## Computational Hermeneutics: Using Computers to Interpret Philosophical Arguments

DAVID FUENMAYOR<sup>1</sup> AND CHRISTOPH BENZMÜLLER<sup>2,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> FREE UNIVERSITY BERLIN, GERMANY

<sup>2</sup> University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

C.BENZMUELLER@FU-BERLIN.DE - DAVID.FUENMAYOR@FU-BERLIN.DE

We introduce a method named *computational hermeneutics* aimed at improving the tasks of logical analysis and interpretation of arguments. This method has been developed as a result of reflecting upon previous work on the application of Automated Theorem Proving (ATP) for the formalization and assessment of arguments in metaphysics (e.g. [2, 3, 5]) and is specially suited to the utilization of different kinds of logics (intensional, modal, higher-order, etc.) through the technique of semantic embeddings [1].

Computational hermeneutics has been inspired by Donald Davidson's theory of radical interpretation [4] and can be seen as an instance of the hypotheticodeductive method which exploits the computing power and usability of modern theorem provers: We work iteratively on an argument by temporarily choosing a logic for formalization; fixing truth-values and inferential relations among its sentences; and then working back and forth on the formalization of its axioms and theorems, by making gradual adjustments while getting real-time feedback about the suitability of our speculations. In this fashion, by engaging in a dialectic process of questions and answers –of conjectures and refutations– we work our way towards an adequate logical analysis and interpretation of an argument by circular movements between its parts and the whole (cf. hermeneutic circle).

## References

- C. Benzmüller and L. Paulson. Quantified multimodal logics in simple type theory. Logica Universalis (Special Issue on Multimodal Logics), 7(1):7–20, 2013.
- [2] C. Benzmüller, L. Weber, and B. Woltzenlogel Paleo. Computer-assisted analysis of the Anderson-Hájek controversy. *Logica Universalis*, 11(1):139– 151, 2017.
- [3] C. Benzmüller and B. Woltzenlogel Paleo. The inconsistency in Gödel's ontological argument: a success story for AI in metaphysics. In S. Kambhampati, editor, *IJCAI 2016*, volume 1-3, pages 936–942. AAAI Press, 2016.
- [4] D. Davidson. Radical interpretation. eng. In *Inquiries into Truth and In*terpretation. Oxford University Press, Sept. 2001. ISBN: 9780199246298.
- [5] D. Fuenmayor and C. Benzmüller. Automating emendations of the ontological argument in intensional higher-order modal logic. In G. Kern-Isberner, J. Fürnkranz, and M. Thimm, editors, *KI 2017: Advances in Artificial Intelligence*, volume 10505 of *LNAI*, pages 114–127. Springer, 2017.