the universal extension (4.8) is a priori only a vertical connection, which is not necessarily flat. This introduces correspondingly the Tannaka groups  $H_v, H_v^t$ , and so forth with the same conclusions as in Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.7. Since we do not see any applications of this, we do not detail the construction.

Remark 4.9. If  $X/K$  is an affine curve, then the embedding  $\text{Rep}_f(H) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$  is thick. This is equivalent to saying that  $H^1(H, V) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(G(X/K), V)$  is an isomorphism for all objects in  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$ , which we show now. An object of  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$  is of the shape  $V = V'/V''$  with  $V'' \subset V' \subset W$  with  $W = \omega((\mathcal{W}, \nabla))$  and  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$  an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ .

We first assume  $V' = W$ . Note that for all  $V \in \text{Rep}_f(H)$ , one has  $H^0(H, V) = H^0(G(X/K), V)$ , as  $G(X/K) \rightarrow H$  is surjective, and  $H^2(G(X/K), V) = 0$  by assumption on  $X$  and by Proposition 2.2. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows ( $G := G(X/K), V_1 = V'', V_0 = W/V_1$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 H^0(H, V_0) & \longrightarrow & H^1(H, V_1) & \longrightarrow & H^1(H, W) & \longrightarrow & H^1(H, V_0) & \longrightarrow & H^2(H, V_1) \\
 = \downarrow & & \downarrow & & = \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^0(G, V_0) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, V_1) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, W) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, V_0) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G, V_1) = 0
 \end{array}
 \tag{4.18}$$

By fullness (Proposition 3.1),  $H^1(H, V_a) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(G(X/K), V_a)$  is injective,  $a = 0, 1$ , hence bijective.

Now consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$  with  $V'' \subset V' \subset V/K, V \in \mathcal{C}(X/k)$ , which also yields a commutative diagram as above. Here we have isomorphisms  $H^1(H, V') \cong H^1(G(X/K), V')$  and the same for  $V''$ . As  $H^1(H, V) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^1(G(X/K), V)$  is injective by fullness, then it is surjective as well. This finishes the proof. ■



## 5 The exact sequence of groupoids

The assumptions in this section are the same as in Section 3:  $g : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is a smooth scheme with  $k$  a field of characteristic 0, with factorization  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ , which makes  $X$  a smooth, geometrically connected variety over the extension  $K \supset k$ . We assume  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(K/k)}((K, d_{K/k})) = k$ . Fixing  $x \in X(K)$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  and its groupoid scheme  $G(X/k)$ , as in Section 3. See also the appendix.

We recall that  $G(X/k)^\Delta$  is the discrete groupoid scheme, pull back of  $G(X/k)$  over the diagonal  $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$ . We define similarly  $G(K/k)^\Delta$ , pull back of  $G(K/k)$  over the diagonal  $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$ . Both  $G(X/k)^\Delta$  and  $G(K/k)^\Delta$ , as  $K$ -schemes, are  $K$ -algebraic groups.

**Lemma 5.1.** The homomorphism of groupoid schemes,

$$G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k), \quad (5.1)$$

is surjective, and induces a surjective homomorphism

$$G(X/k)^\Delta \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k)^\Delta, \quad (5.2)$$

of algebraic groups. □

*Proof.* The composite map  $G(K/k) \xrightarrow{x^*} G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k)$  is the identity. ■

We define the progroup scheme over  $K$ :

$$L = \text{Ker} (G(X/k)^\Delta \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k)^\Delta). \quad (5.3)$$

Since the composite of functors,

$$\mathcal{C}(K/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{C}(X/k) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} \mathcal{C}(X/K), \quad (5.4)$$

sends any object to a finite sum of the trivial object, the composite map of groupoid schemes,

$$G(X/K) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k), \quad (5.5)$$

fulfills

$$\text{rest} (G(X/K)) = H \subset L. \quad (5.6)$$

Recall from Section 3 that  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/K))$  denotes the category of finite dimensional  $K$ -representations of  $G(X/k)$  (see the appendix for details).

**Lemma 5.2** (key lemma). Let  $V \in \text{Obj}(\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k)))$ . Then

$$H^0(L, V) = H^0(G(X/K), V). \quad (5.7)$$

□

Proof. It is clear that

$$H^0(L, V) \subset H^0(G(X/K), V). \quad (5.8)$$

We wish to show surjectivity. We first show the following claim.

**Claim 5.3.**

$$f^*H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{V} \quad (5.9)$$

is the largest subbundle which is stabilized by  $\nabla$  and on which

$$\nabla = f^*\delta, \quad \text{with } \delta = \nabla|_{H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))} \quad \text{so } (H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), \delta) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}(K/k)). \quad (5.10)$$

□

Proof. Indeed, by flatness of  $\nabla$ , the composite map

$$H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_K^1 \otimes \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_K^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes \mathcal{V} \quad (5.11)$$

is vanishing. On the other hand, one has

$$\Omega_K^1 \otimes \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\nabla = 1_{\Omega_K^1} \otimes (\nabla/K)} \Omega_K^1 \otimes \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes \mathcal{V}. \quad (5.12)$$

One concludes that

$$\nabla(H^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))) \subset \Omega_K^1 \otimes_K \text{Ker}(\nabla/K) = \Omega_K^1 \otimes H^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)). \quad (5.13)$$

Consequently,  $H^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{V}$  is stabilized by  $\nabla$  and lies in the largest subbundle on which  $\nabla$  is of the shape  $f^*\delta$ . On the other hand, it has to be the largest such, as any other  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$  would have the property that  $(\nabla/K)|_{\mathcal{W}}$  is generated by flat sections. ■

