

A regulator map for singular varieties

Hélène Esnault*

Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 35, Route de Chartres, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

Hans Grauert zu seinem 60. Geburtstag gewidmet

Introduction

Let X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers. If X is smooth, there is a regulator map r from \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M , the Zariski sheaf of Milnor K -theory, to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(n)$, the Zariski sheaf of Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. The aim of this article is to construct a similar functorial regulator map ϱ (2.2) from \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M to a Zariski sheaf called $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ (1.4) if X is not necessarily smooth. For this we assume that $d := \text{dimension of the singular locus } S$ verifies $d \leq n - 1$ with $n \geq 2$.

If X is smooth, then $\mathcal{H}^n(n) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(n)$ and $\varrho = r$. If not, let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization. Then ϱ factorizes $\pi_* r$ via the natural map $\mathcal{K}_{nX}^M \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M$ and a map $\mathcal{H}^n(n) \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(n)$ which we construct (1.4) 7).

Taking the cohomology of ϱ , one obtains maps $H^q(\varrho): H^q(X, \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{H}^n(n))$. The cohomology group $H^q(X, \mathcal{H}^n(n))$ is independent of the desingularization chosen as $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ is. Unfortunately one may only approximate this group by a map t from $H^q(X, \mathcal{K}^n(n))$ to some cohomology group $H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{an}})$ on Y (2.7).

Srinivas [S] considered a cone X of vertex 0 over a smooth projective curve C . He constructed a map s from

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X}) (= H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{2Y} / K_2(\mathcal{O}_{X,0})) / H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{2Y}))$$

to $H^0(C, \omega_C(1))$, where ω_C is the dualizing sheaf of C , and $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is the blowing up of 0, whose non triviality shows that the image of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{X,0})$ in $K_2(\mathbb{C}(X))$ differs from

$$\varinjlim_{0 \in U} H^0(\pi^{-1}U, \mathcal{K}_{2Y}).$$

Actually s comes from $H^1(\varrho)$ (2.10), Example 2. This fact is the main motivation for this article. I take this opportunity to thank V. Srinivas for getting me acquainted with this topic.

Collino [C] compactified the cone X to a smooth variety \bar{X} (more exactly he considered a normal proper surface \bar{X} with an isolated cone like singularity) and

* Supported by "Heisenberg Programm – DFG"

lifted s to

$$\bar{s}: H' \rightarrow H^3(\bar{Y}, j_*\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-2C) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1(\log C)(-2C),$$

where $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is the blowing up of 0 , H' is a subgroup of $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X})$ and j is the embedding $Y \rightarrow C \rightarrow Y$. In fact $H^1(\varrho)$ factorizes \bar{s} and one has $\bar{s} = t \circ H^1(\varrho)$ (2.8), Example 1.

In this spirit we work out several examples of the cohomology of ϱ (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12). However it is not always possible to give a nice answer (2.13).

The construction of $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ is as follows. Take a desingularisation π such that $E := \pi^{-1}S$ is a divisor with normal crossings and such that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} := \pi^*\Omega_X^n/\text{torsion}$ is a locally free sheaf (0.1). We observe that \mathcal{F}_{an} embeds in $\Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-k \cdot E)$, for some positive integer k (0.3), and therefore the complex $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^i = 0$ for $i < n$, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^n = \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{n+l} = \Omega_Y^{n+l}(\log E)(-k \cdot E)$ for $l \geq 1$ maps to $j_*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)$, where j is the embedding from $X - S = Y - E$ to Y (0.4). On each Zariski open set of Y we take those sections of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$ which have logarithmic growth at infinity (0.5). This defines a “subcomplex” $\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}$ (0.6), with a “map” φ_j from $\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}$ to $j_*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)$ (0.7). Taking the n -th cohomology on $\pi^{-1}U$, where U is a Zariski open subset of X , of cone $\varphi_j[-1]$ defines a Zariski sheaf on X (1.4). If $d < n - 2$, this is $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$. In general, $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ is a subquotient of it.

It is easy to prove the independency of $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ of the desingularization chosen (1.4) 1), and not hard to prove the functoriality (1.4) 7). Then it is straightforward to construct ϱ by lifting the universal situation (2.2).

In order to construct t , one has first to forget the growth condition at infinity (1.5) 2), (1.8), (2.9), a technique used in [E 2] to describe the cycle map from the Chow group to the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology as the cohomology of a forgetful functor.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 0 we construct the complexes on Y and X , whose cohomologies will define the Zariski sheaves wanted in Sect. 1. In Sect. 2 we construct ϱ and compute some examples.

0. Notations and definition of the complexes

(0.1) Let X be a reduced algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . Let S be its singular locus. We assume that $\dim S = d$. We fix in this article an integer n with $n \geq d + 1$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $S_d := S$ and define by induction S_{d-s} , the singular locus of S_{d-s+1} for $1 \leq s \leq d$. S_0 consists of finitely many points.

Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X such that $E_d := (\pi^{-1}S)_{\text{red}}$ is a normal crossing divisor and such that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} := \pi^*\Omega_X^n/\text{torsion}$ is locally free, where Ω_X^n is the analytic sheaf of Kähler differentials of degree n .

Define $E_{d-s} := (\pi^{-1}S_{d-s})_{\text{red}}$.

(0.2) In this section, we consider a special desingularization Y to give an upper bound on \mathcal{F}_{an} . We will use it just to prove (0.3).

Let \mathcal{I}_{d-s} be the ideal sheaf of S_{d-s} , with the reduced structure. This means that $\mathcal{O}_{S_{d-s}} := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_{d-s}$ is a smooth ring away from S_{d-s-1} . We will assume that $(\pi^*\mathcal{I}_{d-s}/\text{torsion})$ is an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y(-F_{d-s})$, where F_{d-s} is an effective normal

crossing divisor (with multiplicities). We also assume that \mathcal{F}_{an} is locally free.

Define $F'_{d-s} := F_{d-s}$ -components above S_{d-s-1}

$$F := \sum_{s=0}^d (n-d+s) \cdot F'_{d-s}.$$

Lemma. *One has an embedding*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^n(\log F)(-F).$$

Proof. As both sheaves are locally free, it is enough to prove the injection at the generic point of each component of F .

Let q be a generic point in $F'_{d-s} - F'_{d-s-1}$ and p be $\pi(q)$ lying in $S_{d-s} - S_{d-s-1}$. The exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\pi^* \mathcal{F}_{d-s}/\text{torsion})_q & \longrightarrow & (\pi^* \mathcal{O}_X)_q & \longrightarrow & (\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{S_{d-s}})_q \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-F'_{d-s})_q & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_Y)_q & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_{F'_{d-s}})_q \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

splits after passing to the completion $\hat{}$. So for each $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}}$ we may write $(\pi^* f)_q = g + h$, where $g \in (\pi^* \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{S_{d-s},q}})_q$, $h \in \mathcal{O}(-F'_{d-s})_q$.

The $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ module $\Omega_{X,p}^n$ is generated by $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n$ where $f_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}})_p$ is generated by

$$(\pi^*(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n))_q = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\text{sgn}(i_1, \dots, i_n)} dg_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dg_{i_l} \wedge dh_{i_{l+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dh_{i_n}.$$

For $l > d-s$, one has $dg_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dg_{i_l} = 0$.

For any l , one has $dh_{i_{l+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dh_{i_n} \in (\Omega_Y^{n-l}(\log F'_{d-s})(-(n-l) \cdot F'_{d-s}))_q$.

Therefore one has $(\pi^*(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n))_q \in (\Omega_Y^n(\log F'_{d-s})(-(n-d+s) \cdot F'_{d-s}))_q$.

(0.3) We go back to a general desingularization π as in (0.1).

