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Abstract

We continue the work by Aschbacher, Kinyon and Phillips [AKP] as well as of Glauberman [Glaub1,2] by describing the structure of the finite Bruck loops. We show essentially that a finite Bruck loop $X$ is the direct product of a Bruck loop of odd order with either a soluble Bruck loop of 2-power order or a product of loops related to the groups $PSL_2(q)$, $q = 9$ or $q \geq 5$ a Fermat prime. The latter possibility does occur as is shown in [Nag1, BS]. As corollaries we obtain versions of Sylow’s, Lagrange’s and Hall’s Theorems for loops.

1 Introduction

Let $(X, \circ)$ be a finite loop; that is a finite set together with a binary operation $\circ$ on $X$, such that there exists an element $1 \in X$ with $1 \circ x = x \circ 1 = x$ for all $x \in X$ and such that the left and right translations

$$\lambda_x : X \to X, \quad y \mapsto x \circ y, \quad \rho_y : X \to X, \quad x \mapsto x \circ y$$

are bijections. Loops can be thought of as groups without associativity law.

Given a loop $X$, let $G := \langle \rho_x : x \in X \rangle \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, the so called enveloping group of $X$. The set $K := \{\rho_x : x \in X\}$ is a transversal to $H := \text{Stab}_G(1)$ and $(G, H, K)$ is called the Baer envelope of $X$. This connection between loops and transversals in groups goes back to Baer [Baer], see Section 2. In [Asch] Aschbacher started the study of loops using group theory [Asch], which turned out to be a very powerful tool [Asch, AKP, Nag1, BS].

Though loops are a generalization of groups, general loops can be very wild due to the missing associativity: Left- and right inverses may not be identical, powers of elements may not be definable in the usual way and many loops without proper subloops besides the cyclical groups of prime order exist.

In search for natural restrictions on loops, Bol discovered in [Bol] the following identity, which today is known as the (right) Bol identity:

$$(x \circ y) \circ z \circ y = x \circ (y \circ z) \circ y$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A loop is called a (right) Bol loop, if it satisfies the above identity. Bol himself showed, that this generalization of associativity is quite natural, but that groups are not the only examples of Bol loops.
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One consequence of the identity is, that the subloop generated by one element is a (cyclical) group. Therefore powers and inverses of elements are well defined.

Examples of G. Nagy [Nag2] however imply, that general Bol loops may still be quite wild: While groups of odd order are soluble due to work of Feit and Thompson, simple Bol loops of odd non-prime order exist. Furthermore there are noncyclic simple Bol loops, which occur from transversals in soluble groups.

A natural further restriction is the following identity known as the automorphic inverse property AIP:

\[(x \circ y)^{-1} = x^{-1} \circ y^{-1} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.\]

This identity implies, that the inverse map \(\iota : X \to X, x \mapsto x^{-1}\) is an automorphism of the loop. Bol loops with AIP are called Bruck loops and generalize abelian groups. In the literature Bruck loops occur also under other names, such as \(K\)-loops [Kreuz, Kiech] or gyrocommutative gyrogroups [Ung].

Glauberman showed in [Glaub1] and [Glaub2], that Bruck loops of odd order behave very well: These loops are soluble and allow generalizations of many theorems of group theory. His famous \(Z^*\)-theorem was originally a byproduct of this work.

Then, forty years later, Aschbacher, Kinyon and Phillips showed that the following holds in finite Bruck loops [AKP]:

- Elements of 2-power order and elements of odd order commute in a more general sense, see Theorem 2 of [AKP].
- Bruck loops are a central product of a subloop of odd order and a subloop generated by elements of 2-power order.
- Simple Bruck loops are of 2-power exponent.
- The structure of minimal simple Bruck loops is very restricted.

This leaves the Bruck loops of 2-power exponent to be studied. Notice, that in the simplest case of Bruck loops of exponent 2, the automorphic inverse property is already a consequence of the Bol identity and the exponent 2 assumption.

In [Asch], Aschbacher gave powerful restrictions on the structure of minimal simple Bol loops of exponent 2. Using the restrictions given in Aschbacher’s paper, Nagy and independently Baumeister and Stein found a simple Bol loop of exponent 2 and size 96 in April 2007 [Nag1, BS]. Furthermore, Nagy produced an infinite sequence of simple Bol loops of exponent 2 [Nag1]. A bit later a simple Bruck loop of exponent 4 and size 96 was found by Baumeister and Stein [BS].

Thus the weakening of the associativity law produces lots of generalized elementary abelian groups, which are simple Bruck loops and live in non-soluble groups. Recall, that Aschbacher’s paper [Asch] and its generalizations in [AKP] restrict only the structure of minimal simple loops. Suddenly the question arose, whether the class of Bruck loops is maybe as wild as the class of general Bol loops.

In this paper we determine the structure of a finite Bruck loop showing that the structure of a finite Bruck loop is not as wild as suspected. The definition of a loop envelope and a twisted subgroup is given in the next section.
Theorem 1 Let $X$ be a finite Bruck loop. Then the following holds.

(a) $X = Y \times Z$ where $Y$ is a subloop with $|Y|$ odd and $Z$ is a subloop of 2-power exponent.

(b) A loop envelope $(G, H, K)$ of $Z$ where $H$ acts on $K$ and $K$ is a twisted subgroup consisting of 2-power elements satisfies the following.