Claim 5.3 shows that  $H^0(G(X/K), V)$  is a  $G(X/k)$ -representation on which  $G(X/k)$  acts via its quotient  $G(K/k)$ , thus  $H^0(G(X/K), V) \subset H^0(L, V)$ . This finishes the proof. ■

**Corollary 5.4.** If  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are objects of  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$ , with restrictions  $V_i/K$  as objects of  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , then

$$\text{Hom}_L(V_1, V_2) = \text{Hom}_{G(X/K)}(V_1, V_2). \quad (5.14)$$

□

Proof. We just set  $V = V_1^Y \otimes V_2$  and apply  $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2) = H^0(V)$  in the corresponding category together with Lemma 5.2. ■

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a proalgebraic group  $G$  over  $\text{Spec}(K)$ . Then any finite dimensional representation of  $N$  is a quotient of a finite dimensional representation of  $G$  considered as a representation of  $N$ . Consequently any finite dimensional representation of  $N$  can be embedded into a finite dimensional representation of  $G$  considered as representation of  $N$ . □

Proof. Let

$$\text{Ind} : \text{Rep}(N) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(G) \quad (5.15)$$

be the induced representation functor, it is the right adjoint functor to the restriction functor

$$\text{Res} : \text{Rep}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(N), \quad (5.16)$$

that is, one has a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(W)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_N(\text{Res}(V), W). \quad (5.17)$$

Let  $A := G/N$  be the quotient group. It is well known that  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is faithfully flat over its subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(A)$  [9, Chapter 13]. This implies that the functor  $\text{Ind}$  is faithfully exact [8, Chapter 2].

Setting  $V = \text{Ind}(W)$  in (5.17), one obtains a canonical map  $u_W : \text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow W$  in  $\text{Rep}(N)$  which gives back the isomorphism in (5.17) as follows:  $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(W)) \ni h \mapsto u_W \circ h \in \text{Hom}_N(\text{Res}(V), W)$ . The map  $u_W$  is nonzero whenever  $W$  is nonzero. Indeed, since  $\text{Ind}$  is faithfully exact,  $\text{Ind}(W)$  is nonzero whenever  $W$  is nonzero. Thus, if  $u_W = 0$ , then both sides of (5.17) are zero for any  $V$ . On the other hand, for  $V = \text{Ind}(W)$ , the right-hand side contains the identity map. This shows that  $u_W$  cannot vanish.

We want to show that  $u_W$  is always surjective. Let  $U = \text{Im}(u_W)$  and let  $T = W/U$ . We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}(U) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}(W) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}(T) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{5.18}$$

The composition  $\text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(T) \rightarrow T$  is 0, therefore  $\text{Ind}(T) \rightarrow T$  is a zero map, implying  $T = 0$ .

Since  $\text{Ind}(W)$  is a union of its finite dimensional subrepresentations, we can therefore find a finite dimensional  $G$ -subrepresentation  $W_0(W)$  of  $\text{Ind}(W)$ , which still maps surjectively on  $W$ . In order to obtain the statement on the embedding of  $W$ , we dualize  $W_0(W^\vee) \rightarrow W^\vee$ . ■

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $G^\Delta$  be the diagonal subgroup of a  $k$ -groupoid  $G$  acting transitively upon  $\text{Spec}(K)$ . Then any finite dimensional representation of  $G^\Delta$  is a quotient of a finite dimensional representation of  $G$  considered as a representation of  $G^\Delta$ . Consequently, any finite dimensional representation of  $G^\Delta$  can be embedded into a finite dimensional representation of  $G$  considered as a representation of  $G^\Delta$ . □

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma will be given in Section 6.4. ■

In our situation, we have the normal group  $L$  in the group  $G(X/k)^\Delta$ , which is the diagonal subgroup of the groupoid  $G(X/k)$ . Thus, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.7.** Every finite dimensional representation of  $L$  can be embedded into the restriction to  $L$  of a finite dimensional representation of  $G(X/k)$ , consequently, it can be represented as a quotient of the restriction to  $L$  of a finite dimensional representation of  $G(X/k)$ . □

We are now in the position to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.8.** The category  $\text{Rep}_f(L)$  is equivalent to the full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , the objects of which are subobjects as well as quotient objects of the restriction to  $K$  of an absolute connection. □

**Remark 5.9.** The objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  are of the shape  $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V_1/K, V_2/K)$ , with  $V_i = \omega((\mathcal{V}_i, \nabla_i))$ ,  $(\mathcal{V}_i, \nabla_i)$  are objects of  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  and  $\varphi$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ .

Proof of Theorem 5.8. Let us first remark that by definition,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , which is trivially closed undertaking the tensor product. We do not know yet whether it is an abelian subcategory.

We denote by  $\mathcal{Q} : \text{Rep}_f(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X/K)$  the functor defined by the homomorphism  $q : G(X/K) \rightarrow L$ . By Corollary 5.7 the image of  $\mathcal{Q}$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Being a tensor functor,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is faithful. We show that it is also full. Let  $U_0, U_1$  be objects in  $\text{Rep}_f(L)$  and  $\phi : \mathcal{Q}(U_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(U_1)$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism, that is,  $\phi$  is a  $K$ -linear map  $U_0 \rightarrow U_1$ , which is only  $G(X/K)$ -linear where the actions of  $G(X/K)$  is induced from the homomorphism  $q : G(X/K) \rightarrow L$ . It is to show that  $\phi$  is in fact  $L$ -linear.

