

# Cohomological Dimension in Pro- $p$ Towers

Hélène Esnault\*

Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 3, 14195, Berlin, Germany

\*Correspondence to be sent to: e-mail: [esnault@math.fu-berlin.de](mailto:esnault@math.fu-berlin.de)

We give a proof without use of perfectoid geometry of the following vanishing theorem of Scholze: for  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  a projective scheme of dimension  $d$  over an algebraically closed characteristic 0 field, and  $X_r$  the inverse image of  $X$  via the map that assigns  $(x_0^{p^r} : \dots : x_n^{p^r})$  to the homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ , the induced map  $H^i(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^i(X_r, \mathbb{F}_p)$  on étale cohomology dies for  $i > d$  and  $r$  large. Our proof holds in characteristic  $\ell \neq p$  as well.

## 1 Introduction

If  $X$  is a proper scheme of finite type of dimension  $d$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , Artin–Schreier theory implies that the cohomological dimension of étale cohomology of  $X$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -coefficients is at most  $d$ , that is,  $H^i(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$  for  $i > d$ . If  $k$  has characteristic not equal to  $p$ , the cohomological dimension of étale cohomology of  $X$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -coefficients is  $2d$  if  $X$  is proper and, by Artin’s vanishing theorem, at most  $d$  if  $X$  is affine. However, when  $X$  is projective, Peter Scholze showed that there is a specific tower of  $p$ -power degree covers of  $X$  that makes its cohomological dimension at most  $d$  in the limit.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $d$ . We choose coordinates  $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . With this choice of coordinates, we define the covers

$$\Phi_r^n : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, (x_0 : \dots : x_n) \mapsto (x_0^{p^r} : \dots : x_n^{p^r}).$$

Received June 30, 2018; Revised January 14, 2019; Accepted January 18, 2019  
Communicated by Prof. Bhargav Bhatt

We define  $X_r$  as the inverse image of  $X$  by  $\Phi_r^n$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Scholze, [4], Theorem 17.3). If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, for  $i > d$ , one has

$$\varinjlim_r H^i(X_r, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0.$$

Scholze obtains the theorem as a corollary of his theory of perfectoid spaces. He does not detail the proof in *loc. cit.*, but his argument is documented in [5]. By smooth base change, we may assume that  $k = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . By the comparison theorem [5, Thm. IV.2.1],  $\varinjlim_r H^r(X_r, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C/p$  is “almost” equal to  $H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^+/p)$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a perfectoid space he constructs, associated to  $\varprojlim_r X_r$ , and  $C = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . By [3, Thm. 4.5], the spectral space  $\mathcal{X}$  has cohomological dimension at most the Krull dimension of  $X$ .

The aim of this short note is to give an elementary proof, as was asked for over  $\mathbb{C}$  in [4, Section 17]. It turns out that the proof holds in characteristic not equal to  $p$  as well. One obtains the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to  $p$ , for  $i > d$ , one has

$$\varinjlim_r H^i(X_r, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0.$$

The ingredients are constructibility and base change properties for relative étale cohomology with compact supports, functoriality, and some easy facts of representation theory of a cyclic group of  $p$ -power order.

## 2 General Reduction

As all cohomologies considered are étale cohomology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we drop  $\mathbb{F}_p$  from the notation so it does not create confusion. As étale cohomology only depends on the underlying reduced structure, we may assume that  $X$  is reduced.

We first observe that Theorem 1.2 is true for all  $X$  if and only if it is true for all  $X$  that are irreducible. We argue by induction on the dimension  $d$  of  $X$  and its number  $s$  of components. If  $X$  has dimension 0, its cohomological dimension is 0, and there is nothing to prove. If  $X$  has only one component, there is nothing to prove by assumption. If  $X$  has  $s \geq 2$  components, then it is the union of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , where  $X_2$  is irreducible

and is not contained in  $X_1$ , and  $X_1$  has  $(s - 1)$  components. Then  $X_1 \cap X_2$  had dimension  $\leq (d - 1)$ . The Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow H^i(X_1 \cup X_2) \rightarrow H^i(X_1) \oplus H^i(X_2) \rightarrow H^i(X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

shows that  $H^i(X_1) = H^i(X_2) = H^{i-1}(X_1 \cap X_2) = 0$  for  $i > d$  and implies  $H^i(X_1 \cup X_2) = 0$  for  $i > d$ . But  $H^i(X_2) = 0$  for  $i > d$  by assumption,  $H^i(X_1) = 0$  for  $i > d$  by induction on the number of components, and  $H^{i-1}(X_1 \cap X_2) = 0$  for  $i > d$  by induction on  $d$ .