1. $\overline{G} = G/O_2(G) \cong D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_e$ with $D_i \cong \text{PGL}_2(q_i)$ for $q_i \geq 5$ a Fermat prime or $q_i = 9$ and $e$ a non-negative integer,
2. $D_i \cap \overline{H}$ is a Borel subgroup in $D_i$,
3. $\text{F}^*(G) = O_2(G)$,
4. $K$ is the set of involutions in $\overline{G} \backslash \overline{G}$.

Remark 1.1 (1) Notice, that it may be $e = 0$ in which case $G$ is a 2-group and $X$ a soluble loop!

(2) If $(G, H, K)$ is the Baer envelope of $Z$, then it satisfies the assumptions required in the theorem.

A direct consequence of the theorem is the following.

Corollary 1.2 Let $X$ be a finite Bruck loop with enveloping group $G$. Then $X$ is soluble if and only if $G = O(G) \times O_2(G)$.

Now not only the class of Bruck loops is understood better, but also the more general class of Bol-$A_r$-loops.

Corollary 1.3 Let $X$ be a Bol-$A_r$-loop. Then there is a normal subloop $Y$ of $X$ which is a group such that $X/Y$ is as described in Theorem 1.

As a group theoretic corollary we obtain:

Corollary 1.4 Let $G$ be a finite group and $H \leq G$, such that there is a transversal $K$ to $H$ in $G$ which is the union of $1 \in G$ and $G$-conjugacy classes of involutions. If $G = \langle K \rangle$, then $(G, H, K)$ is a loop envelope to a Bruck loop of exponent 2 with $H$ acting on $K$. Therefore, Theorem 1 describes $G$, $H$ and $K$.

Moreover, we show Sylow’s Theorem for the prime 2.

Theorem 2 [Sylow’s Theorem] Let $X$ be a finite Bruck loop.

(1) There is a subloop $P$ of $X$ such that $|P| = |X|_2$.

(2) All subloops of $X$ of size $|X|_2$ are conjugate under $H$, the group of inner automorphisms of $X$.

(3) If $Y \leq X$ with $|Y|$ a power of $2$, then there is an $h \in H$ such that $Y \leq P^h$.

Remark 1.5 In fact, Theorems 12 and 14 of [Glaub2] as well as Theorem 1 yield if $p$ is an odd prime which divides the order of $X$ and which does not divide $q+1$ for any Fermat prime $q$ or $q = 9$, then there is a subloop $P$ of $X$ such that $|P| = |X|_p$. 
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We also get Lagrange’s Theorem for Bruck loops.

**Theorem 3** [Lagrange’s Theorem] Let \( X \) be a finite Bruck loop and \( Y \leq X \) a subloop. Then \( |Y| \) divides \( |X| \).

Finally, the Theorem of Hall holds as well:

**Theorem 4** [Hall’s Theorem] Let \( X \) be a finite Bruck loop and let \( \Pi \) be the set primes dividing the order of \( X \). Then \( X \) is soluble if and only if there is a Hall \( \pi \)-subloop in \( X \) for every subset \( \pi \) of \( \Pi \).

There is even a stronger version of that theorem:

**Theorem 5** Let \( X \) be a finite Bruck loop. Then \( X \) is soluble if and only if there is a Sylow subloop in \( X \) for every prime dividing \( |X| \).

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In the next section we recall the relevant definitions and notations. Then we collect our previous results which will be needed in the proof of the theorems. In Section 4 we prepare the proof of Sylow’s Theorem for the special case of Bruck loops of 2-power exponent by calculating the number of elements in the intersection of \( K \) with a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup. Finally Theorems 1, ..., 5 will be shown in the last section.

## 2 Definitions and Notation

We follow the notation of Aschbacher [Asch] and [AKP]. Baer observed that loops can be translated into the language of group theory [Baer]. This translation is as follows. Let \( X \) be a loop and let

\[
\rho : X \to Sym(X), \quad x \mapsto \rho_x.
\]

Define

\[
G := RMult(X) := \langle \rho(x) \mid x \in X \rangle \leq Sym(X)
\]

\[
H := Stab_G(1), \text{ where } 1 \in X, \text{ and } K := \{ \rho_x \mid x \in X \}.
\]

Then

(1) \( 1 \in K \) and \( K \) is a transversal to all conjugates of \( H \) in \( G \).

(2) \( H \) is core free.

(3) \( G = \langle K \rangle \).

The group \( G \) is called the *enveloping group* of \( X \) (or right multiplication group) and the triple \((G, H, K)\) the *Baer envelope of the loop*. Baer also observed that whenever \((G, H, K)\) is a triple with \( G \) a group, \( H \leq G \) and \( K \leq G \) satisfying condition (1), then we get a loop on \( K \) by setting \( x \circ y = z \), \( x, y \in K \) whenever \( z \) is the element in \( K \) such that \( Hxy = Hz \). This loop is called the *loop related to \((G, H, K)\).*

The triple \((G, H, K)\) with \( G \) a group, \( H \leq G \) and \( K \leq G \) is called a *loop folder, faithful loop folder* or *loop envelope* if (1), (2) or (1) and (3) hold, respectively. In general there are many different loop folders to a given loop.
If $X$ is a Bol loop and $(G, H, K)$ the Baer envelope of $X$, then $K$ is a **twisted subgroup**, that is $1 \in K$ and whenever $x, y \in K$, then $x^{-1}$ and $xy$ is in $K$. If, moreover, $X$ is a Bruck loop, then $H$ acts on $K$ by conjugation, [Asch, 4.1]. A **Bruck folder** is a loop folder $(G, H, K)$, if the following holds

1. $K$ is a twisted subgroup
2. $H$ acts on $K$ by conjugation.