By Corollary 5.7 there are  $L$ -linear morphisms  $\pi : V_0 \twoheadrightarrow U_0$  and  $\iota : U_1 \hookrightarrow V_1$ , where  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  are objects in  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$ . One has  $U_i = \omega((U_i, \nabla_i))$  for relative connections  $(U_i, \nabla_i) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}(X/K))$ ,  $V_i = \omega((V_i, \nabla_i))$  for absolute connections  $(V_i, \nabla_i) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}(X/k))$  with  $(V_0, \nabla_0/K) \xrightarrow{\pi \twoheadrightarrow} (U_0, \nabla)$ ,  $(U_1, \nabla_1) \xrightarrow{\iota \hookrightarrow} (V_1, \nabla_1/K)$ . We set  $\psi = \iota\phi\pi$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V_0 \\
 \pi \text{ surj} \uparrow & & \downarrow \iota \text{ inj} \\
 V_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & V_1
 \end{array} \tag{5.19}$$

By Corollary 5.4, the map  $\psi$  is  $L$ -linear. This implies that  $\phi$  is  $L$ -linear as well.

We now show that each object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to the image under  $\mathcal{Q}$  of a representation of  $L$ . An object of  $\mathcal{C}$  has the form  $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi : V_0/K \rightarrow V_1/K$ , as in Remark 5.9. By the above discussion,  $\varphi$  is also in the image of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , hence so is  $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ .

Thus the functor  $\mathcal{Q} : \text{Rep}_f(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is fully faithful and each object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to the image of an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(L)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a tensor subcategory in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an equivalence. ■

On the other hand, one has the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.10** (Deligne). Let  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , and assume there is an object  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}) \in \mathcal{C}(X/k)$  so that in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , one has an injection  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \subset (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}/K)$ . Then there is an object  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}) \in \mathcal{C}(X/k)$  so that in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , one has a surjection  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}/K) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ . □

Proof. Variant of Deligne’s proof. We first assume that  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  is of rank 1. Then we define the  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ -isotypical component  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}/K)$  as follows. Set  $(\mathcal{V}', \nabla) = (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}/K) \otimes (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)^\vee$ , which is an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ . Then the inclusion  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \subset (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}/K)$  corresponds to a nontrivial section in  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}', \nabla))$ . Set  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}' / (H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}', \nabla)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ , with induced connection relative to  $K$ . If  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}_1, \text{induced connection}/K)) = 0$ , then one defines

$(\mathcal{W}, \nabla) = H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}', \nabla)) \otimes (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ . If not, define  $\mathcal{V}'_1$  to be the inverse image of  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}_1, \nabla)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$  in  $\mathcal{V}'$ . The relative connection on  $\mathcal{V}'$  induced from  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K}$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{V}'_1$ . Define  $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}'/\mathcal{V}'_1$  with its induced connection. If  $H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}_2, \nabla)) = 0$ , one defines, similarly as before,  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla) = (\mathcal{V}'_1, \text{induced connection}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ . If not, we go on. So  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$  is the largest subconnection of  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)$ , which is a successive extension of  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  by itself. In particular,  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  is a quotient of  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$  as well.

On the other hand, as  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K}$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{W}$ , one has  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{W}) \subset (\Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})' \subset \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}$ , where  $(\Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V})'$  denotes the inverse image of  $\Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{W}$  via the projection  $\Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V}$ . Consequently, the composite map  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})$ , which is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, has values in  $f^{-1}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \otimes_K (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})$ . We denote by  $\ell : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \otimes_K (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})$  the composite map. Integrability of  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$  implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & f^{-1}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \otimes_{f^{-1}(K)} (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}) \\
 \nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{\Omega_{K/k}^1} \otimes (\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K})|_{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})} \\
 \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & f^{-1}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \otimes_{f^{-1}(K)} \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})
 \end{array} \tag{5.20}$$

is commutative.

The right vertical map is the tensor product of the  $K$ -vector space  $\Omega_{K/k}^1$  with the relative connection  $(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K})|_{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})}} \Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})$ . Thus  $(f^{-1}(\Omega_{K/k}^1) \otimes_{f^{-1}(K)} (\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}), 1_{\Omega_{K/k}^1} \otimes (\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K})|_{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})})$  is an object in the Ind-category spanned by  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ , or simply in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  if the transcendence degree of  $K/k$  is finite, and  $\ell$  is a morphism in this category. Consequently,  $\ell(\mathcal{W})$  is a subobject in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$ . This implies that  $\ell(\mathcal{L})$  is a subobject in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  as well, and the projection  $\text{pr} \circ \ell(\mathcal{L})$  in all  $((\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W}), (\nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K})|_{(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{W})})$  obtained by  $K$ -linear projection  $\Omega_{K/k}^1 \rightarrow K$  is a subobject in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  as well. By definition of  $\mathcal{W}$ , this implies that  $\text{pr} \circ \ell(\mathcal{L}) = 0$  for all such projections, thus  $\ell(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ , thus  $\ell(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ . We conclude that  $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{W}$ . We define  $\nabla_{\mathcal{W}} = \nabla_{\mathcal{V}}|_{\mathcal{W}}$ . This finishes the proof in this case.