Lemma. *There is an effective divisor E with support E_d such that $(n-d) \cdot E_d < E$ and such that \mathcal{F}_{an} embeds in $\Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-E)$.*

Moreover if $S = S_0$, one may take $E = n \cdot F$ where $\mathcal{O}_Y(-F) := (\pi^ \mathcal{F}_0/\text{torsion})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow X$ be the desingularization considered in (0.2). If $S = S_0$, we may take π to be π' and apply (0.2).

In general, let $p : Z \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization factorizing over $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $\sigma' : Z \rightarrow Y'$ such that $p^{-1}S$ is a normal crossing divisor.

Then the conditions (0.1) and (0.2) are fulfilled for p . Call Δ the reduced exceptional locus of σ' in Z , C the locus in Y where σ is not isomorphism. Then C is of codimension ≥ 2 .

One has injections

$$\begin{aligned} p^* \Omega_X^n / \text{torsion} &= \sigma^* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} = \sigma'^* \pi'^* \Omega_{X'}^n / \text{torsion} \rightarrow \sigma'^* \Omega_{Y'}^n(\log F)(-F) \\ &\rightarrow \Omega_Z^n(\log p^{-1}S)(-\Delta) \otimes \sigma'^* \mathcal{O}_Y(-F) =: \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

As $(n-d) \cdot F_{\text{red}} \subset F$, one has

$$\mathcal{O}_Z((n-d) \cdot (p^{-1}S)_{\text{red}}) \subset \sigma'^* \mathcal{O}_Y(F) \subset \sigma'^* \mathcal{O}_Y(-F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(\Delta).$$

Let E be the divisor defined by $E \cap (Y - C) := (\Delta + \sigma^*F) \cap (Y - C)$. The torsion free sheaf $\sigma_*\mathcal{A}$ embeds on $(Y - C)$ in $\Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-E)|_{Y-C}$. As $\Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-E)$ is locally free everywhere, $\sigma_*\mathcal{A}$ embeds in it everywhere. This gives the map

$$\sigma_*\sigma^*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-E).$$

(0.4) We fix now π as in (0.1) and E as in (0.3).

We may differentiate \mathcal{F}_{an} in $\Omega_Y^{n+1}(\log E)(-E)$. This defines a complex $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^i = 0$ for $i < n$, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^n = \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{n+l} = \Omega_Y^{n+l}(\log E)(-E)$ for $l \geq 1$.

One has an injection of complexes

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n} \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{Y}}^{\geq n}(\log E)(-E).$$

(0.5) a) Let π be a desingularization as in (0.1). Fix $\bar{\pi}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ a good compactification π . This means that \bar{X} is proper, \bar{Y} is proper and smooth; one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & \bar{Y} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\pi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{k_X} & \bar{X} \end{array}$$

where $(\bar{Y} - Y)$ and $(\bar{Y} - Y) + \bar{E}$ are normal crossing divisors.

b) Let V be a Zariski open subset of Y . Define $V' := \bar{Y} - (\bar{Y} - V)$. Then V' is smooth and $(V' - V)$ is a normal crossing divisor. One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{i'} & V' \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & \bar{Y} \end{array}$$

Both sheaves $i'_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}$ and $\Omega_{V'}^n(\log(V' - V))$ are contained in $i'_*\Omega_V^n$. Define

$$\mathcal{F}_p := i'_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \cap \Omega_{V'}^n(\log(V' - V)) \quad (p \text{ for partial}).$$

c) Let V be a Zariski open subset of Y . A good compactification $\bar{\tau}: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ of τ is defined by a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \bar{V} \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \bar{\tau} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & \bar{Y} \end{array}$$

where \bar{V} is proper and smooth, $(\bar{V} - V)$ and $(\bar{V} - V) + (\bar{E} \cap \bar{V})$ are normal crossing divisors. If V is of the shape $\pi^{-1}U$, where U is a Zariski open subset of X , one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \bar{V} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\pi} \\ U & \xrightarrow{k} & \bar{X} \end{array}$$

Both sheaves $l_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}$ and $\Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V}-V))$ are contained in $l_*\Omega_V^n$. Define

$$\mathcal{F} := l_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \cap \Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V}-V)).$$

As $\bar{\tau}_*\Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V}-V))$ injects into $\tau'_*\Omega_V^n(\log(V'-V))$ one has injections

$$\bar{\tau}_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau'_*\mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow (l_Y\tau)_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}.$$

(0.6) One has injections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_p &\rightarrow \Omega_V^n(\log(V'-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}}), \\ \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow \Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V}-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}}) \end{aligned}$$

which allow one to differentiate \mathcal{F}_p (resp. \mathcal{F}) in

$$\Omega_V^{n+1}(\log(V'-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}})$$

[resp. $\Omega_V^{n+1}(\log(\bar{V}-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}})$].

Define complexes $\mathcal{F}_p^{\geq n}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_p^i &= \mathcal{F}^i = 0 \quad \text{for } i < n, \\ \mathcal{F}_p^n &= \mathcal{F}_p, \quad \mathcal{F}^n = \mathcal{F}, \\ \mathcal{F}_p^{n+l} &= \Omega_V^{n+l}(\log(V'-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}}) \quad \text{for } l \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{F}^{n+l} &= \Omega_V^{n+l}(\log(\bar{V}-V) + \overline{E \cap \bar{V}})(-\overline{E \cap \bar{V}}) \quad \text{for } l \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

One has injections of complexes.

$$(\bar{\tau}_*\mathcal{F})^{\geq n} \rightarrow (\tau'_*\mathcal{F}_p)^{\geq n} \rightarrow ((l_Y\tau)_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}})^{\geq n}$$

As $\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}$ is a complex starting in degree n , one has an injection $R^n\bar{\tau}_*\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}[-n] \rightarrow (\bar{\tau}_*\mathcal{F})^{\geq n}$ (and similarly for the others), which gives injections of sheaves

$$R^n\bar{\tau}_*\mathcal{F}^{\geq n} \rightarrow R^n\tau'_*\mathcal{F}_p^{\geq n} \rightarrow R^n(l_Y\tau)_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}.$$

(0.7) a) We use the convention $S_\emptyset = \emptyset, E_\emptyset = \emptyset$. Define j_s the inclusion $Y - E_s \rightarrow Y$ and i_s the inclusion $X - S_s \rightarrow X$ for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

In the derived category $D^b(Y)$ of bounded complexes on Y , one has a map

$$\Omega_{\bar{Y}}^{\geq n}(\log E)(-E) \rightarrow j_{d!}\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n),$$

obtained as the composite map

$$\begin{array}{c} \Omega_{\bar{Y}}^{\geq n}(\log E)(-E) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{Y}}^{\geq n}(\log E_d)(-E_d) \rightarrow \Omega_Y(\log E_d)(-E_d) \xleftarrow{\sim} j_{d!}\mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow \\ j_{d!}\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n). \end{array}$$

This defines maps in $D^b(Y)$

$$\varphi_{j_s}^{\text{an}}: \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n} \rightarrow j_{s!}\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \quad \text{for } s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d.$$

Define in $D^b(Y)$ $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}} := \text{cone } \varphi_{j_s}^{\text{an}}[-1]$ for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

One has maps

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_d, \text{an}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0, \text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_\emptyset, \text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\emptyset, \text{an}},$$

where $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{\emptyset, \text{an}} := \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{Y}}^{\geq n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1]$ is the Deligne complex.

b) If V is a Zariski open subset of Y as in (0.5), φ_p^{an} defines in $D^b(V')$

$$\varphi_{j_s}^p : \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n} \rightarrow Rl_{*j_s} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)$$

and therefore

$$\varphi_{j_s}^p : \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n} \rightarrow Rl_{*j_s} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

Define in $D^b(V')$ $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}^p := \text{cone } \varphi_{j_s}^p[-1]$ for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

Similarly define a "partial" Deligne-Beilinson complex by

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}^p := \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\cong n}(\log(V' - V)) \rightarrow Rl_{*} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1].$$

One has maps in $D^b(V')$:

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_d}^p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}^p.$$

c) Similarly, one has maps in $D^b(\bar{V})$

$$\varphi_{j_s} : \mathcal{F}^{\cong n} \rightarrow Rl_{*j_s} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \quad \text{for } s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d.$$

Define in $D^b(\bar{V})$

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s} := \text{cone } \varphi_{j_s}[-1], \quad \text{for } s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d.$$

The Deligne-Beilinson complex is defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}} := \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\cong n}(\log(\bar{V} - V)) \rightarrow Rl_{*} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1].$$

One has maps in $D^b(\bar{V})$

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_d} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

(0.8) Let U be a Zariski open subset of X .