We say that a Bruck folder is a **$BX2P$-folder**, if also

3. The elements in $K$ are of 2-power order

If $(G, H, K)$ is a **$BX2P$-folder**, then the loop related to it is a Bruck loop of 2-power exponent [BSS]. Moreover, notice that the subgroup $H$ of the Baer envelope induces automorphisms on $X$ in a Bruck loop. These are called the **inner automorphisms** of $X$.

Subloops, homomorphisms, normal subloops, factor loops and simple loops are defined as usual in universal algebra: A **subloop** is a nonempty subset which is closed under loop multiplication.

**Homomorphisms** are maps between loops which commute with loop multiplication. The map defines an equivalence relation on the loop, such that the product of equivalence classes is again an equivalence class. Normal subloops are preimages of 1 under a homomorphism and therefore subloops. A normal subloop defines a partition of the loop into blocks (cosets), such that the set of products of elements from two blocks is again a block. Such a construction gives factor loops as homomorphic images with the block containing 1 as the kernel. **Simple loops** have only the full loop and the 1-loop as normal subloops.

For instance if $(G, H, K)$ is a loop folder defining a loop $X$ and $G_0$ a normal subgroup of $G$ which contains $H$, then $(G_0, H, G_0 \cap K)$ is a loop folder to a normal subloop $X_0$ of $X$.

A **subfolder** $(U, V, W)$ is a loop folder with $U \leq G$, $V \leq U \cap H$ and $W \subseteq U \cap K$. The loop to a subfolder of a loop folder is a subloop of the loop to the loop folder.

Finally we recall the definition of a soluble loop given in [Asch]. A loop $X$ is **soluble** if there exists a series $1 = X_0 \leq \cdots \leq X_n = X$ of subloops with $X_i$ normal in $X_{i+1}$ and $X_{i+1}/X_i$ an abelian group.

Let $\pi$ be a set of primes. A natural number $n$ is a **$\pi$-number** if $n = 1$ or $n$ is the product of powers of primes in $\pi$. Assume that $X$ is a loop such that every element of the loop generates a group. We say that $X$ is a $\pi$-loop, if the order of $X$ is a $\pi$-number. Notice that this definition is different from the one given in [Glaub1]. For loops of odd order these two concepts coincides (see [Glaub1, p. 394, Corollary 2]), but not for loops of even order (see the Aschbacher loop in [BS]).

In order to distinguish the two concepts we propose to use the following notations: A **local $\pi$-loop** is a loop such that the orders of the elements are all $\pi$-numbers and a **global $\pi$-loop** is a loop such that the order of the loop is a $\pi$-number.

Then there are local 2-loops which are not global 2-loops, see [BS].

We say that a subloop $Y$ of $X$ is a **$\pi$-Hall subloop**, if $|Y|_\pi = |X|_\pi$. 
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3 Previous results

In the following let \((G, H, K)\) be a loop folder. We can see from the structure of a subgroup \(U\) of \(G\), if it defines a loop.

**Lemma 3.1** [BSS, 2.3, 2.4] A subgroup \(U \leq G\) gives rise to a subfolder \(U, V, W\), if and only if \(U = (U \cap H)(U \cap K)\). Then \(V = U \cap H\) and \(W = U \cap K\). In particular, subgroups of \(G\) which contain either \(H\) or \(\langle K \rangle\) give rise to subfolders.

**Lemma 3.2** [BSS, 3.16 (2) - (4)] Let \((G, H, K)\) be a Bruck folder. Then the following holds.

1. There exists a unique \(\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)\) with \([H, \tau] = 1\) and \(k\tau = k^{-1}\) for all \(k \in K\).
2. The set \(\Lambda = \tau K \subseteq \text{Aut}(G)\) is \(G\)-invariant.
3. Subfolders and homomorphic images are Bruck folder.

Notice, that 3.2(3) implies that subloops of Bruck loops are again Bruck loops. In order to prove Sylow’s Theorem we will work in a group slightly bigger than \(G\).

**Definition 3.3** Let \((G, H, K)\) be a Bruck folder and \(\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)\) the automorphism introduced in 3.2(1). Then let

\[
G^+ := G\langle \tau \rangle,
\]

the semidirect product of \(G\) with \(\tau\),

\[
H^+ := H\langle \tau \rangle \leq G^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda := \tau K \subseteq G^+.
\]

By 3.2(1) and (2) \(\Lambda\) is a \(G^+\)-invariant set of involutions.

The following are powerful facts.

**Lemma 3.4** [BSS, 3.3] Let \((G, H, K)\) be a BX2P-folder and let \(G = G/O_2(G)\).

Then

1. \(k^2 \in O_2(G)\) for all \(k\) in \(K\).
2. \(1 \in K\) and \(K\) is a union of \(G\)-conjugacy classes.
3. Let \(g \in G\) and \(h \in H\). If \((h^\sigma)^k = (h^\sigma)^{-1}\) for some \(k\) in \(K\), then \(h^2 = 1\).