It remains to consider the case when  $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$  has a higher rank  $r$ . We write

$$(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) = \det(\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \otimes \wedge^{r-1}(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)^\vee, \tag{5.21}$$

which shows the existence of a surjective map

$$(\mathcal{W}', \nabla'/K) \otimes \wedge^{r-1}(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K})^\vee \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{L}, \nabla) \subset (\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}/K}), \tag{5.22}$$

where  $(\mathcal{W}', \nabla')$  is the object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$  constructed in the rank 1 case for  $\det(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ . We set  $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla_{\mathcal{W}}) = (\mathcal{W}', \nabla') \otimes \wedge^{r-1}(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})^\vee$ . This finishes the proof. ■

Theorems 5.8 and 5.10, together with Proposition 3.1, allow us now to conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.11.** Assume as usual that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(K/k)}((K, d_{K/k})) = k$ . Then  $H = L$ , that is the sequence of groupoid schemes,

$$G(X/K) \longrightarrow G(X/k) \xrightarrow{f^*} G(K/k) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5.23}$$

is exact, in the sense that one sees the  $k$ -groupoid scheme,  $L^\delta = \text{Ker}(f^*)$ , as a  $K$ -group scheme and then  $\text{Im}(G(X/K)) = \text{Ker}(f^*)$ .  $\square$

Proof. Since both categories  $\text{Rep}_f(H) \supset \text{Rep}_f(L)$  are full subcategories of  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , it is enough to identify their objects. If  $V$  is an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(H)$ , then there are  $E_1 \subset E \subset F$  in  $\text{Rep}_f(G(X/K))$ , with  $V = E/E_1$  and  $F = \omega(\mathcal{F}, \nabla/K)$ , with  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ . By Theorem 5.10, the relative connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  with  $\omega((\mathcal{E}, \nabla)) = E$  is the quotient in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of an absolute connection, so the connection  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  with  $V = \omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$  is the quotient in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of an absolute connection as well. Applying this result to  $V^\vee$ , one concludes that  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$  is also a subconnection in  $\mathcal{C}(X/K)$  of an object in  $\mathcal{C}(X/k)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

We now prove the theorem which was one motivation behind the paper.

**Theorem 5.12.** Assume as usual that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(K/k)}((K, d_{K/k})) = k$  and that the transcendence degree of  $K/k$  is  $\leq 1$ . Let  $V \in \text{Obj}(\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k)))$ . Then

$$H^i(L, V) = H^i(G(X/K), V) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1. \tag{5.24}$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.11 together with Corollary 4.3.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 5.13.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.12, let  $V = \omega((\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$  be an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$ . Then the extension defined in (4.8) yields an extension in  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(X/k))$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \omega(\mathcal{W}, \nabla) \longrightarrow H^1_{\text{DR}}(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) = H^1(L, V) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5.25}$$

with the property that the connecting homomorphism,

$$H^0(L, H^1(L, V)) = H^1(L, V) \xrightarrow{\text{connecting}} H^1(L, V), \tag{5.26}$$

is the identity.  $\square$

### 6 The Gauss-Manin connection from the Tannaka viewpoint

As usual, we consider an absolute connection  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}(X/k))$  together with its fiber functor  $V = \mathcal{V}|_x \in \text{Obj}(\text{Vec}_K)$ . The finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $H^0(L, V)$  is a  $G(K/k)$ -representation in a natural way. Indeed, for  $(a, b) : T \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K) \times_k \text{Spec}(K)$  and  $g_{ab} \in G(K/k)(T)_{ab}$ , consider  $\tilde{g}_{ab} \in G(X/k)(T)$  a preimage. Then (see Appendix A.3)  $\tilde{g}_{ab}^{-1} \circ \tilde{g}_{ab} : a^*V \rightarrow b^*V \rightarrow a^*V$  is the identity on  $a^*(V^L)$  as  $\tilde{g}_{ab}^{-1} \circ \tilde{g}_{ab} \in L(T)_{aa}$ . Thus the lifting  $\tilde{g}_{ab}$  yields a well-defined action of  $G(K/k)$  on  $H^0(L, V)$ .

One considers the following diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Rep}(K : G(X/k)) & \xrightarrow{H^0(L, V)} & \text{Rep}(K : G(K/k)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{MIC}(X/k) & \xrightarrow{H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))} & \text{MIC}(K/k)
 \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

According to Lemma 5.2, the canonical morphism

$$H^0(L, V) \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^0(X/K, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \tag{6.2}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus the above diagram is commutative. As a consequence, we obtain, canonical morphisms

$$\mathbf{R}_{G(X/k)}^n H^0(L, V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\text{MIC}}^n H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), \tag{6.3}$$

where, on the left-hand side, the derived functor is taken in  $\text{Rep}(K : G(X/k))$  and on the right-hand side, the derived functor is taken in  $\text{MIC}(X/k)$ . From Remark 4.1 and the commutative diagram (4.6), we know that the right-hand side is the  $n$ th relative de Rham cohomology,

$$H_{\text{DR}}^n(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) = \mathbf{R}_{\text{MIC}}^n H_{\text{DR}}^0(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)), \tag{6.4}$$

equipped with the Gauss-Manin connection. It is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space, as  $\mathcal{V}$  if of finite rank. Thus  $H_{\text{DR}}^n(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K))$  together with its Gauss-Manin connection is an object of  $\text{Rep}_f(K : G(K/k))$  and the homomorphism in (6.3) is  $G(K/k)$ -equivariant.



The group cohomology  $H^i(L, V)$  for any  $L$ -representation  $V$  is defined as the right derived functor of the functor

$$\text{Rep}(L) \xrightarrow{H^0(L, V)} \text{Vec}_K^{qc} . \tag{6.5}$$

In case  $V$  is the restriction to  $L$  of a representation of  $G(X/k)$ , there exists a canonical homomorphism,

$$\mathbf{R}_{G(X/k)}^n H^0(L, V) \longrightarrow H^n(L, V), \tag{6.6}$$

defined by constructing a map from an injective resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{Rep}(K : G(X/k))$  to an injective resolution in  $\text{Rep}(L)$  (see the appendix, Lemma A.1).

