

Determinant Bundle in a Family of Curves, after A. Beilinson and V. Schechtman

Hélène Esnault¹, I-Hsun Tsai²

¹ FB6, Mathematik, Universität Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany. E-mail: esnault@uni-essen.de

² Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. E-mail: ihtsai@math.ntu.edu.tw

Received: 17 October 1999 / Accepted: 29 November 1999

Abstract: Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective family of curves over a smooth base S over a field of characteristic 0, together with a bundle E on X . Then A. Beilinson and V. Schechtman define in [1] a beautiful “trace complex” ${}^t\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ on X , the 0th relative cohomology of which describes the Atiyah algebra of the determinant bundle of E on S . Their proof reduces the general case to the acyclic one. In particular, one needs a comparison of $R\pi_*({}^t\mathcal{A}_F^\bullet)$ for $F = E$ and $F = E(D)$, where D is étale over S (see Theorem 2.3.1, reduction ii) in [1]). In this note, we analyze this reduction in more detail and correct a point.

1. Introduction

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective morphism of relative dimension 1 over a smooth base S over a field k of characteristic 0. One denotes by T_X and the tangent sheaf over k , by $T_{X/S}$ the relative tangent sheaf, and by $\omega_{X/S}$ the relative dualizing sheaf. For an algebraic vector bundle E on X , one writes $E^\circ = E^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_{X/S}$. Let $\text{Diff}(E, E)$ (resp. $\text{Diff}(E/S, E/S) \subset \text{Diff}(E, E)$) be the sheaf of first order (resp. relative) differential operators on E and $\epsilon : \text{Diff}(E, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} T_X$ be the symbol map. The Atiyah algebra $\mathcal{A}_E := \{a \in \text{Diff}(E, E) \mid \epsilon(a) \in \text{id}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} T_X\}$ of E is the subalgebra of $\text{Diff}(E, E)$ consisting of the differential operators for which the symbolic part is a homothety. Similarly the relative Atiyah algebra $\mathcal{A}_{E/S} \subset \mathcal{A}_E$ of E consists of those differential operators with symbol in $\text{id}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} T_{X/S}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{E,\pi} \subset \mathcal{A}_E$ with symbols in $T_\pi = d\pi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}T_S) \subset T_X$. Let $\Delta \subset X \times_S X$ be the diagonal. Then there is a canonical sheaf isomorphism $\text{Diff}(E/S, E/S) \cong \frac{E \boxtimes E^\circ(2\Delta)}{E \boxtimes E^\circ}$ (see [1], Sect. 2) which is locally written as follows. Let x be a local coordinate of X at a point p , and (x, y) be the induced local coordinates on $X \times_S X$ at (p, p) , such that the equation of Δ becomes

$x = y$. Let e_i be a local basis of E , e_j^* be its local dual basis. Then the action of

$$P = \sum_{i,j} e_i \otimes e_j^* \frac{P_{ij}(x, y)}{(x - y)^2} dy$$

on $s = \sum_{\ell} s_{\ell}(y) e_{\ell}$ is

$$P(s) = \sum_i e_i \sum_j (P_{ij}^{(1)}(x, 0) s_j(x) + P_{ij}(x, x) s_j^{(1)}(x, 0)), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(x, y) &= P_{ij}(x, x) + (y - x) P_{ij}^{(1)}(x, y - x), \\ s_j(y) &= s_j(x) + (y - x) s_j^{(1)}(x, y - x). \end{aligned}$$

Beginning with

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}}{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}(-\Delta)} \rightarrow \frac{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}(2\Delta)}{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}(-\Delta)} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(E/S, E/S) \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.2}$$

restricting to $\mathcal{A}_{E/S} \subset \text{Diff}(E, E)$, and pushing forward by the trace map $\frac{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}}{E \boxtimes E^{\circ}(-\Delta)} \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta} \cong \omega_{X/S}$, yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_E} \mathcal{A}_{E/S} \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.3}$$

One defines the trace complex ${}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{E, \pi}$ for $i = 0$, ${}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1}$ for $i = -1$, \mathcal{O}_X for $i = -2$ and 0 else, with differentials $d^{-1} := \gamma_E$ and d^{-2} equal to the relative Kähler differential (see [1], Sect. 2).

One has an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^{\bullet}[2] \rightarrow {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{\bullet} \rightarrow (T_{X/S} \rightarrow T_{\pi})[1] \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\Omega_{X/S}^{\bullet}$ is the relative de Rham complex of π . Taking relative cohomology, one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* ({}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{\bullet}) \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Furthermore $R^0 \pi_* ({}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{\bullet})$ is a sheaf of algebras ([1], 1.2.3). One denotes by $\pi({}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{\bullet})$ the sheaf on S together with its algebra structure.