We already have some information on soluble Bruck loops, see also [AKP, Corollary 4].

**Lemma 3.5** [BSS, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10] Let \((G, H, K)\) be a BX2P-envelope to a Bruck loop \(X\) of 2-power exponent. Then the following holds

1. \(X\) is soluble if and only if \(|X|\) is a power of \(2\).
2. If \(X\) is soluble, then \(G\) is a 2-group.
3. If \(G = O_2(G)H\), then \(X\) is soluble.
In [BSS] we introduced the concept of passive groups.

**Definition** A finite nonabelian simple group $S$ is called passive, if whenever $(G, H, K)$ is a BX2P-folder with

$$F^*(G/O_2(G)) \cong S,$$

then $G = O_2(G)H$.

In that case consequently the loop to $(G, H, K)$ is of 2-power size and soluble by 3.5(3). The main theorems of [BSS], respectively [S] are the following.

**Theorem 6** [BSS, Theorem 1] Let $(G, H, K)$ be a loop envelope to a Bruck loop of exponent 2 such that $K$ is a twisted subgroup and such that $H$ acts on $K$. Moreover, assume that every non-abelian simple section of $G$ is either passive or isomorphic to $PSL_2(q)$ for $q = 9$ or $q \geq 5$ is a Fermat prime. Then the following holds

1. $G/O_2(G) \cong D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_e$ with $D_i \cong PGL_2(q_i)$ for $q_i \geq 5$ a Fermat prime or $q_i = 9$ and $e$ a non-negative integer,
2. $D_i \cap H$ is a Borel subgroup in $D_i$,
3. $F^*(G) = O_2(G)$.

**Theorem 7** [S, Theorem 1] Let $(G, H, K)$ be a BX2P-folder and $G := G/O_2(G)$. If $F^*(G)$ is a non-abelian simple group. Then $G$ is either passive or isomorphic to $PSL_2(q)$ for $q = 9$ or $q \geq 5$ a Fermat prime.

It follows that the order of a Bruck loop of exponent 2 is very restricted:

**Corollary 3.6** Let $X$ be a Bruck loop of 2-power exponent. Then

$$|X| = 2^a \prod_{i=1}^e (q_i + 1)$$

for some $e \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $q_i = 9$ or a Fermat prime. Moreover, $|X|_2 = 2^a + e$. If $(G, H, K)$ is the Baer envelope of $X$, then $2^a = |O_2(G) : O_2(G) \cap H|$.

### 4 Bruck loops of 2-power exponent

In this section $(G, H, K)$ will always be a faithful BX2P-envelope to a nonsoluble Bruck loop. By Theorems 6 and 7 we have

$$\overline{G} := G/O_2(G) \cong D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_e$$

with $D_i \cong PGL_2(q_i)$ and $q_i = 9$ or a Fermat prime $q_i \geq 5$. Furthermore $D_i \cap \overline{H} =: B_i$ is a Borel subgroup of $D_i$. Let $\pi_i$ be the projection of $\overline{G}$ onto $D_i$. We first study $\overline{H}$ and $\overline{K}$ in more detail.

**Lemma 4.1** (1) $\overline{H} = \prod_{i=1}^e B_i$. 
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(2) If $\overline{k} \in \overline{K}$ and $1 \leq i \leq e$, then $\pi_i(\overline{k})$ is either 1 or an involution in $D_i \setminus D'_i$.

Proof. By Theorem 6

$$B := \prod_{i=1}^e B_i \leq \overline{H}.$$ 

The involutions in $D'_i$ invert elements of odd order which are conjugate to elements in $B_i$. Therefore, 3.4(2) and (3) imply (2).

Next we aim to show $\overline{H} = B$. As $B_i$ is a maximal subgroup of $D_i$, it follows $\pi_i(\overline{H}) = B_i$ or $D_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Assume that $B < \overline{H}$. Then $\pi_j(\overline{H}) = D_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq e$. This implies $\langle B_j \rangle = D_j$ is a subgroup of $\overline{H}$. Let $\overline{k}$ be an element in $\overline{K}$ and consider $\pi_j(\overline{k})$. If $\pi_j(\overline{k}) \neq 1$, then $\pi_j(\overline{k})$ inverts some element of odd prime order in $D_j$ by Baer-Suzuki. Then $\overline{k}$ inverts an element in $\overline{H}$ and therefore $\overline{k}$ inverts an element in $H$ [Asch, (8.1)(1)]. This yields a contradiction to 3.4. Therefore, $\pi_j(\overline{k}) = 1$ for all $\overline{k} \in \overline{K}$, which contradicts $G = \langle \overline{K} \rangle$.

This shows $B = \overline{H}$. \qed

4.1 Some subloops of Bruck loops of 2-power exponent

Now we can prove, that $O_2(G)$ is a group to a subloop:

Lemma 4.2 $O_2(G)H \cap K = O_2(G)\cap K$ and $O_2(G) = (O_2(G)\cap H)(O_2(G)\cap K)$.

Proof. By 4.1, $O_2(\overline{H}) = 1$. By 3.1 the subgroup $O_2(G)H$ defines a subloop, which is soluble by 3.5 (3). Therefore $\langle K \cap O_2(G)H \rangle$ is a 2-group by 3.5 (2), which yields $\langle K \cap O_2(G)H \rangle \leq O_2(O_2(G)H) = O_2(G)$. Now the Dedekind identity implies the statement. \qed

Then application of Lemma 3.1 shows that $O_2(G)$ is a group to a subloop.