**Proposition 6.1.** The canonical homomorphism

$$\mathbf{R}_{G(X/k)}^n H^0(L, V) \longrightarrow H^n(L, V) \tag{6.7}$$

is an isomorphism. Consequently, it induces a representation of  $G(K/k)$  on  $H^n(L, V)$  which has the property that the canonical homomorphism

$$H^i(L, V) \longrightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^i(X, (\mathcal{V}, \nabla/K)) \tag{6.8}$$

is  $G(K/k)$ -equivariant. □

*Proof.* According to the discussion above, it suffices to show that a representation of  $G(X/k)$ , which is injective (as an object in  $\text{Rep}(K : G(X/k))$ ), remains injective when considered as a representation of  $L$ . The proof is based on the following lemma which will be proved in the rest of the section.

Set  $G := G(X/k)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  be the ring of regular functions on  $G$ . There is a natural action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  called the left regular action, see the appendix.

**Lemma 6.2.** The  $G$ -representation  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  restricted to  $G^\Delta$  is injective in the category  $\text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$ . □

Let us first assume this lemma. Since  $L$  is normal in  $G^\Delta$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$  is injective as an  $L$ -representation. Indeed,  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$  is faithfully flat over  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta/L)$ , [9, Chapter 16], hence by [8, Theorem 1], it is injective as an  $L$ -representation. Therefore any injective  $G^\Delta$ -representation, being direct summand of a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$ , remains injective when considered as an  $L$ -representation. Thus  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is also an injective  $L$ -representation.

According to Lemma A.2 in the appendix, we have the following resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{Rep}(K : G)$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cong \uparrow \\ V \end{array} \tag{6.9}$$

where the tensor product is taken over  $K$  and the action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ , indicated by the subscript  $t$ , is induced from the map  $t : G \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ . On each term  $V \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)$  of the complex,  $G$  acts by its action on the last tensor term. Hence, as a complex of  $G^\Delta$ -modules, it is a resolution of  $V$  by injective  $G^\Delta$ -modules.

As (6.9) is an injective resolution of  $V$  both in  $\text{Rep}(K : G)$  and in  $\text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$ , its cohomology computes  $\mathbf{R}^*H^0(L, V)$  as well as  $H^n(L, V)$ . This shows Proposition 6.1. ■

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 6.2.

### 6.1 The algebra $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$

We refer to the appendix for the properties of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$ .

By definition of  $G^\Delta$ , we have

$$\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \cong \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} K, \tag{6.10}$$

where  $K \otimes_k K \rightarrow K$  is the product map. Then  $J := \text{Ker}(K \otimes_k K \rightarrow K)$  is generated by elements of the form  $\lambda \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in K$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is faithful over  $K \otimes_k K$ , tensoring the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow K \otimes_k K \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  with  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  over  $K \otimes_k K$ , one obtains an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J\mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.11}$$

That is, we can identify  $J \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G)$  with its image  $J\mathcal{O}(G)$  in  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ .

### 6.2 The functor $\text{Ind}$

For any representation  $W \in \text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$ , define  $\text{Ind}(W)$  to be

$$\text{Ind}(W) := (W \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G))^{G^\Delta}, \tag{6.12}$$

where  $G^\Delta$  acts on  $W$  as usual and on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  through the right regular action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is a right  $G$ -module). On this invariant space,  $G$  acts through the left regular action on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ . Thus  $\text{Ind}$  is a functor  $\text{Rep}(G^\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(K : G)$ .

The space  $\text{Ind}(W)$  can also be given as the equalizer of the maps

$$\begin{aligned} p &: W \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\rho_W \otimes \text{id}} W \otimes \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G), \\ q &: W \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} W \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\pi} W \otimes \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \otimes_{\mathfrak{t}} \mathcal{O}(G), \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

where  $\rho_W : W \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$  is the coaction of  $\mathcal{O}(G^\Delta)$  on  $W$ ,  $\Delta$  is the coproduct on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** There exists a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(W)) \cong \text{Hom}_{G^\Delta}(V, W), \tag{6.14}$$

$V \in \text{Rep}(K : G)$ ,  $W \in \text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$ , that is,  $\text{Ind}$  is the right adjoint to the functor restricting  $G$ -representations to  $G^\Delta$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* The map is given by composing with the canonical projection  $\text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow W$ ,  $v \otimes h \mapsto v\varepsilon(h)$ . The converse map is given by  $f \mapsto (f \otimes \text{id})\rho_W$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 6.4.** The functor  $\text{Ind}$  is exact if and only if  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is injective as a  $G^\Delta$ -module.  $\square$

*Proof.* Since  $G^\Delta$  is a group scheme over a field  $K$ , its representations are a union of their subrepresentations of finite dimension over  $K$ . Therefore the injectivity of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  requires only to be checked on finite dimensional representations of  $G^\Delta$ . For such a representation  $W$ , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{G^\Delta}(W, \mathcal{O}(G)) \cong \text{Hom}_{G^\Delta}(K, W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(G)) \text{Ind}(W^*). \tag{6.15}$$

Since the dualizing functor  $(-)^*$  and the functor tensoring over  $K$  are exact, the claim follows.  $\blacksquare$