We consider a compactification of $\pi^{-1}U$ as in (0.5).

As

$$\begin{aligned} R\pi_{*}j_{d1} &= R\pi_{*}Rj_{d1} \quad (j_{d1} \text{ is exact}) \\ &= R(\pi j_d)_! \quad (\pi \text{ is proper}) \\ &= Ri_{d1} = i_{d1} \quad (i_{d1} \text{ is exact}) \end{aligned}$$

φ_{j_d} defines

$$\varphi_{i_d} : R(\bar{\pi}\bar{v})_{*} \mathcal{F}^{\cong n} \rightarrow Rk_{*}i_{d1} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \quad \text{in } D^b(\bar{X}).$$

This defines in $D^b(\bar{X})$

$$\varphi_{i_s} : R(\bar{\pi}\bar{v})_{*} \mathcal{F}^{\cong n} \rightarrow Rk_{*}i_{s1} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

Define $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_s} := \text{cone } \varphi_{i_s}[-1]$ for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

One has maps in $D^b(\bar{X})$

$$\mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_d} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_0}.$$

(0.9) Define \mathcal{C}_{j_s} by the exact triangle in $D^b(\bar{X})$

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{j_s} \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s},$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{C}_{j_s}^p$ in $D^b(V')$ and $\mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}}$ in $D^b(V)$, for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

One has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{j_s} &= \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n}(\log(\bar{V} - V))/\mathcal{F}^{\geq n} \rightarrow Rl_*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)|_{E_s}[-1]), \\ \mathcal{C}_{j_s}^p &= \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{V}'}^{\geq n}(\log(V' - V))/\mathcal{F}_p^{\geq n} \rightarrow Rl'_*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)|_{E_s}[-1]), \\ \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}} &= \text{cone}(\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n}/\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)|_{E_s}[-1]). \end{aligned}$$

(0.10) By definition one has $\varphi_{i_d} = R(\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_*\varphi_{j_d}$, and one has maps

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_s} \rightarrow R(\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s} \quad \text{for } s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d,$$

coming from the maps

$$i_{s!} = \pi_*j_{s!} \rightarrow R\pi_*j_{s!}.$$

Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_d} = R(\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_d}$$

and maps

$$\mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_s} \rightarrow R(\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s} \quad \text{for } s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d-1.$$

(0.11) If Z is any complex algebraic variety, we denote by $\alpha: Z_{\text{an}} \rightarrow Z_{\text{zar}}$ the continuous map from Z endowed with the classical topology to Z endowed with the Zariski topology.

1. Definition of the Zariski sheaves

(1.1) Let V be a Zariski open subset of Y as in (0.5).

Define $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V) = H^0(V, \mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{F}_p(V) = H^0(V', \mathcal{F}_p)$, and $\mathcal{F}(V) = H^0(\bar{V}, \mathcal{F})$.

Lemma. i) $\mathcal{F}_p(V)$ does not depend on \bar{V} chosen in (0.5) a).

ii) $\mathcal{F}(V)$ does not depend on \bar{V} chosen in (0.5) c).

It does not require the existence of $\bar{\tau}$.

iii) One has injections $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V)$.

Proof. i) Let $Y \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} Z \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} \bar{Y}$ with $\sigma_Y \lambda_Y = l_Y$ be another good compactification. One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} & Z & \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} & \bar{Y} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{\lambda'} & W & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & V' \end{array}$$

with $W = Z - (\bar{Y} - \bar{V})$.

One has $\sigma'_* \Omega_W^n(\log(W-V)) = \Omega_V^n(\log(V'-V))$.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p \rightarrow \lambda'_* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \oplus \Omega_W^n(\log(W-V)) \rightarrow \lambda'_* \Omega_V^n$$

one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma'_* \mathcal{G}_p \rightarrow l'_* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \oplus \Omega_V^n(\log(V'-V)) \rightarrow l'_* \Omega_V^n.$$

Therefore one has $\sigma'_* \mathcal{G}_p = \mathcal{F}_p$.

As for any other good compactification $Y \xrightarrow{l_Y} \bar{Y}_1$ there is a third one Z as above with $Y \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y} Z \xrightarrow{\sigma_Y} \bar{Y}_1$ such that $l_Y^1 = \sigma_Y^1 \lambda_Y$ and $l_Y = \sigma_Y \lambda_Y$, this proves i).

ii) Let $V \xrightarrow{\lambda} W \xrightarrow{\sigma} \bar{V}$ with $\sigma \lambda = l$ be another good compactification of V (without necessarily assuming that W and \bar{V} map to \bar{Y}).

One has $\sigma_* \Omega_W^n(\log(W-V)) = \Omega_{\bar{V}}^n(\log(\bar{V}-V))$.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \lambda_* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \oplus \Omega_W^n(\log(W-V)) \rightarrow \lambda_* \Omega_V^n$$

one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow l_* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \oplus \Omega_{\bar{V}}^n(\log(\bar{V}-V)) \rightarrow l_* \Omega_V^n$$

which proves that $\sigma_* \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$.

One concludes as before

iii) By 0.5 c), one has that

$$H^0(\bar{Y}, \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}) = H^0(\bar{V}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(V)$$

injects in

$$H^0(\bar{Y}, \tau'_* \mathcal{F}_p) = H^0(V', \mathcal{F}_p) = \mathcal{F}_p(V).$$

(1.2) Define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V)_{\text{cl}} := \text{Ker } d: \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{n+1}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_p(V)_{\text{cl}} := \text{Ker } d: \mathcal{F}_p(V) \rightarrow H^0(V', \mathcal{F}_p^{n+1}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}(V)_{\text{cl}} := \text{Ker } d: \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{V}, \mathcal{F}^{n+1}).$$

Obviously one may replace $H^0(V, \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{n+1})$, $H^0(V', \mathcal{F}_p^{n+1})$, and $H^0(\bar{V}, \mathcal{F}^{n+1})$ by $H^0(V, \Omega_V^{n+1})$, and the three groups defined do not depend on E chosen in (0.3).

Corollary. i) The groups $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V)_{\text{cl}}$, $\mathcal{F}_p(V)_{\text{cl}}$, and $\mathcal{F}(V)_{\text{cl}}$ depend only on the choice of π in (0.1) and on V . They define Zariski sheaves on Y .

ii) One has injections

$$\mathcal{F}(V)_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p(V)_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}(V)_{\text{cl}}.$$

(1.3) Let U be a Zariski open subset of X . We consider a good compactification of $V = \pi^{-1}U$ as in (0.5).

Lemma. i) The group $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U)_{cl}$ depends only on U . It defines a Zariski sheaf on X .

ii) If U is smooth, then one has $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U)_{cl} = F^n H^n(U, \mathbb{C})$, the Hodge filtration.