Finally, let $\mathcal{B}_i, i = 1, 2$ be two sheaves of algebras on S , with an exact sequence of sheaves of algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_i \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.6}$$

One defines $\mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2$ by taking the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2$, inverse image $\mathcal{B}_1 \times_{T_S} \mathcal{B}_2$ of the diagonal embedding $T_S \rightarrow T_S \oplus T_S$, and its push out via the trace map $\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.

The aim of this note is to prove

Theorem 1.1. *Let $D \subset X$ be a divisor, étale over S . One has a canonical isomorphism*

$$\pi({}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{\bullet}) \cong \pi({}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^{\bullet}) + \mathcal{A}_{\det \pi_*(E|_D)} \tag{1.7}$$

This is [1] Theorem 2.3.1, ii). We explain in more details the proof given there and correct a point in it.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof uses the construction of a complex \mathcal{L}^\bullet , together with maps $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \rightarrow {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \rightarrow {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet \oplus i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}$ inducing isomorphisms from $R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\bullet$ with the left and the right-hand side of Theorem 1.1. We make the construction of \mathcal{L}^\bullet and the maps explicit, and show that the induced morphisms are surjective, with the same (non-vanishing) kernel.

We first recall the definition of the sub-complex $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \subset {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ (see [1, Theorem 2.3.1, ii]): $\mathcal{L}^0 \subset {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^0$ consists of the differential operators P with $\epsilon(P) \in T_\pi \langle -D \rangle$, where $T_\pi \langle -D \rangle = T_\pi \cap T_X \langle -D \rangle$ and $T_X \langle -D \rangle = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1 \langle D \rangle, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $\Omega_X^1 \langle D \rangle$ denotes the sheaf of 1-forms with log poles along D . In particular, $(d^{-1})^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^0) \subset {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E$ maps to $i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \subset (d^{-1})^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^0)$ is defined as the kernel. Then $\mathcal{L}^{-2} = \mathcal{O}_X$. The product structure on ${}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ is defined in [1], 2.1.1.2, and coincides with the Lie algebra structure on ${}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^0 = \mathcal{A}_{E,\pi}$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{-2} = {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-2}$, to see that the product structure stabilizes \mathcal{L}^\bullet , one just has to see that $\mathcal{L}^0 \subset {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^0$ is a subalgebra, which is obvious, and that $\mathcal{L}^0 \times \mathcal{L}^{-1} \rightarrow {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1}$ takes values in \mathcal{L}^{-1} , which is a consequence of Proposition 2.2.

As in Sect. 1, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{E/S}$ the relative Atiyah algebra of E , with symbolic part $T_{X/S}$ and by $\mathcal{A}_{E,\pi}$ Beilinson’s subalgebra of the global Atiyah algebra with symbolic part T_π . If $\iota : F \subset E$ is a vector bundle, isomorphic to E away of D , then one has an injection of differential operators

$$\text{Diff}(E, F) \xrightarrow{i} \text{Diff}(E, E) \tag{2.1}$$

induced by ι on the second argument, and an injection

$$\text{Diff}(E, F) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Diff}(F, F) \tag{2.2}$$

induced by ι on the first argument. One has

Definition 2.1.

$$\mathcal{A}_{(E/S, F/S)} := \mathcal{A}_{E/S} \cap_i \text{Diff}(E, F) \cong \mathcal{A}_{F/S} \cap_j \text{Diff}(E, F)$$

Recall $\gamma_E : {}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E/S}$ denotes the map coming from the filtration by the order of poles of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(*\Delta)$ on ${}^{\text{tr}}\mathcal{A}_E^{-1}$. One has

Proposition 2.2.

$$\gamma_E^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{E/S, E(-D)/S}) \cong \gamma_{E(-D)}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{E/S, E(-D)/S}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{-1}.$$