Let us use the following notation of Sweedler for the coproduct on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ :

$$\Delta(g) = \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} \otimes g_{(2)}. \tag{6.16}$$

**Lemma 6.5.** The following map:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &: \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \otimes_K \mathfrak{t}\mathcal{O}(G), \\ g \otimes h &\longmapsto \sum_{(g)} \pi(g_{(1)}) \otimes g_{(2)}h, \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

is an isomorphism, where  $\pi$  is defined in formula (6.11).  $\square$

Proof. We define the inverse map to this map. Let

$$\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{O}(G) {}_s\otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G) \quad (6.18)$$

be the map that maps  $g \otimes h \mapsto \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} \otimes \iota(g_{(2)})h$ . We have for  $\lambda \in K$  and for  $t, s : K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(t(\lambda)g {}_s\otimes_t h) &= \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} \otimes \iota(t(\lambda)g_{(2)})h \\ &= \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} s(\lambda)\iota(g_{(2)})h \quad \text{by (A.13)} \\ &= s(\lambda) \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)} \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \iota(g_{(2)})h \\ &= \bar{\psi}(s(\lambda)g {}_s\otimes_t h). \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Thus  $\bar{\psi}$  maps  $J\mathcal{O}(G) {}_s\otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G)$  to 0, hence factors through a map  $\psi : \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G)$ . Checking  $\varphi\psi = \text{id}$ ,  $\psi\varphi = \text{id}$  can be easily done using the property (A.14) of  $\iota$ . ■

**Corollary 6.6.** For any  $W \in \text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$ , one has the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Ind}(W) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G) &\cong W \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G), \\ \Phi(w \otimes g \otimes h) &= w \otimes gh. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

The inverse is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) &\longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}(G^\Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\Psi} W \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G), \\ \Psi &= (\text{id}_W \otimes \psi)(\rho_W \otimes \text{id}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21) \quad \square$$

Proof. Tensoring the isomorphism in (6.17) with  $W$  and applying the functor  $(-)^{G^\Delta}$ , we obtain  $\Phi$ . ■

### 6.3 Proof of Lemma 6.2

According to Lemma 6.4, it suffices to show the exactness of  $\text{Ind}$ . According to Corollary 6.6, the functor

$$\text{Ind}(-) \otimes_{K \otimes_k K} \mathcal{O}(G) \cong (-) \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G), \quad (6.22)$$

hence is exact. Since  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is faithfully flat over  $K \otimes_k K$ ,  $\text{Ind}$  is faithfully exact.

Remark 6.7. The above proof for groupoid schemes is inspired by Takeuchi's proof [8] for the case of group schemes. In particular, it shows that the functor  $\text{Ind} : \text{Rep}(G^\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(K : G)$  is faithfully exact.

#### 6.4 Proof of Lemma 5.6

Let  $W$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $G^\Delta$  and  $u_W : \text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow W$  be the canonical map in  $\text{Rep}(G^\Delta)$  (i.e., the map that corresponds to the identity map  $\text{id} : \text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(W)$  through the isomorphism in (6.14) for  $V = \text{Ind}(W)$ ). Then, as in the proof of Lemma 5.5, the faithful exactness of  $\text{Ind}(W)$  (Remark 6.7) implies that  $u_W$  is surjective. Thus, we can find a finite dimensional  $G$ -subrepresentation  $W_0(W)$  of  $\text{Ind}(W)$ , which maps surjectively on  $W$ . In order to obtain the statement on the embedding for  $W$ , one writes  $W = (W^\vee)^\vee$ , applies the surjectivity  $W_0(W^\vee) \rightarrow W^\vee$  we just constructed, and dualizes to  $W \hookrightarrow (W_0(W^\vee))^\vee$ .

## Appendix

### Groupoid schemes

In this appendix, we briefly recall the notions of affine groupoids and their representations which are used in the paper. Our reference is [3, Section 3].

#### A.1 Groupoid schemes

We fix a field  $k$ . By a  $k$ -affine scheme we mean the spectrum of a  $k$ -algebra (not necessarily finitely generated over  $k$ ). Let  $S/k$  be a  $k$ -affine scheme. With this terminology,  $S$  can be taken to be the spectrum of a field extension  $S = K \supset k$ . An affine  $k$ -groupoid scheme acting on  $S$  is a  $k$ -affine scheme  $G$  together with two morphisms (the source and the target maps),  $s, t : G \rightarrow S$ , satisfying the following axioms.

- (i) There exists a map  $m : G_{s \times_t} G \rightarrow G$  called the product of  $G$ , satisfying the following associativity property:

$$m(m_{s \times_t} \text{id}_G) = m(\text{id}_G \text{ }_{s \times_t} m). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

- (ii) There exists a map  $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow G$  called the unit element map, satisfying the following property:

$$m(\varepsilon_{s \times_t} \text{id}_G) = m(\text{id}_G \text{ }_{s \times_t} \varepsilon) = \text{id}_G. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

(iii) There exists a map  $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ , called the inverse map, satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \circ s &= t; & \iota \circ t &= s, \\ m(\iota_s \times_t \text{id}_G) &= \varepsilon \circ s, & m(\text{id}_G \times_s \iota_t) &= \varepsilon \circ t, \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where  ${}_s \times_t$  denotes the fiber product over  $S$  with respect to the maps  $s$  and  $t$ .