Proof. i) Let $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism such that Z is smooth and $F := \sigma^* E$ is a normal crossing divisor. Define $p := \pi\sigma$ and $W := \sigma^{-1}V$. Choose a good compactification $\lambda : W \rightarrow \bar{W}$ such that one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \bar{W} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ V & \xrightarrow{i} & \bar{V}. \end{array}$$

One has $\bar{\sigma}_* \Omega_{\bar{W}}^n(\log(\bar{W} - W)) = \Omega_{\bar{V}}^n(\log(\bar{V} - V))$.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \lambda_* \sigma^* \mathcal{F}_{an} \oplus \Omega_{\bar{W}}^n(\log(\bar{W} - W)) \rightarrow \lambda_* \Omega_{\bar{W}}^n$$

one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{F}_{an} \oplus \Omega_{\bar{V}}^n(\log(\bar{V} - V)) \rightarrow i_* \Omega_{\bar{V}}^n$$

which shows that $\bar{\sigma}_* \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$.

Therefore one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U)_{cl} &= \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U) \rightarrow H^0(\pi^{-1}U, \Omega_{\pi^{-1}U}^{n-1})) \\ &= \text{Ker}(\mathcal{G}(p^{-1}U) \rightarrow H^0(p^{-1}U, \Omega_{p^{-1}U}^{n-1})) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(\pi^{-1}U)_{cl}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\pi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X$ is another desingularization as in (0.1), we find a third one Z as above with $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Y$ and $\sigma_1 : Z \rightarrow Y_1$ such that $p := \pi\sigma = \pi_1\sigma_1$.

ii) If U is smooth, replace in the previous argument V by U , \mathcal{F}_{an} by Ω_U^n , W by V . Then \mathcal{F} is replaced by $\Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V} - V))$.

(1.4) We may now define on X_{zar} the sheaves we are interested in.

Let U be a Zariski open subset of X . Choose a compactification \bar{X} as in (0.5) a). We consider $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_s}$ in $D^b(\bar{X})$ as defined in (0.8), which depends on U .

Define

$$H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) := H^n(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_s})$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{i_s}(U) := \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U)_{cl} \rightarrow H^n(U, i_{s!} \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))) \quad \text{for } s = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

Theorem and definition

1) The groups $H^n(n)_{i_s}(U)$ depend only on U .

2) If $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ is any morphism, then one has a map

$$\sigma^{-1} : H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) \rightarrow H^n(n)_{i_s}(\sigma^{-1}U).$$

3) If σ is the embedding of a Zariski open subset W , one has maps

$$\sigma^{-1} : H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) \rightarrow H^n(n)_{i_s}(U \cap W)$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$, and the groups $H^n(n)_{i_s}(U)$ define Zariski presheaves.

4) Assume U to be affine.

If $d < n - 2$, then $H^n(n)_{i_d}(U) = H^n(n)_{i_0}(U)$.

If $n = 2$, then $H^2(2)_{i_0}(U) = H^2(2)_{i_0}(U)$ provided $S_0 \cap U$ is connected.

If $n > 2$, then $H^n(n)_{i_{d-1}}(U) = H^n(n)_{i_d}(U)$ if $d = n - 2$, and $H^n(n)_{i_{d-2}}(U) = H^n(n)_{i_0}(U)$ if $d = n - 1$.

5) If X is smooth, then $H^n(n)_{i_0}(U) = H^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(U, n) := H^n(\bar{U}, \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}})$ (0.7) c), the Deligne-Beilinson group.

6) Define $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ to be the Zariski sheaf associated to $H^n(n)_{i_s}$, and $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_s}$ to be the one associated to $H^n(n)_{i_s}$ for $s = 0, \dots, d$.

If $d < n - 2$, then $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_d} = \mathcal{H}^n(n)$.

If $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{H}^2(2)_{i_0} = \mathcal{H}^2(2)$.

If $n > 2$, then $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_{d-1}} = \mathcal{H}^n(n)$ if $d = n - 2$ and $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_{d-2}} = \mathcal{H}^n(n)$ if $d = n - 1$.

At any case, there is always an integer s_0 with $0 \leq s_0 \leq d$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_{s_0}} = \mathcal{H}^n(n).$$

If X is smooth, then $\mathcal{H}^n(n) = \mathcal{H}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$, the Deligne-Beilinson sheaf associated to $H^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(U, n)$.

7) If $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ is any morphism, one has a map $\sigma^{-1} : \mathcal{H}^n(n) \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)$. In other words, $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$ is functorial. In particular, if σ is any desingularization of X (not necessarily as in (0.1)), one has a map $\mathcal{H}^n(n) \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)$.

Proof. 1) One has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(U, i_{s_1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))) \rightarrow H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i_s}(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

As $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}U)_{c_1}$ depends only on U (1.3) i), $\mathcal{F}_{i_s}(U)$ depends only on U as well. This proves 1).

2), 3) Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Y \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \end{array}$$

where π' and π are as in (0.1). In case 3) (σ is the embedding of an open set $X' = W$), just take $\pi' = \pi|_W$.

Define $\mathcal{G}_{an} := \pi'^* \Omega_{X'}^n / \text{torsion}$. Then $\tau^* \mathcal{F}_{an}$ injects in \mathcal{G}_{an} , and $\tau^* \Omega_Y^{n+1}(\log E)(-E)$ injects in

$$\Omega_Y^{n+1}(\log \tau^{-1}E)(-\tau^*E).$$

Define E' such that $\Omega_{Y'}^n(\log E')(-E')$ contains both \mathcal{G}_{an} and $\tau^* \Omega_Y^n(\log E)(-E)$ (0.3). Define correspondingly $\mathcal{G}_{an}^{\geq n}$ (0.4).

If U is Zariski open in X , define $U' := \sigma^{-1}U$, $V' := \pi'^{-1}U'$, $V := \pi^{-1}U$. Take compactifications

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V & \xrightarrow{l'} & \bar{V}' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & \bar{V} & \xleftarrow{l} & V \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ U' & \xrightarrow{k'} & \bar{X}' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \bar{X} & \xleftarrow{k} & U \end{array}$$

as in (0.5).

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* l'_* \mathcal{G}_{\text{an}} \oplus \bar{\tau}_* \Omega_{\bar{V}'}^n(\log(\bar{V}' - V')) \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* l'_* \Omega_V^n,$$

and the maps

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \tau_* \mathcal{G}_{\text{an}}, \quad \Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{V} - V)) \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* \Omega_{\bar{V}'}^n(\log(\bar{V}' - V')),$$

$\mathcal{F}^{n+l} \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{G}^{n+l}$ for $l \geq 1$, one obtains maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{G}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^{\geq n} \rightarrow (\bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{G})^{\geq n}.$$

This gives maps in $D^b(\bar{x})$

$$\begin{array}{c} R\bar{\pi}_* \mathcal{F}^{\geq n} \longrightarrow R\bar{\pi}_*(\bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{G})^{\geq n} \longrightarrow R(\bar{\pi}\bar{\tau})_* \mathcal{G}^{\geq n} \\ \parallel \\ R\bar{\sigma}R\pi'_* \mathcal{G}^{\geq n} \end{array}$$

One also has maps

$$\begin{array}{c} Rk_* \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \longrightarrow Rk_* \sigma_* \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \longrightarrow R(k\sigma)_* \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \\ \parallel \\ R(\bar{\sigma}k')_* \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \end{array}$$

and if σ is as in 3), maps

$$Rk_* i_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \rightarrow Rk_* \sigma_* i_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \rightarrow R(\bar{\sigma}k')_* i_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n).$$

Therefore one has maps

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_0} \rightarrow R\bar{\sigma}_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_0} \text{ and if } \sigma \text{ is as in 3),} \\ \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_s} \rightarrow R\bar{\sigma}_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_s} \text{ for } s=0, \dots, d. \end{array}$$

Then $H^n(n)_i(U)$ maps to $H(n)_{i_0}(\sigma^{-1}U)$.

This proves 2).