There are lots of other subloops: Let $I := \{1, 2, \ldots, e\}$ and let $G_J$ be the preimage of $\prod_{j \in J} D_j$ for $J \subseteq I$.

Lemma 4.3 $G_J = (G_J \cap H)(G_J \cap K)$ for every $J \subseteq I$.

Proof. For $J = \emptyset$ this is 4.2 and for $J = I$ this is the loop folder property.

Let $x \in G_J$ and $x = hk$ with $h \in H$, $k \in K$. Let $l \in I - J$. As $\pi_l(x) = 1$, we cannot have $\pi_l(\overline{k}) \neq 1$: Else by 4.1(2), $\pi_l(\overline{k})$ is some involution of $\text{PGL}_2(q_l)$ outside $\text{PSL}_2(q_l)$. But $\pi_l(\overline{H}) = B_l$ and $B_l$ contains only involutions from $\text{PSL}_2(q_l)$. So $\pi_l(\overline{k}) = 1$, thus $\pi_l(\overline{k}) = 1$ too. This implies the statement. \qed

4.2 Preparations for Sylow’s Theorem

Our next goal is to produce subloops to certain Sylow-2-subgroups $P$ of $G$. Therefore we calculate $|P^+ \cap \Lambda|$.
Lemma 4.4 For every $J \subseteq I$, $\overline{G}$ has a unique conjugacy class $C_J$ of elements such that whenever $t \in C_J$, then $\pi_i(t) = 1$ for $i \notin J$ and $\pi_i(t)$ is some involution in $D_i \setminus D'_i$ for $i \in J$. Moreover

$$|C_J| = \prod_{j \in J} q_j \frac{q_j - 1}{2}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the structure of $\overline{G}$. Recall, that for $q$ odd, the centralizer of an involution in $\PGL_2(q)$ is the normalizer of a torus of size either $q - 1$ or $q + 1$. In our case $q - 1$ is divisible by 4, so inner involutions of $\PSL_2(q)$ have a centralizer of size $2(q - 1)$ while outer involutions have centralizer size $2(q + 1)$.

For $J \subseteq I$ let $t \in C_J$. We denote by $O_2(G^+)_t$ the full preimage of $t$ in $G^+$. The number $n_J := |O_2(G^+)_t \cap \Lambda|$ is well defined and independent of the choice of $t \in C_J$. Then

$$n_{\emptyset} = |O_2(G^+) \cap \Lambda| = |O_2(G) \cap K| = |O_2(G) : O_2(G) \cap H|$$

by 4.3.

Lemma 4.5

$$n_J = \frac{n_{\emptyset} \cdot 2^{|J|}}{\prod_{j \in J} (q_j - 1)}.$$

Proof. By 4.3 $G_J$ defines a subloop, so $|G_J : G_J \cap H| = |G_J \cap K| = |G_J^+ \cap \Lambda|$. As $|G_J : G_J \cap H| = |\overline{G_J} : \overline{G_J \cap H}| |O_2(G) : O_2(G) \cap H|$, we have

$$|G_J : G_J \cap H| = n_{\emptyset} \prod_{j \in J} (q_j + 1).$$

On the other hand

$$|G_J^+ \cap \Lambda| = \sum_{\mathclap{L \subseteq J}} n_L |C_L|.$$

We therefore get a system of equations for the $n_J$.

Now the statement can be shown by induction on $|J|$. For example for $|J| = 1$ we get the equation $n_{\emptyset} (q_j + 1) = n_{\emptyset} + n_{\{j\}} \cdot q_j \frac{q_j - 1}{2}$, which gives $n_{\{j\}} = \frac{2n_{\emptyset}}{q_j - 1}$. In general we have:

$$n_{\emptyset} \prod_{j \in J} (q_j + 1) = \sum_{\mathclap{L \subseteq J}} n_L \prod_{j \in L} q_j \frac{q_j - 1}{2}.$$

For $L \subseteq J, L \neq J$ we have the formula for $n_L$ by induction. On the other hand for any numbers $q_j, j \in J$ the equation

$$\prod_{j \in J} (q_j + 1) = \sum_{\mathclap{L \subseteq J}} \prod_{j \in L} q_j$$

holds. After some calculation this gives exactly the formula for $n_J$.

\[\square\]
Lemma 4.6 Let $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Then

$$|P \cap K| = |P^+ \cap \Lambda| = 2^\sigma n_0 = |G : H|_2 = |X|_2.$$  

If $P \cap O_2(G)H \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G)H)$, then $P = (P \cap H)(P \cap K)$.

**Proof.** Notice that $|P^+ \cap \Lambda|$ is independent of the choice of $P$, as $\Lambda$ is $G^+$-invariant.

Furthermore, $|P \cap K| = |P^+ \cap \Lambda|$ as $\tau \in O_2(G^+) \leq P^+$.