Let  $T$  be a  $k$ -scheme. By definition, the category  $(S(T), G(T))$  has for objects, the morphisms  $T \rightarrow S$ , and for morphisms between two objects  $a, b : T \rightarrow S$ , the morphisms  $\phi : T \rightarrow G$  satisfying

$$(a, b) = (s, t)\phi : T \longrightarrow S \times S. \tag{A.4}$$

The axioms (A.1)–(A.3) for  $G$  imply that this category is a groupoid. Note that the set of all morphisms of  $(S(T), G(T))$  is precisely  $G(T) = \text{Hom}_k(T, G)$ .

A groupoid scheme  $G$  acting on  $S$  is said to be acting transitively if  $(s, t) : G \rightarrow S \times S$  is a faithfully flat map. A groupoid scheme  $G$  acting on  $S$  is called discrete if the structure map  $(s, t)$  factors through the diagonal map  $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times S$  and a map  $u : G \rightarrow S$ . In this case,  $G$  equipped with  $u$  is an  $S$ -group scheme.

For example, define  $G^\Delta$  as the pull-back of  $G$  along the diagonal map  $\Delta : S \times S$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^\Delta & \longrightarrow & G \\ (s, t) \downarrow & & \downarrow (s, t) \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{\text{diagonal}} & S \times_k S \end{array} \tag{A.5}$$

Then  $G^\Delta$  is a discrete  $S$ -groupoid scheme, which is a subgroupoid scheme in  $G$ .

Another simple example is  $S$ , which is a groupoid acting on itself by means of the diagonal map.

### A.2 Homomorphisms

A morphism of  $k$ -groupoid schemes acting on a  $k$ -scheme  $S$  is a morphism of the underlying  $k$ -schemes which is compatible with all structure maps. For instance, the unit element map  $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of groupoid schemes.

For two homomorphisms of groupoid schemes  $G_i \rightarrow G$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , there exists an obvious structure of groupoid scheme on  $G_1 \times_G G_2$ . In particular, we define the kernel of a homomorphism  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G$  as the fiber product  $\ker f := S \times_G G_1$ . It is easy to see

that  $\ker f$  is a discrete groupoid scheme, defining a group scheme over  $S$ . Assuming that  $G_1$  and  $G$  act transitively on  $S$ , then, by taking the fiber product with  $S$  over  $S \times S$ , that is, taking the diagonal group schemes, we see that  $\ker f$  is isomorphic to the kernel of the homomorphism  $G_1^\Delta \rightarrow G^\Delta$  of group schemes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \ker f & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \ker f^\Delta & \longrightarrow & G_1^\Delta & \xrightarrow{f^\Delta} & G
 \end{array} \tag{A.6}$$

### A.3 Representation

Let  $V$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $S$ . A representation of  $G$  in  $V$  is an operation  $\rho$ , that assigns to each  $k$ -schema  $T$  and each morphism  $\phi : T \rightarrow G$  a  $T$ -isomorphism,

$$\rho(\phi) : a^*V \longrightarrow b^*V, \tag{A.7}$$

where  $(a, b) = (s, t)\phi$ , the source and the target of  $\phi$ , and  $a^*$  (resp.,  $b^*$ ) denotes the pull-back of  $V$  along  $a$  (resp.,  $b$ ). One requires that this operation be compatible with the composition law of the groupoid  $(S(T), G(T))$  and with the base change. The latter means: for any morphism  $r : T' \rightarrow T$ ,

$$\rho(r^*\phi) = r^*\rho(\phi). \tag{A.8}$$

In particular, one has the trivial representation of  $G$  in  $R = \mathcal{O}_S$ , where all morphisms  $\rho(\phi)$  are identity morphisms.

### A.4 Tannaka duality

Assume that  $G$  acts transitively on  $S$ , then representations of  $G$  form an abelian category which is closed undertaking the tensor product. We denote this category by  $\text{Rep}(S : G)$ . We denote the full subcategory of  $\text{Rep}(S : G)$  of those representations which are of finite rank as sheaf on  $S$  by  $\text{Rep}_f(S : G)$ . Each object of  $\text{Rep}_f(S : G)$  is locally free when considered as sheaf on  $S$ , and each object of  $\text{Rep}(S : G)$  is a filtered union of its finite rank subrepresentations. Using the inverse map, to each representation in a coherent locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, one can define a representation in the dual coherent sheaf. Finally, for the trivial representation in  $\mathcal{O}_S$ , the set of endomorphisms is isomorphic to  $k$ . See [3, Section 3] for details.

A category with the above properties is called a tensor category over  $k$ . Conversely, for any tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $k$  with a fiber functor to the category  $\text{Qcoh}(S)$  of quasi-coherent sheaves over  $S$ , one can construct a groupoid scheme  $G$  acting transitively on  $S$ , such that the fiber functor factors becomes an equivalence of tensor categories  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Rep}(S : G)$ . This correspondence is in fact a 1 – 1 correspondence between tensor categories over  $k$  equipped with a fiber functor to  $\text{Qcoh}(S)$  and  $k$ -groupoids acting transitively over  $S$ , known as the Tannaka duality [3, théorème 1.12].

### A.5 Representations of discrete groupoids

If  $G$  is a groupoid scheme acting discretely over  $S$ , then one can easily deduce from the definition that representations of  $G$  are in 1-1 correspondence with representations of the underlying  $S$ -group scheme. If  $(\rho, V)$  is a representation, for all commutative diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T & \xrightarrow{g_{(a,a)}} & G \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
 T & \xrightarrow{(a,a)} & \Delta = S
 \end{array} \tag{A.9}$$

with  $T$  a  $k$ -scheme, then  $\rho(g_{a,a})$  is an isomorphism from  $a^*V$  to itself. But  $G(T) = \emptyset$  for  $a \neq b$ . In other words,  $\rho$  induces a representation of  $G$ , as an  $S$ -group scheme, in  $V$ . The converse is also true.