Also in 3), $H^n(n)_{i_s}(U)$ maps to $H^n(n)_{i_s}(U \cap W)$. This proves 3).

4) If U is affine, then $S_s \cap U$ is affine as well and therefore $H^l(S_s \cap U, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) = 0$ for $l > s$. Now $H^n(n)_{i_s}(U)$ surjects onto $H^n(n)_{i_0}(U)$ if $H^n(S_s \cap U, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) = H^{n-1}(S_s \cap U, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) = 0$, and is isomorphic to it if moreover $H^{n-2}(U, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ surjects onto $H^{n-2}(S_s \cap U, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$.

5) If X is smooth, then \mathcal{F} is just $\Omega_V^n(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ for a good compactification of U [Proof of (1.3) ii)].

6) By 2), $H^n(n)_{i_0}(U)$ maps to $H^n(n)_{i_0}(\sigma^{-1}U)$, which maps to $H^0(\sigma^{-1}U, \mathcal{H}^n(n))$. This proves 7), where one applies 5) if X' is smooth.

1.5) We define on Y_{zar} sheaves to which we will compare $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_*$ constructed in (1.4). Let V be a Zariski open subset of Y . Choose compactifications as in (0.5).

Define

$$H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}(V) := H^n(V, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}),$$

$$H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}(V) := H^n(V', \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}^{\text{p}}),$$

$$H^n(n)_{j_s}(V) := H^n(\bar{V}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s})$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d, \mathcal{D}$ with the convention $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_{\emptyset}} = \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}$ etc ...

Proposition and definition

1) The groups $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}(V)$, $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}(V)$, $H^n(n)_{j_s}(V)$ depend only on V . They define Zariski presheaves on Y for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d, \mathcal{D}$.

2) Let $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$, $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}$, $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}$ be the associated sheaves. There are injectives maps

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d, \mathcal{D}$.

3) There are maps

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_d} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_{\emptyset}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}$ and $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$.

Proof. 1) This is by definition for $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$. One has an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V, j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H^n(n)_{j_s}(V) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}(V)_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow H^n(V, j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))) \rightarrow 0.$$

As $\mathcal{F}(V)_{\text{cl}}$ depends only on V (1.2) i), the kernel to $H^n(V, j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ depends only on V as well. Similarly for $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}$.

2) One has

$$R\bar{\tau}_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s} = \text{cone}(R\bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}^{\cong n} \rightarrow R(l_Y \tau)_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1],$$

$$R\tau_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}^{\text{p}} = \text{cone}(R\tau'_* \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n} \rightarrow R(l_Y \tau)_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1].$$

As $\mathcal{F}^{\cong n}$ starts in degree n , one has a map $R^n \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}^{\cong n}[-n] \rightarrow R\bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}^{\cong n}$ whose cone starts in degree $(n+1)$.

Define just for a moment in $D^b(\bar{Y})$

$$K = \text{cone}(R^n \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}^{\cong n}[-n] \rightarrow R(l_Y \tau)_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))[-1].$$

Then one has an isomorphism

$$H^n(\bar{Y}, K) = H^n(\bar{Y}, R\bar{\tau}_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}).$$

On the other hand, one has an injective map (0.6):

$$R^n \bar{\tau}_* \mathcal{F}^{\cong n} \rightarrow R^n \tau'_* \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n}$$

and again a map

$$R^n \tau'_* \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n}[-n] \rightarrow R\tau'_* \mathcal{F}_p^{\cong n}.$$

Therefore

$$H^n(n)_{j_s}(V) = H^n(\bar{Y}, R\bar{\tau}_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s})$$

maps to

$$H^n(\bar{Y}, R\tau'_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}^p) = H^n(n)_{j_s, p}(V).$$

Now write the sequence (*) and the corresponding sequence (*),_p for $H^n(n)_{j_s, p}(V)$, and apply (1.2) ii).

This gives the injection $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, p}$.

As for the second one consider the restriction map

$$H^n(V', \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}^p) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s|V}^p).$$

As $\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s|V}^p = \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}^p$, this gives a map

$$H^n(n)_{j_s, p} \rightarrow H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}(V).$$

One concludes as before. [Actually one could argue via the restriction map to construct the injection $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$.]

3) Apply (0.7).

(1.6) We could have defined on X_{zar} “partial” and “analytic” sheaves in the same way. As we will not use them, we do not give details.

(1.7) **Proposition.** *There is a map*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_s} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}.$$

Proof. By (0.10) there is a map, for each Zariski open set U in X :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) = H^n(\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{i_s}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\bar{V}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s}) \\ & & \parallel \\ & & H^n(n)_{j_s}(\pi^{-1}U), \end{array}$$

and one has a map

$$H^n(n)_{j_s}(\pi^{-1}U) \rightarrow H^0(\pi^{-1}U, \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}).$$

(1.8) **Proposition.** *There is a map*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}} \rightarrow R^n \alpha_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}.$$

Proof. One has $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}(V) = H^n(V, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})$ which maps to $H^0(V, R^n \alpha_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})$.

(1.9) 1) Let V be a Zariski open subset on Y , and take compactifications as in (0.5). One has

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n-1}(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}}) &= H^{n-1}(V', \mathcal{C}_{j_s}^p) = H^{n-1}(\bar{V}, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}) \\ &= H^{n-2}(V \cap E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

One also has

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n-1}(V, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) &= H^{n-1}(V', \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}) = H^{n-1}(\bar{V}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}}) \\ &= H^{n-2}(V, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ the Zariski sheaf on Y associated to the Betti cohomology $H^k(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z})$, we obtain

Lemma. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) / \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

for $s = \emptyset, 0, \dots, d$.

2) As $H^0(\bar{V}, \Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n}(\log(\bar{V}-V)) / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})$ might depend on \bar{V} , one can not define a sheaf on Y associated to $H^n(\bar{V}, \mathcal{C}_{j_s})$. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}_{j_s}^p$.

But there is a restriction map

$$H^n(\bar{V}, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}) \xrightarrow{\text{rest}} H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s|V}) = H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}}).$$

One has an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(V \cap E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}}) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ker}(H^n(V, \Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}) \rightarrow H^n(V \cap E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{C}_{j_s})$ to be Zariski sheaf on Y associated to $H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}})$.

Lemma. i) *There is a complex*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{C}_{j_s})$$

and a map

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{C}_{j_s}) \rightarrow R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}).$$

ii) *If $n > \dim X$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{\mathcal{D}}$$

is surjective and

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{C}_{j_s}) = 0$$

iii) *If $n = \dim X$, then*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{C}_{j_s}) \rightarrow R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}) = \alpha_* \Omega_V / \alpha_* \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}$$

is surjective.

Proof. i) One has an exact sequence

$$H^n(n)_{j_s}(V) \rightarrow H^n(n)_{\mathcal{D}}(V) \rightarrow H^n(\bar{V}, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}).$$

Applying the map rest, this gives the complex.

The sheaf associated to $H^n(V, \Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})$ is just $R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})$.

ii) and iii) If V is affine, then $H^l(V \cap E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) = 0$ for $l > \dim E_s$, especially if $l > \dim X - 1$. This proves that $H^n(\bar{V}, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}) = H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}}) = 0$ if $n > \dim X$, and that $H^n(V, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}})$ surjects onto $H^n(V, \Omega_{\bar{V}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})$ if $n = \dim X$.