Let  $H_a \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  denote the hyperplane defined by  $x_a = 0$ , and  $Y_a = H_a \cap X$ . If there is one  $a$  such that the dimension of  $Y_a$  is  $d$ , then  $X \cap Y_a = X$  as  $X$  is irreducible, and one replaces in the statement and the proof  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by  $H_a = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . So we may assume that  $Y = \bigcup Y_a$  is a divisor on  $X$ .

**Notations 2.1.** Assuming  $Y = \bigcup Y_a$  is a divisor on  $X$ , let  $U \subset X \setminus Y$  be open and dense and  $Z = X \setminus U \supset Y$  be the boundary closed subscheme. We let  $U_r$ , resp.  $Y_r$ , resp.  $Z_r$  denote the pull-back of  $U$ , resp.  $Y$ , resp.  $Z$  along  $\Phi_r^n$ .

Then  $U_r = X_r \setminus Z_r$  is open dense, and  $Z_r$  is closed in  $X_r$  of smaller dimension. The morphism  $\Phi_r^n$  restricted to  $U_r$  is proper and étale, thus the direct system  $\varinjlim_r H_c^i(U_r)$  of étale cohomology with compact supports and coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is defined.

From the excision sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(Z_r) \rightarrow H_c^i(U_r) \rightarrow H^i(X_r) \rightarrow H^i(Z_r) \rightarrow \dots$$

and induction on the dimension, one deduces that the theorem is true if and only if  $\varinjlim_r H_c^i(U_r) = 0$  for  $i > d$ .

On the other hand, for  $d = n$  then  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathbb{F}_p \cdot [L]$ , where  $L$  is linear of codimension  $i$ . Thus  $\Phi_1^{n*}[L] = \mathbb{F}_p \cdot p^i[L]$  that is equal to 0 as soon as  $i > 0$ .

So throughout the rest of the note, we make the general assumption:

**Assumption 2.2.**  $X$  is an irreducible, reduced projective variety of dimension  $d$  with  $0 < d < n$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic not equal to  $p$ .

With Notations 2.1, we want to draw the conclusion

$$\varinjlim_r H_c^i(U_r) = 0 \text{ for all } i > d.$$

### 3 Local Systems

#### 3.1 Geometry preparation

We define the torus  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \cup_{i=0}^n H_i$ . It has coordinates  $(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0})$ . We denote by  $\phi_r^n : \mathbb{G}_m^n = (\Phi_r^n)^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_m^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^n$  the restriction of  $\Phi_r^n$  to the torus. By analogy with the notation  $X_r = (\Phi_r^n)^{-1}(X)$ , we write  $\phi_r^n : (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^n$ . We also use the same notation  $U_r = (\Phi_r^n)^{-1}(U)$  for the open  $U$ . The projection  $q : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  to any of the factors has the property that  $q \circ \phi_r^n$  factors through  $\phi_r^1$ . For  $U \subset \mathbb{T} \cap X$  open dense, there is a projection  $q : U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  to one of the factors that is dominant. As  $U$  is irreducible, all the fibers of  $q$  have dimension  $\leq (d-1)$ .

The composite map  $U_r \xrightarrow{\phi_r^n} U \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{G}_m$  factors through  $\phi_r^1 : (\mathbb{G}_m)_r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , defining  $q_r : U_r \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)_r$ . Concretely, if  $q(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}) = \frac{x_1}{x_0}$  (say), then  $q_r(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}) = \frac{x_1}{x_0}$ .