We choose $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ with $P \cap O_2(G)H \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G)H)$. Then also $P^+ \cap O_2(G^+)H^+ \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G^+)H^+)$. Let $i \in I$ and consider $P_i = \pi_i(\bar{P}) \in \text{Syl}_2(D_i)$. Then $P_i$ is a dihedral group, $P_i \cap \bar{H}$ is a cyclic group of size $q_i - 1$. The other coset of $P_i \cap \bar{H}$ in $P_i$ consists entirely of involutions, half of them involutions in $D_i'$ and half of them in $D_i \setminus D_i'$. As all involutions in $D_i \setminus D_i'$ are conjugate in $\bar{G}$, it follows

$$\pi_i(\bar{P}^+) \cap \bar{X} = 1 + \frac{q_i - 1}{2},$$

where 1 is a summand as $1 \in \bar{X}$. This shows for $J \subseteq I$:

$$|\bar{P}^+ \cap \mathcal{C}_J| = \prod_{j \in J} \frac{q_j - 1}{2}.$$

As

$$|P^+ \cap \Lambda| = \sum_{J \subseteq I} n_J |\bar{P}^+ \cap \mathcal{C}_J|,$$

it follows that

$$|P^+ \cap \Lambda| = \sum_{J \subseteq I} \frac{n_0 2^{J}}{\prod_{j \in J} (q_j - 1)} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{q_j - 1}{2} = 2^{\sigma} n_0 = 2^\sigma n_0.$$

By the Dedekind identity we have $O_2(G^+)(P^+ \cap H^+) = P^+ \cap O_2(G^+)H^+$. This gives

$$\frac{|O_2(G^+)| |P^+ \cap H^+|}{|O_2(G^+) \cap P^+ \cap H^+|} = |P^+ \cap O_2(G^+)H^+|.$$

Theorem 6 yields

$$|P^+ \cap O_2(G^+)H^+| = \frac{|G^+|_2}{|G^+ : O_2(G^+)H^+|_2} = \frac{|G|_2}{2^e}.$$

As $O_2(G) \leq P$ and

$$|O_2(G)|/|O_2(G) \cap P \cap H| = |O_2(G) : O_2(G) \cap H| = n_0,$$

it follows that

$$|P^+ \cap H^+| = |P \cap H| = \frac{|G|_2}{2^e n_0},$$

and therefore,

$$|P : P \cap H| = 2^e n_0.$$

Hence $|P : P \cap H| = |P \cap K|$, which yields $P = (P \cap H)(P \cap K)$.  
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Moreover,
\[ |X|_2 = |K|_2 = |G : H|_2 = |G : O_2(G)H|_2|O_2(G)H : H|_2 = 2^e|O_2(G) : O_2(G) \cap H| = 2^e n_0. \]

4.3 Sylow’s theorem for Bruck loops of 2-power exponent

Next we show, that the subloops of size $|X|_2$ have some nice properties. Therefore, we need to recall some facts about $\text{PGL}_2(q)$.

**Lemma 4.7** Let $Z \cong \text{PGL}_2(q)$ with $q = 9$ or $q \geq 5$ a Fermat prime. Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$ and $C$ the class of involutions in $Z \setminus Z'$. Then

1. $B$ has two orbits on $\text{Syl}_2(Z)$: one orbit of size $q$ and one of size $\frac{|B|}{2}$.
2. If $P \in \text{Syl}_2(Z)$, then either $P \cap B \in \text{Syl}_2(B)$ or $|P \cap B| = 2$.
3. Let $A \subseteq \{1\} \cup C$ and suppose that $D = \langle A \rangle$ is a 2-group such that $D = (D \cap B)A$. Then there is a $Q \in \text{Syl}_2(Z)$ such that $D \leq Q$ and $Q \cap B \in \text{Syl}_2(B)$.

**Proof.** Let $\Omega$ be the set of points of the projective line related to $Z$. Then $|\Omega| = q + 1$ and $Z$ acts triply transitive on $\Omega$. Moreover, $B$ is the stabilizer in $Z$ of a point $a$ of $\Omega$ and every 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$ is the setwise stabilizer of two points of $\Omega$.

It follows that $B$ has two orbits on the set of pairs: one consisting of the pairs containing $a$ and the other one consisting of those not containing $a$. Their length are $q$ and $q(q - 1)/2$, respectively. This shows (1).

If $P \in \text{Syl}_2(Z)$ fixes a pair in the first orbit, then $P \cap B \in \text{Syl}_2(B)$. If $P$ fixes a pair in the second orbit, then $P \cap B$ fixes a point and a pair of points setwise and is therefore just an involution, which is (2).

As $D = (D \cap B)A$, it follows that $|D : D \cap B| = 2$. Thus $D \cap B$ fixes the point $a$ and $a^D$ is of length 2. Let $Q$ be the stabilizer of $a^D$ in $G$. Then $Q \in \text{Syl}_2(Z)$, $D \leq Q$ and $Q \cap B \in \text{Syl}_2(B)$, which is (3). \qed

The following is fundamental for the proof of the 2-Sylow Theorem.

**Lemma 4.8** Let $(G, H, K)$ be a faithful $\text{BX}_2\text{P-envelope}$ and $U$ a 2-subgroup of $G$ such that

- $U = (U \cap K)$
- $U = (U \cap H)(U \cap K)$.

Then there is a Sylow-2-subgroup $Q$ of $G$ such that $U \leq Q$ and $Q \cap O_2(G)H \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G)H)$.