Finally observe that $R^1\alpha_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}=0$ as \mathcal{F}_{an} is coherent, and therefore

$$R^n\alpha_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n}/\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})=\alpha_*\Omega_X^n/\alpha_*\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}.$$

(1.10) *Multiplication.* Applying Beilinson’s formulae [E–V], Sect. 3, where one replaces the F -filtration by our $\mathcal{F}^{\geq n}$, one obtains multiplications:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}(m)_{j_s} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n+m)_{j_s}, \\ \mathbb{Z}(n)_{i_s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}(m)_{i_s} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n+m)_{i_s} \end{aligned}$$

which give products:

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(n)_{j_s}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^m(m)_{j_s}(V) &\rightarrow H^{n+m}(n+m)_{j_s}(V), \\ H^n(n)_{i_s}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^m(m)_{i_s}(U) &\rightarrow H^{n+m}(n+m)_{i_s}(U) \end{aligned}$$

and at the sheaf level:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^m(m)_{j_s} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+m}(n+m)_{j_s}, \\ \mathcal{H}^n(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^m(m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+m}(n+m). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that in order to perform this construction, one has to take desingularizations π where both $\pi^*\Omega_X^n/\text{torsion}$ and $\pi^*\Omega_X^m/\text{torsion}$ are locally free. This is allowed by (1.4) 1) and (1.5) 1).

Of course one obtains also a version for $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{p}}$, $H^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$ as well as for $R^n\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}$.

2. Definition of the regulator map on the Milnor K -theory

(2.1) We consider Bloch’s regulator map

$$r_Z: \mathcal{K}_{nZ}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(n)$$

at the sheaf level from the Milnor K -theory to the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology on a smooth variety Z .

Recall the definition.

Let V be a Zariski open subset of Z , $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_Z^\times)$, the sheaf of regular invertible functions, and let $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be their symbol in $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{K}_{nZ}^M)$. Let $g := (g_1, \dots, g_n): V \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ be the corresponding morphism, with x_i as coordinate on the i -th factor. Then $x_i \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n, 1)$. The Deligne-Beilinson product $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^n((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n, n)$ factorizes over Steinberg symbols (via the existence of the dilogarithm function). Then

$$r_Z\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} := g^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(V, n).$$

Call the situation

$$[x_i \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^1((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n, 1), (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^n((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n, n)]$$

the *universal situation*.

(2.2) For any morphism $\sigma: X' \rightarrow X$, we consider the natural map $\mathcal{K}_{nX}^M \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{K}_{nX'}^M$. If $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is any desingularization, we have the map of functoriality $\mathcal{H}^n(n) \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(n)$ (1.4) 5). If X is smooth, then $\mathcal{H}^n(n) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(n)$ (1.4) 5).

Theorem. 1) *Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be any desingularization. There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow \pi_* r_Y \\ \mathcal{H}^n(n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(n). \end{array}$$

2) *If X is smooth, then $\varrho = r_X$.*

3) *If $\sigma: X' \rightarrow X$ is any morphism, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M & \longrightarrow & \sigma_* \mathcal{K}_{nX'}^M \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \sigma_* e \\ \mathcal{H}^n(n) & \longrightarrow & \sigma_* \mathcal{H}^n(n). \end{array}$$

Proof. 1) Let $p \in X$ be a point, f_1, \dots, f_n be regular functions in p . Choose a Zariski open neighbourhood U of p such that $f_i \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}^\times)$. Define $V = \pi^{-1}U$, f to be the map $(f_i): U \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, and $g = f\pi$, with $g_i = \pi^* f_i$. By the functoriality (1.4) 2) f^{-1} maps $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^n((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n, n)$ to an element which we call $\varrho\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ in $H^n(n)_{i_0}(U)$. By definition $\pi^{-1}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} = r_Y\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ and it lies in $H_{\mathcal{D}}^n(V, n)$.

2) is by construction.

3) Take the notations of 1). Then one has

$$f^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varrho\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \in H^n(n)_{i_0}(\sigma^{-1}U)$$

which maps to

$$\sigma^{-1}f^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varrho\{\sigma^{-1}f_1, \dots, \sigma^{-1}f_n\} \text{ in } H^n(n)_{i_0}(\sigma^{-1}U).$$

(2.3) Following Srinivas [S], define the sheaves \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} on X_{zar} , which are supported on S , by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

As $\pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M$ depends on the desingularization chosen in (0.1), \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} do too.

Choose s_0 to be the maximum integer with $0 \leq s_0 \leq d$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n(n) = \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{i_0}$ (1.4) 4).

Theorem. *For any s with $0 \leq s \leq s_0 \leq d$, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varrho & & \downarrow \pi_* r_Y & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \mathcal{H}^n(n) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(E_S, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n))} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \pi_* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{E}_{j_s}) & & \\ & & & & \searrow & \nearrow & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} & & \pi_* R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{an}^{\geq n}) & & & & \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal row is a complex.

Moreover the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(E_S, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}^n(n)$$

is exact.

Proof. Put together (2.2) and (1.9).

(2.4) *Remark.* This way of mapping \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M in $\pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}$ [and a fortiori to $\pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_b}$] is not as good as considering ϱ itself as $\pi_* \mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}$ depends on the desingularization chosen. However we will now consider the cohomology of ϱ , and it is not clear how to compute the cohomology of $\mathcal{H}^n(n)$. That is the reason why we will “approximate” it by the cohomology of $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_s}$ [or of $\mathcal{H}^n(n)_{j_b}$].

(2.5) Define $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M/\mathcal{B}$.

As \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} are supported in S of dimension d , one has

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(X, \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) &= H^q(X, \mathcal{K}) \quad \text{for } q > d, \\ H^q(X, \mathcal{K}) &= H^q(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \quad \text{for } q > d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one has exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{B})/H^{d-1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{A})/H^d(\pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(\mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(\pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(2.6) **Lemma.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} R^m \alpha_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}} &= R^{m-1} \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n) \quad \text{for } m < n, s = \mathcal{D}, \emptyset, 0, \dots, d, \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{m-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) \quad \text{for } s = \mathcal{D}, \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality comes just from the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$ and $\Omega_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$ start in degree n . The second one is due to Deligne [B 2].

(2.7) Consider the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{k,l} = H^k(Y_{\text{zar}}, R^l \alpha_* \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}) \Rightarrow H^{k+l}(Y_{\text{an}}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}).$$

By abuse of notation, we write the graded pieces $\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{k+i, l-i}$ instead of the corresponding filtration on $H^{k+l}(Y_{\text{an}}, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})$.

Proposition. *Let s be as in (2.3). Let $q \geq n - 2$. Assume that*

$$H^{q+i}(Y, R^{n-i} \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

1) Then one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(X, \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \\
 \downarrow \varrho & & \downarrow \pi_* r_Y \\
 H^q(X, \mathcal{K}^n(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(n)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \frac{H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})}{\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}} & \longrightarrow & H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}). &
 \end{array}$$

2) $\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}$ is contained in $H^{q+n-2}(E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))/H^{q+n-2}(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ which maps to

$$H^{q+n-1}(Y, \mathcal{C}_{j_s, \text{an}})/H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}).$$

Proof. 1) Consider the diagram (2.3).

One has maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^q(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}^n(n)_{j_s}) & \rightarrow & H^q(Y, \mathcal{K}^n(n)_{j_s}) \xrightarrow{(1.5)_2} H^q(Y, \mathcal{K}^n(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}) \\
 & & \xrightarrow{(1.8)} H^q(Y, R^n \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)).
 \end{array}$$

One has $E_2^{q+i, n-i+1} = H^{q+i}(Y, R^{n-i} \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ for $i \geq 2$ (2.6). This vanishes by hypothesis. Therefore

$$H^q(Y, R^n \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})$$

maps to $H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}}) / \sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}$.

On the other hand as $H^i(\mathcal{K}^{m-1}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))) = 0$ for $j \geq m$ [B 1], one has $E_2^{q+i, n-i+1} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, and $E_2^{q+i, n-i} = E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ and $s = \mathcal{D}$ or \emptyset .