### A.6 The function algebra

Let  $R := \mathcal{O}(S)$  denote the algebra of regular functions on  $S$ . The groupoid structure on  $G$  induces the following structures on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ . The source and the target map for  $G$  induce algebra maps  $s, t : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ . The transitivity of  $G$  on  $S$  can be rephrased by saying that  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  is faithfully flat over  $R \otimes_k R$  with respect to the base map  $t \otimes_k s : R \otimes_k R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ .

The composition law for  $G$  induces an  $R \otimes_k R$ -algebra map,

$$\Delta : \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)_{s \otimes_t} \mathcal{O}(G), \tag{A.10}$$

satisfying  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ . The unit element of  $G$  induces an  $R \otimes_k R$ -algebra map

$$\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow R, \tag{A.11}$$

where  $R \otimes_k R$  acts on  $R$  diagonally (i.e.,  $\lambda \otimes_k \mu \nu = \lambda \mu \nu$ ). One has

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = \text{id}. \tag{A.12}$$



Finally, the operation which consists of taking the inverse in  $G$  induces an automorphism  $\iota$  of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  which interchanges the actions  $t$  and  $s$ :

$$\iota(t(\lambda)s(\mu)h) = s(\lambda)t(\mu)\iota(h), \tag{A.13}$$

and satisfies the following equations:

$$m(\iota \otimes \text{id})\Delta = s \circ \varepsilon \quad m(\text{id} \otimes \iota)\Delta = t \circ \varepsilon. \tag{A.14}$$

A representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  in  $V$  induces a map  $\rho : V \rightarrow V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G)$ , called coaction of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  on  $V$ , such that

$$(\text{id}_V \otimes \Delta)\rho = (\rho \otimes \text{id}_V), \quad (\text{id}_V \otimes \varepsilon)\rho = \text{id}_V. \tag{A.15}$$

An  $R$ -module equipped with such an action is called  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodule. Conversely, any coaction of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  on an  $R$ -module  $V$  defines a representation of  $G$  in  $V$ . In fact, we have an equivalence between the category of  $G$ -representations and the category of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodules. The discussion in the previous subsection shows that  $V$  is projective over  $R$ .

In particular, the coproduct on  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  can be considered as a coaction of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  on itself and hence defines a representation of  $G$  in  $H$ , called the right regular representation.

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -groupoid scheme acting transitively on  $S$ . Consider the function algebra  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  as  $G$ -representation with respect to the left regular action. Then for any injective  $R$ -module  $V$ , the  $G$ -representation  $V \otimes_R \mathcal{O}(G)$ , where the action of  $G$  is given by the action of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ , is an injective object in  $\text{Rep}(R : G)$ . In particular, when  $R = K$  is a field,  $\text{Rep}(K : G)$  has enough injective objects.  $\square$

*Proof.* For any  $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodules  $U$ , we have the following functorial isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(U, V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(U, V), \\ f &\longmapsto (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)f. \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Indeed, the inverse is given by

$$g \longmapsto \rho_V \circ g, \tag{A.17}$$

where  $\rho_V$  is the coaction of  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  on  $V$ .

Finally, if  $K$  is a field, all  $K$ -vector spaces are injective objects.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma A.2.** Assume that  $R = K$  is a field. Let  $V \in \text{Rep}(K : G)$ . Then the following complex is a resolution of  $V$  in  $\text{Rep}(S : G)$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow V \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes_t \mathcal{O}(G) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cong \uparrow \\ V \end{array} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where the tensor product is taken over  $K$  and the index  $t$  specifies the action  $t$  of  $K$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* This can be done exactly as in the case of group schemes over a field [5, Chapter 4], and will be omitted.  $\blacksquare$

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the DFG Leibniz Preis. The first author started discussing in 2000 with Spencer Bloch on a possible Tannaka viewpoint on the Gauss-Manin connection. We thank him for the fruitful exchanges we had at the time. We thank Nick Katz and Takeshi Saito for interesting discussions. We thank Pierre Deligne for his interest and for his help. His comments allowed us to improve the results of an earlier version. Most specifically, Theorem 5.10 is due to him. We thank the referee for very precise and helpful remarks, comments, and questions which allowed us to substantially improve the manuscript.

### References

- [1] A. Beilinson, S. Bloch, and H. Esnault,  *$\epsilon$ -factors for Gauß-Manin determinants*, Moscow Mathematical Journal **2** (2002), no. 3, 477–532.
- [2] P. Deligne and J. Milne, *Tannakian categories*, Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 900, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 101–228.
- [3] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes [Tannakian categories]*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 1990, pp. 111–195.
- [4] ———, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois Groups Over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Berkeley, Calif, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 16, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 79–297.
- [5] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 131, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1987.
- [6] N. M. Katz, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: applications of a result of Turrittin*, Publications Mathématiques Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **39** (1970), 175–232.
- [7] ———, *On the calculation of some differential Galois groups*, Inventiones Mathematicae **87** (1987), no. 1, 13–61.

- [8] M. Takeuchi, *Relative Hopf modules—equivalences and freeness criteria*, Journal of Algebra **60** (1979), no. 2, 452–471.
- [9] W. C. Waterhouse, *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 66, Springer, New York, 1979.

Hélène Esnault: Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany  
E-mail address: esnault@uni-essen.de

Phùng Hồ Hai: Mathematik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany  
E-mail address: ho-hai.phung@uni-essen.de