**Proof.** For fixed $1 \leq i \leq e$ let

\[ Z_i := \pi_i(G), D_i := \pi_i(U), B_i := \pi_i(H) \text{ and } A_i := \pi_i(U \cap K). \]
By 4.1
\[ C := \pi_i(\mathcal{K}) - \{1\} \]

is the class of involutions in \( Z \setminus Z' \). Moreover by the homomorphism property of \( \pi \), it follows that
\[ \pi_i(U) = \pi_i(U \cap H) \pi_i(U \cap K). \]

This yields, as
\[ \pi_i(U \cap H) \leq \pi_i(U) \cap \pi_i(H) = D \cap B, \]

that \( D = (B \cap B)A \). Hence, \( Z, B, A, D \) satisfy the assumptions of 4.7(3). Therefore, 4.7(3) implies that \( \pi_i(U) \) is contained in a Sylow 2-subgroup \( Q_i \) of \( \pi_i(G) \) and that \( Q_i \cap H \) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( \pi_i(H) \).

Let \( Q \) be the preimage of \( \prod_{i \in I} Q_i \). Then \( U \leq Q \) and \( Q \cap O_2(G)H \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G)H) \)
as asserted. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.9** Let \( X \) be a finite Bruck loop of 2-power exponent and \( Y \) a soluble subloop of \( X \).

1. Then there is a subloop \( Z \) of \( X \) such that \( Y \leq Z \) and \( |Z| = |X|_2 \).
2. All subloops of \( X \) of size \( |X|_2 \) are conjugate under \( H \).

**Proof.** If \( Y \) is soluble, then \( Y \) is a 2-loop by 3.5(1). Let \( (G, H, K) \) be a faithful BX2P-envelope to \( X \). Then there is a subgroup \( U \) of \( G \) such that
- \( U = U \cap K \)
- \( U = (U \cap H)(U \cap K) \) by 3.1
- \( U \) is a 2-group by 3.5(2)

Hence by 4.8 there is a 2-Sylow subgroup \( Q \) of \( G \) such that \( U \) is contained in \( Q \) and such that \( Q \cap O_2(G)H \in \text{Syl}_2(O_2(G)H) \). Now 4.6 and 3.1 imply that \( (Q, Q \cap H, Q \cap K) \) is a subfolder of our chosen folder. Let \( Z \) the subloop of \( X \) related to that subfolder. As \( Q \cap O_2(G)H \) is a 2-Sylow subgroup of \( O_2(G)H \), the intersection \( Q \cap H \) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( H \). As \( Q = (Q \cap H)(Q \cap K) \) it follows that \( |Q \cap K| = |X|_2 \), which proves (1).

Let \( Y_2 \) be a subloop of \( X \) of size \( |X|_2 \). Then \( Y_2 \) is soluble and therefore by (1) there is 2-Sylow subgroup \( P \) of \( G \) such that \( P, P \cap N, P \cap K \) is a subfolder to a subloop \( Z_2 \) which contains \( Y_2 \) and which is of order \( |X|_2 \). This shows that \( Y_2 = Z_2 \). Recall that \( G/O_2(G) = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_e \) with \( D_i \cong \text{PGL}_2(q_i) \) and \( e \geq 0 \). Then, as \( P \cap H \) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( H \) (see also 4.7(2)), according to 4.7(1) there is an element \( h \) in \( H \) which maps \( Q \) onto \( P \). \( \square \)

Now we can easily prove Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2 for Bruck loops of 2-power exponent.** As the set consisting of the 1-element of \( X \) is a soluble subloop of \( X \), Corollary 4.9 yields (1). (2) is the second statement of the corollary.

If \( Y \) is a subloop of \( X \) of 2-power order, then \( Y \) is soluble by 3.5(1). Therefore (3) follows from Corollary 4.9 as well.
4.4 Lagrange’s theorem

Now we can prove Lagrange’s theorem for Bruck loops of 2-power exponent.

**Proof of Theorem 3 for X a Bruck loop of 2-power exponent.** By 4.6, we have \( |Y|_2 \leq |X|_2 \): There is a subloop of \( Y \) of size \( |Y|_2 \), which is soluble by 3.5. Let \( U \leq G \) be the 2-group related to this subloop; so \( |U \cap K| = |Y|_2 \). As \( |P \cap K| = |X|_2 \) for any Sylow-2-subgroup of \( G \), \( |Y|_2 \) is a divisor of \( |X|_2 \).

Suppose \( Y \) is non-soluble. Then \( |Y|_2 \neq 1 \). There is a subgroup \( U \leq G \) such that \( U = (U \cap H)(U \cap K) \), \( U = (U \cap K) \) and \( |Y| = |U \cap H| = |U \cap K| \).

By 3.5 \( U \) is not a soluble group. We may use Theorem 6 on \( U \). The map \( \theta : U \to G : u \mapsto O_2(G)u \) gives a homomorphism from \( U \) into \( G \) and an injection from \( U/O_2(U) \to O_2(G) \) into \( G \).

Assume there is \( D_i \leq G \) such that \( \pi_i(U) \) is properly contained in \( D_i \). If \( \pi_i(U) \neq 1 \), then \( \pi_i(U) \neq 1 \cong \text{Alt}_5 \) and \( D_i \cong \text{Alt}_6 \). Elements of odd order from \( U \cap H \) map to elements of odd order in \( H \), which yields a contradiction. Hence components of \( U/O_2(U) \) project surjectively onto components of \( G/O_2(G) \). This implies together with 3.6 that

\[
|Y| = 2^a \prod_{j \in J} (q_j + 1) \text{ where } J \text{ is a subset of } \{1, \ldots, e\}.
\]

This shows that the odd part of \( |Y| \) divides \( |X| \).