2) As $E_2^{q+i, n-i} = H^{q+i}(Y, R^{n-i-1} \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$ for $i \geq 1$, (2.6), $\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}$ maps to

$$H^{q+n-1}(Y, j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$$

which maps to $H^{q+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_s, \text{an}})$. For $i \geq 1$, one has $q+i > n-i-1$. Therefore $H^{q+i}(Y, R^{n-i-1} \alpha_* j_{s!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$, and $\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}$ maps to 0 in $H^{q+n-1}(E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))$; in other words it is contained in $\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{q+i, n-i}$

$$H^{q+n-2}(E_s, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))/H^{q+n-2}(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)).$$

(2.8) *Example 1.* Assume $n=2, d=0$ or $1, q=1$; then $s_0=0$. Then

$$R^0 \alpha_* j_{0!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2) = j_{0!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2).$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow j_{0!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2)_{|S=S_0} \rightarrow 0$$

one obtains $H_{\text{zar}}^i(j_{0!} \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2)) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

Therefore one has $H^{q+i}(Y, R^{n-i}\alpha_{*j_{s!}}\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2))=0$ for $i \geq 2$ and $E_2^{3,0} = E_2^{2,1} = 0$.
 One obtains a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{2Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^3(Y, \mathbf{Z}(2)_{j_0, \text{an}}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(Y, \mathbf{Z}(2)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}). \end{array}$$

If $d=0$, one has a map (0.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} &\rightarrow \Omega_Y^2(\log F)(-2F), \\ \mathcal{O}_Y(-F) &:= \pi^* \mathcal{F}_0 / \text{torsion}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{Z}(2)_{j_0, \text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(2)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}$ factorizes over

$$\mathbf{Z}(2)' := j_{0!} \mathbf{Z}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-2F) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^1(\log F)(-2F)$$

and one obtains a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{2Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^3(Y, \mathbf{Z}(2)') & \longrightarrow & H^3(Y, \mathbf{Z}(2)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}). \end{array}$$

If X is a proper surface with one isolated conelike singularity, (in this case $F = F_{\text{red}}$ is a smooth curve), the left vertical arrow was constructed by Collino [C] [on a subgroup of $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X})$].

(2.9) Let $(d \log)^q$ be the map

$$(d \log)^q : H^q(Y, \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^{q+n}(Y, \Omega_Y^{\geq n})$$

and α be the map

$$\alpha : H^{d+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) \rightarrow H^{d+n}(Y, \Omega_Y^{\geq n}).$$

If $d \geq n-2$, then $(d \log)^d$ factorizes α (2.7).

Proposition. 1) *If $(d \log)^q = 0$ one has a map*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{A})/H^q(Y, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^{q+n}(Y, \Omega_Y^{\geq n}/\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq \text{an}}),$$

2) *if $\alpha=0$ and $d \geq n-3$, one has a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \longrightarrow & H^d(X, \mathcal{A})/H^d(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \longrightarrow & H^{d+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{nX}^M) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow & H^{d+n}(Y, \Omega_Y^{\geq n}/\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq \text{an}}) \longrightarrow & H^{d+1+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j_0, \text{an}}), \end{array}$$

where the two sequences are exact.

3) *If $\alpha=0$, $d \geq n-3$, take s as in (2.3). Assume moreover that*

$$H^{d+1+i}(Y, R^{n-i}\alpha_{*j_{s!}}\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))=0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

Then the diagram in 2) factorizes over the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{H^{d+n}(Y, \mathcal{C}_{j, \text{an}})}{H^{d+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) + \sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{d+1+i, n-i}} \rightarrow \frac{H^{d+1+n}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(n)_{j, \text{an}})}{\sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{d+1+i, n-i}}.$$

Proof. 1) Apply (2.3) and notice that one has maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(X, \pi_* R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})) &\rightarrow H^q(Y, R^n \alpha_* (\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})) \\ &\rightarrow H^q(Y, \Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}) \end{aligned}$$

as the complex $\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n}$ starts in degree n .

2) Apply (2.7) and notice that

$$\text{cone}(\mathbf{Z}(n)_{j, \text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(n)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) = \text{cone}(\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n} / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^{\geq n})[-1].$$

3) Apply (2.7) again.

(2.10) *Example 2.* Assume that X is an affine cone over a smooth projective variety E_0 of dimension $< n$. Set $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of the vertex $0 = S_0 = S$, and $p: Y \rightarrow E_0$ be the corresponding \mathbf{A}^1 -bundle.

Then $F_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(Y) := \text{Ker}(F^n H^n(Y, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H^n(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)))$ is vanishing as it embeds in $Gr_n^W H^n(Y, \mathbf{C})$, and this last group is zero since Y has a good compactification with a smooth divisor at infinity. (Here W is the weight filtration.)

As $(d \log)^0: H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^n)$ factorizes over $F_{\mathbf{Z}}^n(Y)$, it is zero as well. Therefore one obtains (2.9) 1) for $q=0$: one has a map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) / H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^n / \mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^n).$$

By (0.3), $\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}^n$ embeds in $\Omega_Y^n(\log E_0)(-n \cdot E_0)$.

As $\Omega_Y^n / \Omega_Y^n(\log E_0)(-n \cdot E_0) = \omega_{(n-1)E_0}(-n \cdot E_0)$, where ω is the dualizing sheaf, one obtains a map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) / H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{nY}^M) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \omega_{(n-1)E_0}(-n \cdot E_0)).$$

If E_0 is a curve, this is Srinivas map.

Actually in this case, Srinivas proves that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{2X}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) / H^0(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{2Y}^M),$$

where \mathcal{A} is by definition $\varinjlim_{0 \in U} H^0(\pi^{-1}U, \mathcal{K}_{2Y}) / K_2(\mathcal{O}_{X,0})$.

(2.11) *Example 3.* Assume X proper. As α factorizes over

$$\text{Ker}(H^{d+n}(Y, \Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\geq n}) \rightarrow H^{d+n}(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))),$$

which is 0 for $d \leq n-1$, one obtains the diagram (2.9) 2).

(2.12) *Example 4.* 1) Assume $n=2$, $d=0$ or 1 as in Example 1, (2.8), and assume moreover that X is proper. Then one has (2.9) 3) with

$$\begin{aligned} H^{d+2}(Y, \mathbf{Z}(2)_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}) &= H^{d+1}(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(2)) / F^2 H^{d+1}(Y, \mathbf{C}), \\ \sum_{i \geq 1} E_{\infty}^{d+1+i, 2-i} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $d=0$, then $\mathbb{Z}(2)_{\mathcal{O}, \text{an}}$ maps to $\mathbb{Z}(2)'$ as in (2.8), and $\mathcal{C}_{j_0, \text{an}}$ maps to

$$\mathcal{C}' = \text{cone}(\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\otimes 2} / \Omega_{\mathbb{F}}^{\otimes 2}(\log F)(-2F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2)|_{E_0}[-1]).$$

One may map the sequence of (2.9) 3) to the similar one replacing $\mathbb{Z}(2)_{j_0, \text{an}}$ by $\mathbb{Z}(2)'$, $\mathcal{C}_{j_0, \text{an}}$ by \mathcal{C}' .

2) Let X be a singularity of type A_2 , of equation $t^3 - xy$. One knows (letter of Collino), that \mathcal{A} contains $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ if one takes $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ to be the blow up of the singularity 0.

We first define candidates α and β in $\pi_*(\mathcal{X}_{2Y})_0$ for those two elements (as we do not know exactly how Collino constructs them ...), and then we prove via (2.3) that they contribute to \mathcal{A} .

A) Cover Y by three Zariski open sets Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 of coordinates and equations

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0: (a, b, t), \quad x = at, y = bt; t - ab, \\ Y_1: (x, b', T), \quad y = b'x, \quad t = Tx; \quad T^3x - b', \\ Y_2: (a', y, T'), \quad x = a'y, \quad t = T'y; \quad T'^3y - a'. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $Y' = Y - \{t^2 = 1\}$. The exceptional locus of π is contained in Y' . Define $Y'_i := Y' \cap Y_i$.