Thus one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \phi_r^n & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 U_r & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U'_r & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \\
 & \searrow q_r & \downarrow q'_r & & \downarrow q \\
 & & (\mathbb{G}_m)_r & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{G}_m \\
 & & & \phi_r^1 & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $U'_r = U \times_{\mathbb{G}_m, \phi_r^1} (\mathbb{G}_m)_r$ ,  $q'_r = (\phi_r^1)^* q$ .

#### 3.2 Constructibility

Recall that  $R^j q_{1!} \mathbb{F}_p$  is constructible, see [2, Thm. 5.3.5]. As  $\phi_r^1$  is proper, for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi_r^{1*}(R^j q_{1!} \mathbb{F}_p)$  is constructible as well, and one has a morphism

$$\phi_r^{1*}(R^j q_{1!} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow R^j q_{r!} \mathbb{F}_p$$

of constructible sheaves, which for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , induces an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map

$$\phi_r^{n*} : H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_{1!} \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^i((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, \phi_r^{1*}(R^j q_{1!} \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_c^i((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^j q_{r!} \mathbb{F}_p) = H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_m, \phi_{r*}^1 R^j q_{r!} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Here the left map is defined by adjunction.

We pose the:

**Induction hypothesis on  $d'$ :** given a subscheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of dimension  $d'$  and a Zariski open subscheme  $U \subset \mathbb{T} \cap X$  that is dense in  $X$ , there is a natural number  $r_0$ , such that for all  $r \geq r_0$ , the map  $\phi_r^{n*} : H_c^i(U) \rightarrow H_c^i(U_r)$  vanishes for all  $i > d'$ .

The induction hypothesis is trivially verified for  $d' = 0$ . In the sequel, we assume it is verified for  $d' \leq d - 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** With the assumption 2.2 on  $X$  and  $(U, q)$  as in 3.1, for  $j > d - 1$ , there is an  $r_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $r \geq r_1$ ,

$$\phi_r^{n*} : R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \phi_{r*}^1 R^j q_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p$$

vanishes.

**Proof.** By [2, Thm. 5.2.8],  $R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p$  verifies base change with stalks  $(R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p)_x = H_c^j(q^{-1}(x))$  on geometric points  $x \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . Thus we can apply the induction hypothesis on the dimension of the fibers  $q^{-1}(x)$ . As  $(\phi_r^n)^{-1}(q^{-1}(x)) = q_r^{-1}((\phi_r^1)^{-1}(x))$ , it follows that the map

$$\phi_r^{n*} : H_c^j(q^{-1}(x)) \rightarrow H_c^j(q_r^{-1}(\phi_r^1)^{-1}(x))$$

vanishes by induction for  $r \geq r(x)$  large enough depending on  $x$ . Taking  $x$  to be the geometric generic point  $\text{Spec}(\overline{k(\mathbb{G}_m)})$  defines  $r = r(x)$ . If  $\mathcal{U} \subset (\mathbb{G}_m)_r$  is a dense open on which  $R^j q_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p$  is a local system, which is lying in the smooth locus  $\mathcal{U}^0$  of  $\phi_r^1$ , then  $\cap_g g^* \mathcal{U}$ , for  $g$  in the Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$  of  $\phi_r^1$ , is Galois invariant and dense in  $(\mathbb{G}_m)_r$ , thus of the shape  $(\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r$  for some dense open  $\mathbb{G}_m^0 \subset \mathbb{G}_m$ . Then for all closed points  $x \in \mathbb{G}_m^0$ , we may take  $r$  constant equal to  $r(x)$ . We take  $r_1$  greater or equal to  $r$  and to the finitely many  $r(x)$  for  $x$  closed in  $\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \mathbb{G}_m^0$ . This finishes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.3 Representation theory

With the assumption 2.2 on  $X$  and  $(U, q)$  as in 3.1, we fix some  $j$  and consider a dense open  $\mathbb{G}_m^0 \subset \mathbb{G}_m$  over which  $R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p$  is a local system. As  $\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \mathbb{G}_m^0$  is 0-dimensional, the excision map  $H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m^0, R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p)$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.2.** There is an  $r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $r \geq r_2$ ,

$$\phi_r^{1*} : H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_! \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^2((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^j q_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

vanishes.