As we already saw that \( |Y|_2 \leq |X|_2 \), it follows that the order of \( Y \) divides the order of \( X \).

\[\square\]

5 The finite Bruck loops

In this section we prove the main theorems.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let \( X \) be a finite Bruck loop. Then according to [AKP, Theorem 1]

\[X = O^2'(X) * O(X), \text{ where } Z := O^2'(X)\]

is the subloop generated by all 2-elements of \( X \) and \( Y := O(X) \) the largest normal subloop of \( X \) of odd order. Notice, that the definition of the subloop \( Z \) is different from that one in [AKP]. Let \( (G, H, K) \) be the Baer-envelope of \( X \) and set

\[G_2 := O^2'(G).\]

Then \( G_2 \) is the enveloping group of the loop \( Z \), see [AKP, Proof of 6.1]. Moreover, \( G_1 := O^2(G) \) is the enveloping group of \( O(X) \) and \( G = G_1 * G_2 \), see [AKP, Proof of 6.1]. Set \( U := G_1 \cap G_2 \) and \( T = Z \cap Y \). Then \( U \) is a subgroup of \( Z(G_2) \) and therefore, it acts semiregularly on \( Z \).

Moreover, \( Z/T \) is a Bruck loop of 2-power exponent with enveloping group \( G_2/V \), where \( V \leq U \) is the enveloping group of \( T \), see [AKP] Theorem 1 and [Asch] 2.8. In particular, \( V \) is a subgroup of \( Z(G_2) \) of odd order.

Set \( G_2 = G_2/V \). Then \( G_2/O_2(G_2) \cong D_1 \times \cdots \times D_e \) with \( D_i \cong \text{PGL}_2(q_i) \), where \( q_i \) is a Fermat prime or 9 by Theorems 6 and 7.

We claim that \( G_2 \) splits over \( V \). Clearly, \( O_2(G_2) \) splits over \( V \). Therefore, \( G_2 \) splits over \( V \) if and only if \( G_2 := G_2/O_2(G_2) \) splits over \( V \).
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Moreover, \( \overline{G} \) splits over \( V \) if and only if the preimage \( L_i \) of \( D_i \) in \( \overline{G} \) splits over \( V \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq e \). As \( L_i/V \cong \text{PGL}_2(q_i) \) and \( V \) is of odd order it follows that the extension splits or that \( q_i = 9 \) and \( |L_i^\infty \cap V| = 3 \), see [Atlas, p. XVI, Table 5]. Assume the latter. Then every involution in \( L_i \setminus L'_i \) inverts \( L_i^\infty \cap V \), see the action of the automorphism \( 2_3 \) of \( \text{PSL}_3(4) \) on the Schur-multiplier of order 3 of \( \text{PSL}_3(4) \) in [Atlas, p. 23], which contradicts \( V \leq Z(G_2) \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Following Bruck, it is enough to show, that this condition holds already in simple Bruck loops, see [Bruck, Chapter V, p. 93, Lemma 2.1]. As simple Bruck loops are either of prime order or of 2-power exponent, we get the result from the proof of Theorem 3 in the case of Bruck loops of 2-power exponent.

A different proof of Theorem 3 without quoting Bruck is to apply Theorem 1 and the proof of Theorem 3 in the case of Bruck loops of 2-power exponent.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The assertion follows from Theorem 1 and the proof of Theorem 2 in the case of Bruck loops of 2-power exponent.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Every finite Bruck loop of odd order is soluble, see Theorem 14 of [Glaub2], and contains therefore Hall \( \pi \)-subloops, Theorem 12 [Glaub2]. If \( X \) is a soluble Bruck loop, then by Theorem 1 \( X \) is the direct product of a Bruck loop of odd order and a Bruck loop of 2-power order. Hence there is a \( \pi \)-subloop for every subset \( \pi \) of \( \Pi \).

Now assume that \( X \) is a Bruck loop such that there is a \( \pi \)-subloop for every subset \( \pi \) of \( \Pi \). If \( X \) is of odd order, then it is soluble. Assume now that \( O^2(X) \neq 1 \). If \( O^2(X) \) is not of 2-power order, then there is \( q_i \), \( q_i = 9 \) or \( q_i \geq 5 \) a Fermat prime such that a prime divisor \( r \neq 2 \) of \( q_i + 1 \) divides \( |O^2(X)| \), see Corollary 3.6. As there is no Bruck loop of \( r \)-power order, see Theorem 1, it follows that \( O^2(X) \) is of 2-power order and therefore soluble by 3.5.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** The previous proof also shows Theorem 5.

### 5.1 Open questions

There are still some open questions on Bruck loops:

- For which \( q \) exist \( M \)-loops and/or \( N \)-loops as defined in [AKP] and [Asch]?
  - There are only known examples for \( q = 5 \).

- Are there infinitely many Fermat primes? This is number theory...

- What is the structure of simple Bruck loops in detail? There are known examples with two composition factors of type \( \text{Alt}_5 \). Are the nonabelian composition factors of \( G \) in a simple Bruck loop pair wise isomorphic?

- Is there a way to get the structure of \( O_2(G) \) under control in \( M \)-loops, \( N \)-loops and/or Bruck loops of 2-power exponent (For the definition of an \( N \) and an \( M \)-loop see [BSS])?
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