We consider the two Loday symbols in $K_2(Y'_0)$ [see Be] for the definition:

$$\alpha_0 := \{1 - ab, b\}, \quad \beta_0 := \{1 - (ab)^2, b^2\}.$$

In $K_2(Y'_0 \cap Y'_1)$, one has

$$\alpha_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_1}} = \{1 - Tx, T^2x\}.$$

As T is a unit on $Y'_0 \cap Y'_1$, $\alpha_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_1}}$ is the sum of the normal Steinberg symbol $\{1 - Tx, T\}$ and of the Loday symbol $\{1 - Tx, Tx\}$. The later is zero as it is zero on $Y'_0 \cap Y'_1 \cap \{Tx \neq 0\}$ where it is a Steinberg symbol, and it is uniquely determined by its restriction on $Y'_0 \cap Y'_1 \cap \{Tx \neq 0\}$.

Therefore $\alpha_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_1}} = \alpha_1|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_1}$ where $\alpha_1 \in Y'_1$ is the Steinberg symbol $\{1 - Tx, T\}$. Similarly, as T' is a unit on $Y'_0 \cap Y'_2$, one has $\alpha_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_2}} = \alpha_2|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_2}$ where $\alpha_2 \in K_2(Y'_2)$ is the Steinberg symbol $-\{1 - T'y, T'\}$. One computes in the same way that

$$\alpha_{1|_{Y'_1 \cap Y'_2}} = \alpha_2|_{Y'_1 \cap Y'_2} \in K_2(Y'_1 \cap Y'_2).$$

Define $\alpha \in H^0(Y', \mathcal{X}_{2Y})$ to be α_i on Y'_i .

In $K_2(Y'_0 \cap Y'_1)$ one has $\beta_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_1}} = \{1 - (Tx)^2, (T^2x)^2\}$.

Similarly as before, $\beta_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_2}}$ is equal to the Steinberg symbol

$$\{1 - (Tx)^2, T^2\} \in K_2(Y'_0 \cap Y'_1),$$

restriction of the Lorelei symbol $\beta_1 = \{1 - (Tx)^2, T^2\} \in K_2(Y'_1)$.

One also has $\beta_{0|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_2}} = \beta_2|_{Y'_0 \cap Y'_2}$ where $\beta_2 \in K_2(Y'_2)$ is the Loday symbol $-\{1 - (T'y)^2, T'^2\}$, and $\beta_{1|_{Y'_1 \cap Y'_2}} = \beta_2|_{Y'_1 \cap Y'_2}$ in $K_2(Y'_1 \cap Y'_2)$. Define $\beta \in H^0(Y', \mathcal{X}_{2Y})$ to be β_i on Y'_i .

B) One has $\pi^* \mathcal{F}_0/\text{torsion} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)$ with $E = E_1 + E_2$, $E_i^2 = -2$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = : p$. One has $\pi^* \Omega_X^2/\text{torsion} = \mathfrak{m}_p \Omega_Y^2(-E)$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of p . Moreover, as $\pi^* \Omega_X^2/\text{torsion}$ is generated by global sections and $(X, 0)$ is a rational singularity, one has $R^1 \pi_* (\pi^* \Omega_X^2/\text{torsion}) = 0$. If $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of p with exceptional line F , one has

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}} = \sigma^* \pi^* \Omega_X^2/\text{torsion} = \sigma^* \Omega_Y^2(-E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(-F).$$

As $R^1 \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_Z(-F) = 0$, one obtains

$$\pi_* \sigma_* (\Omega_Z^2/\mathcal{F}_{\text{an}}) = \pi_* (\Omega_Y^2/\mathfrak{m} \Omega_Y^2(-E)) = \mathbf{C}_p \oplus \mathbf{C}.$$

where \mathbf{C}_p is $\Omega_Y^2(-E)/\mathfrak{m} \Omega_Y^2(-E)$ and $\mathbf{C} = H^0(E, \omega_E(-E))$.

C) We consider the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d \log = H^0(Y', \mathcal{K}_{2Y}') & \longrightarrow & H^0(\pi(Y'), \pi_* \Omega_Y^2) \\ \parallel & & \\ H^0(\pi(Y'), \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{2Y}') & & \end{array}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} d \log \alpha &= -\frac{da \wedge db}{1-ab} = -\frac{dx \wedge dT}{1-xT} = \frac{dy \wedge dT'}{1-yT'}, \\ \frac{1}{4} d \log \beta &= -ab \frac{da \wedge db}{1-(ab)^2} = -xT \frac{dx \wedge dT}{1-(xT)^2} = yT' \frac{dy \wedge dT'}{1-(yT')^2}. \end{aligned}$$

On Y'_0 , $\mathfrak{m} \Omega_Y^2(-E)$ is generated by

$$a^2 b \frac{da \wedge db}{1-ab} \quad \text{and} \quad ab^2 \frac{da \wedge db}{1-ab}.$$

Therefore $d \log \alpha$, $d \log \beta$ define two linearly independent elements of

$$H^0(\pi(Y'), \pi_* (\Omega_Y^2/\mathfrak{m} \Omega_Y^2(-E))).$$

(2.13) One may also consider the map

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^q(Y, \mathcal{K}^{n-2}(E, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)) / \mathcal{K}^{n-2}(Y, \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n))). \tag{2.3}$$

Of course if $n = 2$, and E is connected, the second group is trivial. In general I do not know how to compute it. This is related to finding good assumptions under which the conditions (2.7) are fulfilled.

(2.14) Levine [L] defines another presheaf on X . If U is a Zariski subset of X , such that a compactification \bar{U} exists with the property that $\bar{U} - U$ is supported by a Cartier divisor, he defines $\Omega_{\bar{U}}(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ as those forms which have logarithmic growth along $\bar{V} - V$ where V and \bar{V} are as in (0.5). Further, he takes the cone of $\Omega_{\bar{U}}^n(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ with values in the cone of $\mathbf{Z}(n)$ in the de Rham complex $\Omega_{\bar{U}}$.

As I kill the torsion of $\Omega_{\bar{U}}(\log(\bar{U} - U))$ by taking a desingularization for which the Kähler differentials become locally free, "his" forms lift "mine". As I take the cone with values in $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}(n)$, which maps to $\Omega_{\bar{U}}/\mathbf{Z}(n)$, "my" Betti part lifts "his". So one does not obtain a map in either direction.

Acknowledgement. I like to thank the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques for its hospitality during the preparation of this work.

References

- [Be] Beilinson, A.: Higher regulators and values of L functions. *Sov. Probl. Math.* **24**, Viniti Moscow (1984), transl. in *Sov. Math.* **30**, 2036–2060 (1985)
- [B 1] Bloch, S., Ogus, A.: Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super., IV Ser.* **7**, 181–202 (1974)
- [B 2] Bloch, S.: Lectures on algebraic cycles. *Duke University Mathematics Series*, Durham (1980)
- [C] Collino, A.: A regulator map for surfaces with an isolated singularity. Preprint (1988)
- [E 1] Esnault, H.: On the Loday symbol in the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. *K-Theory*, Vol. 3, no. 1, 1–28 (1989)
- [E 2] Esnault, H.: A note on the cycle map. MPI preprint (1988)
- [EV] Esnault, H., Viehweg, E.: Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, in *Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L -functions. Perspectives in Mathematics*, Vol. 4, pp. 43–91. New York London: Academic Press 1988
- [L] Levine, M.: Deligne-Beilinson cohomology for singular schemes. Preprint (1988)
- [S] Srinivas, V.: K_1 of the cone over a curve, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **381**, 37–50 (1987)

Received February 20, 1989