**Proof.** On  $\mathbb{G}_m^0$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the local system of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces dual to  $R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p$ . By classical duality, the cup-product  $H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m^0, \mathcal{V}^\vee) \times H^0(\mathbb{G}_m^0, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is a perfect duality. On the other hand, on  $(\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r$ , base change again implies

$$\phi_r^{1*} R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p = R^j q'_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p,$$

thus  $\phi_r^{1*} \mathcal{V}$  is the local system dual to  $R^j q'_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus

$$\phi_r^{1*} : H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^2((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^j q'_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is dual to the trace map

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_r^1) : H^0((\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r, \phi_r^{1*} \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{G}_m^0, \mathcal{V})$$

from which we show now that it vanishes for  $r$  large. As  $\mathcal{V}$  is a local system, the dimension of  $H^0((\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r, \phi_r^{1*} \mathcal{V})$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space is bounded above by the rank of  $R^j q_* \mathbb{F}_p$  and thus does not depend on  $r$ . For  $N$  a natural number, in  $GL(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$  the order of a  $p$ -power torsion element is bounded by a constant depending on  $N$  and  $p$ . Thus for  $r$  large, the representation  $\rho$  of the Galois group  $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$  of  $\phi_r^1$  on  $H^0((\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r, \phi_r^{1*} \mathcal{V})$  cannot be faithful. Thus  $\rho$  factors as  $\bar{\rho}$  through  $\mathbb{Z}/p^s$  for some  $s < r$ . This implies that for any  $v \in H^0((\mathbb{G}_m^0)_r, \phi_r^{1*} \mathcal{V})$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\phi_r^1)(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \rho(i)(v) = \sum_{\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^s} \sum_{j=0}^{p^{r-s}-1} \rho(i + jp^s)(v) = p^{r-s} \left( \sum_{\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^s} \bar{\rho}(\bar{i})(v) \right) = 0.$$

In the formula,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p^r$  and maps to  $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^s$ . This finishes the proof. ■

**Corollary 3.3.** With  $r_2$  as in Proposition 3.2, for all  $r \geq r_2$ ,

$$\phi_r^{n*} : H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^2((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^j q_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

vanishes.

**Proof.** From the factorization  $q'_r$  of  $q_r$  over  $q$ , one obtains a factorization

$$\phi_r^{n*} : H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\phi_r^{1*}} H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, R^j q'_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p) = H_c^2(\mathbb{G}_m, \phi_r^{1*} R^j q_1 \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_c^2((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^j q_{r1} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

where the first map is the one considered in Proposition 3.2 and the second one comes by functoriality  $R^j q'_{r_1} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow R^j q_{r_1} \mathbb{F}_p$ . This finishes the proof. ■

**Remark 3.4.** We could have taken  $U$  to be  $\mathbb{T}$  in the Induction Hypothesis. In the proof, we have to consider the inverse image by  $q$  of some dense open in  $\mathbb{G}_m$  that is then a dense open in  $\mathbb{T}$ . For this reason, we just kept a neutral letter  $U$ .

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We argue by induction on  $d$ , starting with  $d = 0$ . We use the notations of the previous sections, make the assumption 2.2 on  $X$ , and take  $(U, q)$  as in 3.1.

We consider the Leray spectral sequence for  $q$  and  $H_c^i(U)$  for  $i > d$ . One first has the corner map

$$H_c^i(U) \rightarrow E_2^{0i}(q) = H_c^0(\mathbb{G}_m, R^i q_! \mathbb{F}_p).$$

As  $i > d > d - 1$  we apply Lemma 3.1. Thus there is an  $r_1$  such that for all  $r \geq r_1$ , the image of  $H_c^i(U)$  in  $H_c^i(U_r)$  lies in a subquotient of

$$E_2^{1, i-1}(q_r) = H_c^1((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^{i-1} q_{r_1} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

As  $i - 1 > d - 1$  the same argument shows that there is an  $r'_1 \geq r_1$  such that for all  $r \geq r'_1$ , the image of  $H_c^i(U)$  in  $H_c^i(U_r)$  lies in the image of

$$E_2^{2, i-2}(q_r) = H_c^2((\mathbb{G}_m)_r, R^{i-2} q_{r_1} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

If  $i > d + 1$  then  $i - 2 > d - 1$ , and one applies again the same argument that finishes the proof. Or else, one applies the following argument. If  $i \geq d + 1$ , then  $i - 2 \geq d - 1$ ; Corollary 3.3 implies that there is an  $r_2 \geq r'_1$  such that for all  $r \geq r_2$ , the image of  $H_c^i(U)$  in  $H_c^i(U_r)$  is 0.