Proof. One considers

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(2\Delta) + E \boxtimes E^\circ}{E \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \\ &= \left[\frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(2\Delta)}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \oplus \frac{E \boxtimes E^\circ}{E \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \right] / \left[\frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which, via the natural inclusion to

$$\frac{E \boxtimes E^\circ(2\Delta)}{E \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \tag{2.4}$$

is the inverse image $\gamma_E^{-1}(\text{Diff}(E, E(-D)))$ (here we abuse notations, still denoting by γ_E the map coming from the filtration), and via the map coming from the natural inclusion

$$\frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(2\Delta)}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \rightarrow \frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)(2\Delta)}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)(-\Delta)} \tag{2.5}$$

and the identification with the first term of the filtration on $\frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)(2\Delta)}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)(-\Delta)}$

$$\frac{E \boxtimes E^\circ}{E \boxtimes E^\circ(-\Delta)} \cong \frac{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)}{E(-D) \boxtimes E^\circ(D)(-\Delta)} \tag{2.6}$$

is the inverse image $\gamma_{E(-D)}^{-1}(\text{Diff}(E, E(-D)))$. \square

The filtration induced by the order of poles of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(*D)$ induces the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(E, E(-D)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(E/S, E(-D)/S)} \rightarrow T_{X/S}(-D) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E/S} \rightarrow T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E(-D)/S} \rightarrow T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, as one has an injection $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \subset {}^u\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet$ with cokernel \mathcal{Q} , and again by looking at the filtration by the order of poles on the sheaf in degree (-1), one obtains

$$\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{E}nd(E)|_D[1] \tag{2.10}$$

and

Theorem 2.3. *One has an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{E}nd(E)|_D) \rightarrow R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^\bullet) \rightarrow R^0\pi_*({}^u\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, one has an injection $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \subset {}^u\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet \oplus i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}$ with cokernel \mathcal{P} , and, as \mathcal{L}^\bullet injects into ${}^u\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet$, one has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}[0] \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [{}^u\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet/\mathcal{L}^\bullet] \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.11}$$

where $i_D : D \rightarrow X$ is the closed embedding. We see that the induced filtration on the sheaf in degree (-1) of $[{}^u\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet/\mathcal{L}^\bullet]$ has graded pieces $(0, \mathcal{E}nd(E)|_D, T_{X/S}|_D)$, whereas the filtration on the sheaf in degree (0) has graded pieces $(0, T_\pi/T_\pi < -D > \cong T_{X/S}|_D)$. This last point comes from the obvious

Lemma 2.4.

$$\{P \in \text{Diff}(E, E), P(E(-D)) \subset E(-D)\} \cong \{P \in \text{Diff}(E(-mD), E(-mD)), \epsilon(P) \in \mathcal{E}nd(E) \otimes T < -D >\}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where ϵ is the symbol map.

So

Lemma 2.5. $[\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet/\mathcal{L}^\bullet]$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D)[1]$.

The connecting morphism $R^{-1}\pi_*[\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet/\mathcal{L}^\bullet] \rightarrow R^0\pi_*(i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D})[0]$ is just the natural embedding $\pi_*(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D)) \rightarrow \pi_*(i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D})$ with cokernel $\pi_*\pi|_D^{-1}T_S$. If D is irreducible, one has $\pi_*\pi|_D^{-1}T_S \cong T_S$, and therefore

Proposition 2.6. *If D is irreducible, one has an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\bullet \rightarrow R^0\pi_*[\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet] \oplus R^0\pi_*[i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}] \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow 0$$

and the image of $R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\bullet$ is obtained from the direct sum by taking the pull back under the diagonal embedding $T_S \rightarrow T_S \oplus T_S$.

On the other hand, still assuming D irreducible, one has the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D) \rightarrow R^0\pi_*[i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}] \rightarrow T_S \cong \pi_*\pi|_D^{-1}T_S \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.12}$$

and the Atiyah algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\det(\pi_*E|_D)}$ is the push out of $R^0\pi_*[i_{D*}\mathcal{A}_{E|_D}]$ by the trace map $\pi_*\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.

Defining

$$\mathcal{K} := \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \pi_*\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \oplus \mathrm{Tr}} \mathcal{O}_S\right) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D), \tag{2.13}$$

one thus obtains

Theorem 2.7. *If D is irreducible, one has an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\bullet \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{A}_{E(-D)}^\bullet) + \mathcal{A}_{\det\pi_*(E|_D)} \rightarrow 0.$$

It can be easily shown that the embedding $\pi_*\mathcal{E}\mathrm{nd}(E|_D) \subset R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}^\bullet$ in Theorems 2.3 and 2.7 is the same embedding of a subsheaf of ideals. It finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when D is irreducible. In general, since D is étale over S , its irreducible components are disjoint, thus one proves Theorem 1.1 by adding one component at a time.

References

1. Beilinson, A., Schechtman, V.: Determinant Bundles and Virasoro Algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118**, 651–701 (1988)

Communicated by A. Connes