As in the whole argument, it does not matter whether we start the proof for  $U$  or for  $U_{r_0}$  for some given natural number  $r_0$ ; we, in fact, proved  $\varinjlim_r H_c^i(U_r) = 0$  for  $i > d$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 5 Remarks

- 1) If  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is any locally closed subscheme, with compactification  $\bar{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , applying again the excision exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^i(Z) \rightarrow H^i(\bar{Z}) \rightarrow H^i(\bar{Z} \setminus Z) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots,$$

one sees that Theorem 1.1 implies (and in fact is equivalent to)

$$\varinjlim_r H_c^i(Z_r) = 0 \text{ for all } i > d,$$

where  $Z_r = (\Phi_r^n)^{-1}(Z)$ .

- 2) Theorem 1.2 can be expressed by writing  $H^i(X, \mathbb{F}_p)$  as  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the constructible sheaf  $i_* \mathbb{F}_{p,X}$ , where  $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is the closed embedding, and where  $\mathbb{F}_{p,X}$  is the constant étale sheaf  $\mathbb{F}_p$  on  $X$ , and writing  $H^i(X_r, \mathbb{F}_p)$  as  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, (\Phi_r^n)^* \mathcal{F})$ . More generally, we can take in Theorem 1.2  $\mathcal{F}$  to be any constructible sheaf.

**Theorem 5.1.** If  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to  $p$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a constructible sheaf of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with support of dimension  $d$ , then for  $i > d$ , one has

$$\varinjlim_r H^i((\mathbb{P}^n)_r, (\Phi_r^n)^* \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

The proof is exactly the same, and we do not write the details.

- 3) It may happen that even if  $X$  is smooth, one needs  $r \geq 2$  in Theorem 1.2. For example if  $p = 2$ , and  $X$  is a smooth conic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that the  $H_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  are tangent to  $X$ , then  $X_1$  splits entirely into the union of four lines. So the minimum  $r$  that kills the whole cohomology  $H^i(X)$ ,  $i > d$  is perhaps an intriguing geometric invariant of the triple

$$(X, \mathbb{P}^n, (x_0 : \dots : x_n)).$$

- 4) Beilinson remarked that Theorem 1.2 has some relation to [1, Thm. 4.5.1]. In [1], *loc. cit.* the formulation is with  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -coefficients, but they immediately go down to  $\mathbb{F}_p$  in the proof. They do not prove vanishing, and they are not in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , but they bound the growth of the dimension of the cohomology in étale towers in function of the degree of the covers.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Einstein program.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Deepam Patel who asked us about Theorem 1.1. He arose our interest in the problem, and we had interesting discussions. We thank Peter Scholze for explaining to us his perfectoid proof reproduced in the introduction and for his friendly comments on our proof. We thank the two referees for their work, their friendly remarks that helped us sharpen our note, and for their warm words.

## References

- [1] Beilinson, A., J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. "Faisceaux pervers." *Astérisque* 100 (1982): 174.
- [2] Deligne, P. "Cohomologie à supports propres." In *SGA4 Exposé XVII*. Lecture Notes in Math, 305. Heidelberg: Springer, (1973).
- [3] Scheiderer, C. "Quasi-augmented simplicial spaces, with an application to cohomological dimension." *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 81 (1992): 293–311.
- [4] Scholze, P. Perfectoid spaces and their Applications. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians-Seoul 2014*, vol. II, 461–86. Seoul: Kyung Moon Sa, 2014.
- [5] Scholze, P. "On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties." *Ann. of Maths.* 182, no. 3 (2015): 945–1066.